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1 Introduction

1.1 LUC was appointed in December 2017, by the London Borough of Islington (LBI), to undertake habitat surveys and a review of selected sites within the borough (hereafter referred to as ‘the Sites’). This was to assess specific Sites identified by LBI Officers and consultees to inform the review of the Local Plan currently in progress. These Sites comprised either:

- Existing Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINCs) which have recently undergone changes and therefore may have improved or enhanced sufficiently to meet criteria to be upgraded and/or extended;
- Existing SINCs which may have declined in condition to such an extent that designation at the current level may no longer be appropriate;
- Potential Sites currently without SINC status which may meet criteria to be designated as a SINC.

1.2 In May 2010 LUC undertook borough wide habitat surveys in LBI to review areas of open space as well as SINCs. In total 560 sites were surveyed. During this updated assessment, reference to these surveys was made to inform current decisions. Findings of these surveys and relevant survey data for the borough are presented in the report: London Borough of Islington Habitat Survey\(^1\). This current survey builds upon this previous study.

2 Method

2.1 There were 22 sites proposed for survey and assessment, 11 of which were previously surveyed in 2010. Refer to Table 2.1 below for a summary of the Sites proposed for survey.

Table 2.1 Site Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Previously Surveyed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whittington Park</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenville Road Gardens</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wray Crescent</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevin Court (&amp; Holford Gardens)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St John’s Way Verge</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimthorpe House (Percival Estate)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finsbury Estate</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Island (Douglas) Estate</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornsey Lane / The Trenches at the top of Hornsey Lane Estate.</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Holloway Railway Cutting</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard Park</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatchard Road</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caledonian Park</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Josephs Catholic School</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooles Park Primary School</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Marks Primary School</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Road Gardens</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archway Park</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Mary Magdalene’s</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elthorne Park (and Sunnyside Gardens)</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifford Street</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Review of Previous Surveys

2.2 The survey information for the 11 previously surveyed Sites was reviewed to inform this assessment.

2.3 For further information and assessment details please refer to the report London Borough of Islington Habitat Survey².

Biological Records Review

2.4 Prior to the surveys GIS data was reviewed. This included consideration of boundaries given to LUC by LBI, and those held by GiGL (where relevant) to understand and review discrepancies. In addition, there was some disparity with the Site names provided by LBI and those held by GiGL. Where there was a discrepancy in Site name to that provided by LBI, the name held by GiGL has been included in brackets alongside the given name within the summary Table 2.1 above and Table 3.1 below.

Site Surveys

2.5 Online survey maps were created for use on tablet computers in the field. A survey database was also set up, based on the surveys undertaken by LUC in 2010. The forms were designed to give an overview for each Site, and capture data to assess Sites using the Greater London Authorities (GLA) Habitat and Open Space Survey Methodology (2010), as set out in the Mayor’s Biodiversity Strategy (2002).

2.6 The forms used in the field for survey data collection were also designed to create a single pro forma for each Site, and are included in Appendix 1.

2.7 A total of 19 of the 22 Sites were surveyed.

2.8 Two of the un-surveyed Sites were schools for which access could not be obtained: St. Josephs School and Pooles Park School. One Site, Gifford Street, was not surveyed due to its current status in development. The survey has therefore been postponed until a later date and will be reported separately.

2.9 Surveys of all remaining Sites were undertaken between 23rd January 2018 and 20th March 2018. Surveys were undertaken by ecologists experienced in open space and habitat surveys: Amy Coleman BSc ACIEEM, Rebecca Turner BSc MSc GradCIEEM and Rory Glackin BSc MSc GradCIEEM.

2.10 The surveys comprised a rapid Phase 1 Habitat Survey for each Site in accordance with the JNCC methodology, including mapping the Sites broad constituent habitats and target noting features of interest, in addition to the GLA Open Space and Habitat Survey Methodology and assessment (see Table 2.1). This methodology was specifically designed to enable the identification of SINCs. Both of these survey methods were used in the 2010 surveys, and therefore updated surveys are directly comparable. Photos were recorded for each Site during the surveys.

---

Site Assessment

2.11 All data, maps and forms recorded during the survey were collated and reviewed as part of the assessment process.

2.12 The Sites were then assessed against the criteria as presented in Table 2.2 below.
### Table 2.2 GLA assessment criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representation</th>
<th>Recreatability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Habitat rarity</td>
<td>Typical urban character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species rarity</td>
<td>Cultural or historical character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat richness</td>
<td>Geographic position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species richness</td>
<td>Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important populations of species</td>
<td>Potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancient character</td>
<td>Aesthetic appeal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.13 Given the nature of the assessment methodology and criteria, field based assessments were necessarily subjective to a degree and based on the professional judgement of experienced ecologists. In addition, not all criteria are necessarily applicable to all Sites. Following completion of the surveys, a workshop was held with the Project Director to develop recommendations and ensure consistency during the assessment.

### Site Limitations

2.14 Surveys were undertaken at what is generally accepted as a sub-optimal time of year for habitat survey and vegetation identification. However given the rapid style of survey, and the urban nature of the Sites, and nature of the specific sites being surveyed (supporting largely amenity/ornamental habitats or those very likely to support low species diversity), this was not considered to pose a significant constraint to this project. Of key importance for this assessment were the ability to classify habitats, and identify broad habitat characteristics.

2.15 The Sites were specifically selected by LBI officers and consultees, and do not comprise a comprehensive list of Sites within LBI. However, it is understood that the purpose of this assessment is to review those Sites which have been highlighted due to potential changes.

2.16 Direct access was not available to three of the Sites, as detailed in paragraph 2.8 above. One of the surveyed Sites, Upper Holloway Railway Cutting, was not fully accessible comprising the green estate of railway land. However, this could be viewed from bridges and whilst on the train, and this was sufficient for the assessment for this particular Site.
3 Survey Findings and Assessment

3.1 The assessment conclusions and recommendations for the Sites are summarised below and provided in Table 3.1. Site pro-formas presenting the information recorded during the surveys, including the Phase 1 Habitat Survey maps and photos, are provided in Appendix 1. In addition, habitat survey typology is provided in a legend in Appendix 2.

3.2 A map illustrating the recommendations for each of the selected Sites is provided in Appendix 3.

Summary

3.3 In summary, 19 Sites were surveyed and reviewed as part of the project, comprising;

- **6 existing SINC** Sites which were included in the study due to changes such as development, boundary discrepancies or a potential declined in condition to the extent that their SINC status is no longer appropriate;
- **3 existing SINC** Sites which were included in the study as they have undergone improvements and may qualify for a higher level of status.
- **10 proposed** Sites which are not currently designated, but were included in the study due to potential changes in quality which could qualify for designation as new SINCs.

3.4 Following the review of the 19 Sites, the following recommendations were identified:

- One Site recommended for upgrade with a boundary extension;
- Three previously undesignated Sites recommended as Local SINCs;
- One existing SINC identified at risk without remedial measures;
- One existing SINC was identified as no longer meeting the criteria for designation and recommended for de-designation; and
- 13 existing SINCs considered to be unchanged in terms of the level of designation, however, two of these were identified as potentially warranting future designation or upgrade subject to intervention, and one was identified for extension of the boundary.

Sites to Upgrade and Extend

3.5 One Site was recommended for an upgrade to Borough Grade II, along with an extension of the boundary:

- Whittington Park

3.6 Whittington Park was considered of sufficient quality to upgrade given the size of the Site and variety of habitats it supports. Whittington Park provides one of the largest areas of green space in the borough, providing particular opportunities for wildlife in such a highly urbanised Borough. The upgrade is recommended along with an extension which incorporates a pond in the west just outside of the current SINC boundary. The pond increases the habitat diversity of the Site, providing additional habitat for a range of species as well as opportunities to access nature for people.

Proposed New SINCs

3.7 Three previously undesignated Sites have been recommended for designation as Local SINCs. These three Sites supported common habitats which offer some value for nature conservation. Although these Sites may not be of particular value ecologically, it was felt that they warranted designation as Local SINCs as they provided opportunities for the local community to access nature, particularly everyday wildlife. These comprised the following:
• Grenville Road Gardens  
• Wray Crescent  
• Bevin Court

**Sites at At risk**

3.8 **Market Road Gardens**, currently designated at Borough Grade II level, was identified as being 'at risk'. It was considered that the Site had declined in value since the previous survey and there were some issues to resolve to maintain the Site at its current status. In particular, degradation of grassland is now managed as amenity and had eroded in areas.

**Sites Recommended for De-designation**

3.9 The value of **Archway Park** had decreased to an extent that its designation was no longer warranted. In particular there was significant loss of habitat (including trees) which had been replaced with hard standing, and degradation of semi-improved neutral grassland to amenity grassland. Urgent action would be required to bring the Site up to standard to continue to warrant designation.

**No change in designation**

3.10 All of the 13 remaining Sites were considered to be unchanged. However two were identified as **opportunity** Sites, which with minimal action (for example providing formal public access and managing grassland areas) the Site would warrant an upgrade. These Sites were:

• Barnard Park  
• Hatchard Road

3.11 **Caledonian Park** was recommended to have a **boundary extension**, with additional habitat of nature conservation value falling outside of the current designation.

3.12 For further information regarding the quality of the Sites and recommendations refer to **Table 3.1** below. In addition, refer to **Appendix 1** for the survey pro-formas for each Site and additional detail.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Current Planning Status</th>
<th>Surveyed in 2010?</th>
<th>2018 Key Survey Findings</th>
<th>2018 Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whittington Park</td>
<td>Local SINC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The Site comprises a large park with a mosaic of habitats including hard standing, amenity grassland, mature trees, tall ruderal, ornamental planting, broadleaved woodland and scrub. In addition, just outside of the SINC boundary in the west of the Site there is a pond. The Sites provides a resource for the community to engage with nature, including interpretation boards and a nursery. The Site itself remains largely unchanged from the 2010 survey, however it was noted there was some minor gain in area of tall herb not previously recorded, this provides an additional habitat resource and increases the habitat diversity of the Site. The pond which lies outside of the SINC boundary was new during the 2010 survey, however has fully established now and is regularly used for the local community. The pond area is not currently within the SINC boundary.</td>
<td>Recommended to extend boundary and upgrade to Borough Grade II. The site itself remains largely unchanged, although there is some minor gain of tall herb habitat. It is recommended the boundary is extended to include the pond, and that the designation is upgraded due to the richness of habitats, ongoing management and maturation of the pond.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elthorne Park (and Sunnyside Gardens)</td>
<td>Borough Grade II SINC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The Site is predominantly comprised of amenity grassland with scattered trees. There is also a memorial garden with ornamental planting and ponds. An ornamental hedgerow is present across the centre of the Site and dense scrub is present in the east. There is no significant change since the previous survey. There was a discrepancy between the survey boundary provided by LBI and SINC boundary provided by GiGL, a small area to the north was not included in the LBI boundary. The boundary is correct as GiGL have recorded for the SINC.</td>
<td>No change. It is a large size park and there is significant potential for further enhancements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard Park</td>
<td>Local SINC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This Site is a large area of amenity grassland with scattered trees and a hardstanding football pitch. There are also some small areas of scrub and introduced shrubs, and a field of amenity grassland lies adjacent to the west. The Site has not significantly changed since the previous survey. However the boundary provided by LBI also includes the area to the west. This was surveyed in 2010, and comprised meadow habitat, however it was recorded as amenity grassland. Although the Site provides a sizable area of open space and variety of habitats, they are currently of low value for wildlife.</td>
<td>No change. However there are significant opportunities to improve the Site. It is recommended that meadow creation is undertaken, both around the borders and the additional area to the west. This along with enhancement with bird and bat boxes would bring the Site to a Borough Grade II (or possibly I) level. However it is not currently of that value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Mary Magdalene’s</td>
<td>Local SINC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The Site comprises amenity grassland, native scrub and ornamental planting with scattered trees. Three of the London plane trees recorded were very mature, possibly veteran/ancient, and provided biodiversity value to the Site. There was no apparent change since the previous survey.</td>
<td>No change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Holloway Railway Cutting</td>
<td>Borough Grade I SINC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The Site comprises a linear railway verge between Upper Holloway and Tufnell Park stations including woodland, scrub and rough grassland habitats. The Site provides an important wildlife corridor and semi-natural habitats. There was no apparent change since the previous survey.</td>
<td>No change. There are opportunities to enhance the Site with woodland management, loggeries / dead wood and removal of invasive species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatchard Road</td>
<td>Local SINC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The Site is a small area which is not open to the public. It comprises broadleaved semi-natural woodland, scrub and hardstanding. There is no significant change from the previous survey, although it is slightly more ‘overgrown’.</td>
<td>No change. Although the Site does not warrant an upgrade at present, there is a significant opportunity to improve it with minimal action. Formal public access would</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Borough</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>SINC</td>
<td>Designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caledonian Park</td>
<td>Borough Grade I</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SINC</td>
<td>No change,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SINC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Road Gardens</td>
<td>Borough Grade II</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SINC</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archway Park</td>
<td>Local SINC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>SINC</td>
<td>Recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed SINCs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grenville Road Gardens**
- Open Space
- No
- The Site comprises a small playground and ‘garden’ in an otherwise built up and high density neighbourhood. Habitats included amenity grassland and scattered young trees. It also had several allotments used by the community and a diverse range of ornamental planting areas including shrubs, flowers and grasses with an associated educational interpretation board. The Site provides a valuable educational resource for the community and access to nature in a heavily urbanised area.

**Wray Crescent**
- Open Space
- Yes
- The Site covers a large area of green space, particularly for LBI, and comprises a provide access to nature for the local community.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Islington SINC Review April 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A well maintained Site including amenity grassland with a diverse range of mature scattered trees primarily around the borders. In the south shrubs and a band of woodland border a cricket pitch. There are two playgrounds, a small one in the south surrounded by ornamental planting, and a much larger one in the northern part, also with a variety of ornamental planting. No significant changes were recorded since the previous survey. The survey boundary provided by LBI varies slightly to the open space boundary provided by GiGL. This is because LBI's boundary includes an additional area to the south of hardstanding which does not hold ecological value. However this would not include the whole Site, only areas of value to nature conservation to be designated as Local SINC. Significant areas of hardstanding and the cricket pitch to be excluded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevin Court (&amp; Holford Gardens)</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>The Site supports a range of habitats, including meadow, hedgerow, scrub, mature and semi-mature scattered trees and allotments. It is well managed by the local community and maintains a diverse range of species. Recommended for designation as a Local SINC. However the boundary should include areas of nature conservation only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St John’s Way Verge</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This Site is a long strip of wide grass verge with a variety of scattered mature trees and an area of scrub. Grass is left long in some areas, particularly towards the north and around the trees and scrub. There are no major changes since the previous survey, however there is only one patch of scrub and the grass is no longer bare and eroding. Changes in management have improved the grassland. Although there have been some improvements to the Site, some areas of scrub have been removed and it has limited habitat diversity. Habitats present are common, widespread and easily re-creatable. No change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimthorpe House (Percival Estate)</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>This Site is a private resident’s garden with grassland, planted borders and trees, some of which are mature. Although the Site provides habitats which offer value for wildlife, it is private and therefore does not meet the criteria for a Local SINC. Nor does it meet requirements for a higher designation. No change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finsbury Estate</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>This Site is a small green space in a heavily urbanised area with grassland and semi mature trees. The habitats present are easily re-creatable. Semi mature trees in the north area of the Site hold the highest value for nature conservation. No change. Semi-mature trees in the north of the Site should be retained where possible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Island (Douglas) Estate</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>The Site comprises a playground with semi natural habitat including tall herb and fern and mature trees. Amenity grassland and hardstanding comprised a majority of the Site, with ornamental shrub recorded at the boundaries. The tall herb habitat in the north west was particularly species rich. However this area was not accessible for the public. No change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Woodlands</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>This Site is a small private resident’s garden managed for wildlife including grassland, bulb planting, mature trees, scrub and hedgerow. Although the Site provides a diverse range of habitats and is well maintained, it is private and therefore would not meet criteria for a Local SINC. The Site does not qualify to be considered for a designation of Borough importance. No change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornsey Lane / The Trenches at the top of Hornsey Lane Estate</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>The Site comprises short sward amenity grassland with scattered trees. Although these habitats provide some opportunities for wildlife, there is limited diversity and the improvements alone do not have longevity. No change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Marks Primary</td>
<td>School</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>The Site includes amenity grassland, ornamental planting, a small pond and mature trees. Some of the habitats are in poor condition overall, such as areas of grass eroding. In addition, the Site is not widely accessible. No change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed Local SINC
Proposed upgrade and extension
Opportunity
At risk
De-designate
Islington Ecology Surveys: Archway Park

Site Information

Site name: Archway Park
GLA Parcel Count: 1  GLA Parcel Refs: 03250/01
PPG17 ID: GS30  PPG17 typology: Parks and Gardens
Grid reference: 529407, 186996
Owner: TBC
Manager: TBC
Access/view from: N/A
Permission to enter from: N/A

Site area: 0.85 ha

Survey Data

Survey date: 25/01/2018  Time spent:
Surveyor name: Rebecca Turner  Access:
Weather: Full cloud coverage  Planning status: SINC Local
Level of use: Frequent use  Maintenance and management: Good

Existing threats and disturbances:
- [ ] Redevelopment
- [ ] Invasive plants
- [x] Erosion
- [ ] Vandalism
- [ ] Litter
- [ ] Dog fouling
- [ ] Fly tipping

Comments:
Potential enhancements:
- [ ] Mowing Regime
- [ ] Meadow creation
- [ ] Wetland creation
- [ ] Tree Planting
- [ ] Information/education
- [x] Active tree management
- [ ] Loggery/dead wood
- [x] Wildlife friendly planting
- [ ] Other

Comments: Relaxed management of grassland under trees.
Change since last survey: Significant loss

Comments:
Interest:
- [x] Fish
- [ ] Amphibian
- [ ] Reptile
- [ ] Higher Plant
- [ ] Fungi
- [x] Bird
- [ ] Bryophyte
- [x] Mammal
- [ ] Lichen

Comments:
Species richness  Average
Notable Topography / Aspects

Habitat Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Type</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1.1.1 Broadleaved woodland (semi-natural)</td>
<td>2292.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Hard standing</td>
<td>2463.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland</td>
<td>2117.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved</td>
<td>1294.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP Ornamental planting / A3.1 Broadleaved</td>
<td>289.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Target Notes

1  A mixture of grassland and tall herbs, including dominant annual meadow grass Poa annua and
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abundant herb Robert Geranium robertianum.

2 Scattered trees over low level shrubs. Trees species included whitebeam Sorbus aria and cherry Prunus sp. Shrubs consisted of species such as holly Ilex aquifolium and snowberry Symphoricarpos albus.

3 Woodland with dominant silver leaf poplar Populus alba, abundant sycamore Acer pseudoplatanus and frequent Acer sp. Understorey included abundant cherry and hawthorn Crataegus monogyna.

4 MUGA facility

5 A mixture of trees and scrub bordering a small area of grassland. Scrub was dominated by hawthorn.

6 Playground

7 Dominant snowberry

8 Dominant small-leaved lime Tilia cordata and Italian alder Alnus cordata with an understorey of Prunus sp and hawthorn.

Phase 1 Map and Photos
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Site Information

Site name: Barnard Park
GLA Parcel Count: 1
GLA Parcel Refs: 03549/01
PPG17 ID: GS122; O
PPG17 typology: Parks and Gardens; Play and youth facilities
Grid reference: 530992, 183732
Owner: TBC
Manager: TBC
Access/view from: N/A
Permission to enter from: N/A

Survey Data

Survey date: 25/01/2018
Surveyor name: Rebecca Turner
Access: All
Weather: Sunny with cloud
Level of use: Moderate numbers
Planning status: SINC Local
Maintenance and management: Good

Existing threats and disturbances:
- Redevelopment
- Invasive plants
- Erosion
- Vandalism
- Litter
- Dog fouling
- Fly tipping

Potential enhancements:
- Mowing Regime
- Meadow creation
- Wetland creation
- Tree Planting
- Information/education
- Active tree management
- Loggery/dead wood
- Wildlife friendly planting
- Other

Comments: There is potential for meadow creation, pond creation and the installation of bird and bat boxes.

Change since last survey: No apparent change

Interest:
- Fish
- Amphibian
- Reptile
- Higher Plant
- Fungi
- Bird
- Bryophyte
- Mammal
- Lichen

Species richness Average

Notable Topography / Aspects
No notable

Habitat Calculations

- A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous) 792.20 m2
- C3.1 Other tall herb and fern (ruderal) 27.61 m2
- HS Hard standing 14595.80 m2
- J1.2 Amenity grassland 3916.69 m2
- J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved 20751.22 m2
- J1.4 Introduced shrub 226.52 m2
- J1.4 Introduced shrub / A3.1 Broadleaved sc 509.26 m2
Islington Ecology Surveys:
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J3.6 Buildings 466.31 m²
J4 Bare ground 216.31 m²
J5 Other habitat 75.79 m²

Target Notes

1 Football court

2 Dominant amenity grassland with abundant perennial rye grass Lolium perenne, frequent yarrow Achillea millefolium and dove's-foot cranes-bill Geranium molle, occasional daisy Bellis perennis and dandelion Taraxacum agg. Trees include beech Fagus sylvatica

3 Evidence of grassland eroding. Grassland dominant annual meadow grass Poa annua, frequent daisy and white clover Trifolium repens. Trees dominant lime Tilia sp and abundant London plane Platanus x hispanica.

4 Bare ground with a single tree and a small number of tall ruderal plants.

5 Dominant lime sp.

6 Scrub included frequent dog rose Rosa canina and occasional buddleia. Trees include lime from the treeline and London plane Platanus x hispanica.

Phase 1 Map and Photos
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
Bevin Court (& Holford Gardens)

Site Information

Site name: Bevin Court (& Holford Gardens)

GLA Parcel Count: 2  GLA Parcel Refs: 03618/01, 03618/02

PPG17 ID: GS81;HS1  PPG17 typology: Parks and Gardens; Housing amenity space

Grid reference: 530960, 182946

Owner: TBC

Manager: TBC

Access/view from: N/A

Permission to enter from: N/A

Survey Data

Survey date: 25/01/2018  Time spent: 1.5 hours

Surveyor name: Rebecca Turner  Access: All

Weather: Sunny with cloud  Planning status: None

Level of use: Frequent use  Maintenance and management: Good

Existing threats and disturbances:

- ☑ Redevelopment
- ☑ Invasive plants
- ☑ Erosion
- ☑ Vandalism
- ☑ Litter
- ☑ Dog fouling
- ☑ Fly tipping

Comments: Low levels of these threats.

Potential enhancements:

- ☑ Mowing Regime
- ☑ Meadow creation
- ☑ Wetland creation
- ☑ Tree Planting
- ☑ Information/education
- ☑ Active tree management
- ☑ Loggery/dead wood
- ☑ Wildlife friendly planting
- ☑ Other

Comments: Inclusion of a pond in Halford Gardens, provide interpretation boards, develop existing loggeries.

Change since last survey:

Comments:

Interest:

- ☑ Fish
- ☑ Amphibian
- ☑ Reptile
- ☑ Higher Plant
- ☑ Fungi
- ☑ Bird
- ☑ Bryophyte
- ☑ Mammal
- ☑ Lichen

Comments:

Species richness  Average/Rich

Notable Topography / Aspects

No notable

Habitat Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL Allotment</td>
<td>383.26 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3.1 Other tall herb and fern (ruderal) / A3.1</td>
<td>552.04 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Hard standing</td>
<td>4601.59 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland</td>
<td>470.87 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved</td>
<td>5129.87 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland / J1.4 Introduced shrub</td>
<td>230.94 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.4 Introduced shrub</td>
<td>20.86 m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Bevin Court (& Holford Gardens)

Phase 1 Map and Photos

Target Notes

1  Shrub planting
10 A small area of tall ruderal herbs and bulb plants, including green alkanet Pentaglottis sempervirens.
11 Meadow grassland with semi-mature poplar and shrub planting in places.
2  Bare ground with small numbers of herbs and linear strip of grassland adjacent to the building.
   Trees include rhododendron Rhododendron ponticum, London plane Platanus x hispanica and sycamore Acer pseudoplatanus.
3  Hedgerow
4  A range of low lying scrub and herbs including bramble Rubus fruticosus agg, green alkanet, daffodils Narcissus pseod narcissus ssp., crocus Crocus vernus and deadnettle Lamium sp.
   Trees included Italian alder Alnus cordata and sycamore.
5  Introduced shrubs
6  Meadow with a variety of herb species. Trees include poplar Populus sp.
7  Scattered scrub.
8  Private gardens with ornamental planting.
9  A flower meadow with snowdrops Galanthus nivalis, crocus, green alkanet, iris Iris pseudacorus and tulips Tulipa sp.
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Bevin Court (& Holford Gardens)
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Caledonian Park

Site Information

Site name: Caledonian Park

GLA Parcel Count: 2
GLA Parcel Refs: 03420/01, 03420/02

PPG17 ID: GS130;
PPG17 typology: Parks and Gardens; Play and youth facilities

Grid reference: 530219, 184770
Owner: TBC
Manager: TBC
Access/view from: N/A
Permission to enter from: N/A

Site area: 4.09 ha

Survey Data

Survey date: 23/01/2018
Surveyor name: Amy Coleman
Weather: Overcast
Level of use: Moderate numbers

Existing threats and disturbances:
- Redevelopment
- Invasive plants
- Erosion
- Vandalism
- Litter
- Dog fouling
- Fly tipping

Comments: There is an ecological threat from the new clock centre refurbishment. Primarily concerning any features which may be used by bats and nesting birds.

Potential enhancements:
- Mowing Regime
- Meadow creation
- Wetland creation
- Tree Planting
- Information/education
- Active tree management
- Loggery/dead wood
- Wildlife friendly planting
- Other

Comments: Management of woodland to improve structure and encourage ground flora.

Change since last survey: Minor loss

Comments:

Interest:
- Fish
- Amphibian
- Reptile
- Higher Plant
- Fungi
- Bird
- Bryophyte
- Mammal
- Lichen

Comments: Bat and birds boxes are installed, as well as an insect hotel. There are also log piles and bird feeding stations available.

Species richness Average

Notable Topography / Aspects

Habitat Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Type</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1.1.1 Broadleaved woodland (semi-natural)</td>
<td>17737.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous)</td>
<td>301.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Hard standing</td>
<td>3980.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland</td>
<td>15762.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J3.6 Buildings</td>
<td>341.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J5 Other habitat</td>
<td>2826.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
Caledonian Park

Target Notes

1. Ornamental planting.
2. Bat boxes.
3. Insect hotel.
5. Young planted trees.
6. Scrub included frequent dog rose Rosa canina and occasional buddleia Buddleja davidii. Trees include lime from the treeline and London plane.

Phase 1 Map and Photos
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
Channel Island (Douglas) Estate

Site Information

Site name: Channel Island (Douglas) Estate  
GLA Parcel Count: 1  
GLA Parcel Refs: 03467/01  
PPG17 ID: None avail  
PPG17 typology: None available  
Grid reference: 532410, 184660  
Owner: TBC  
Manager: TBC  
Access/view from: No access. Approx. 90% viewable through fences.  
Permission to enter from: N/A

Survey Data

Survey date: 15/02/2018  
Surveyor name: Rebecca Turner  
Weather: Cloud, sunny  
Level of use: Frequent use  
Maintenance and management: Satisfactory  
Existing threats and disturbances:  
- Redevelopment
- Invasive plants
- Erosion
- Vandalism
- Litter
- Dog fouling
- Fly tipping

Potential enhancements:  
- Mowing Regime
- Meadow creation
- Wetland creation
- Tree Planting
- Wildlife friendly planting
- Information/education
- Active tree management
- Loggery/dead wood
- Other

Change since last survey:

Interest:  
- Fish
- Amphibian
- Reptile
- Higher Plant
- Fungi
- Bird
- Bryophyte
- Mammal
- Lichen

Comments: A particularly species rich area is located to the north-west of the site. There were several mature trees.

Species richness Average/Rich  
Notable Topography / Aspects

No notable

Habitat Calculations

C3.1 Other tall herb and fern (ruderal) / A3.1 960.59 m²  
HS Hard standing 3978.87 m²  
J1.2 Amenity grassland 2575.74 m²  
J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved 2332.16 m²  
J1.4 Introduced shrub 688.53 m²  
J1.4 Introduced shrub / A3.1 Broadleaved 93.18 m²  
J3.6 Buildings 935.29 m²
Islington Ecology Surveys: 
Channel Island (Douglas) Estate

J5 Other habitat 453.71 m²

**Target Notes**

1. Shrubs with a bramble Rubus fruticosus agg. and ivy Hedera helix, and trees including lime Tilia x europaea sp.
2. Species included barberry Mahonia sp and paperplant Fatsia japonica.
3. Private garden for residents. A few trees along a shrub line included false acacia Robinia pseudoacacia and cherry Prunus sp.
4. Species included dominant annual meadow grass Poa annua, abundant perennial rye grass Lolium perenne, frequent ribwort plantain Plantago lanceolata and daisy Bellis perennis, and occasional daffodil Narcissus pseudonarcissus ssp.
5. Grassland was not as species-rich as the grassland to north-west. Dominant annual meadow grass. Trees included lime.
6. Trees dominant beech Fagus sylvatica.
8. Dominant perennial rye grass Lolium perenne, abundant yarrow Achillea millefolium, daisy Bellis perennis and red fescue Festuca rubra, frequent dandelion Taraxacum agg., and occasional dove-foot cranesbill Geranium molle.

**Phase 1 Map and Photos**
Islington Ecology Surveys: Elthorne Park (and Sunnyside Gardens)

### Site Information

- **Site name:** Elthorne Park (and Sunnyside Gardens)
- **Site ID:** LUC21
- **GLA Parcel Count:** 0
- **GLA Parcel Refs:** None available
- **PPG17 ID:** HS24B1
- **PPG17 typology:** Play and youth facilities
- **Grid reference:** 529987, 187399
- **Owner:** TBC
- **Manager:** TBC
- **Access/view from:** N/A
- **Permission to enter from:** N/A

### Site area: 2.95 ha

### Survey Data

- **Survey date:** 23/01/2018
- **Surveyor name:** Rory Glackin
- **Weather:** Overcast, Cold, Light breeze
- **Level of use:** Frequent use
- **Planning status:** SINC Borough Grade 2
- **Maintenance and management:** Good

#### Existing threats and disturbances:
- Redevelopment
- Invasive plants
- Erosion
- Vandalism
- Dog fouling
- Fly tipping
- Litter

#### Comments:
There was some dog fouling and dropped litter observed across the park.

#### Potential enhancements:
- Mowing Regime
- Meadow creation
- Wetland creation
- Tree Planting
- Information/education
- Loggery/dead wood
- Active tree management
- Wildlife friendly planting
- Other

#### Comments:
The area contains a variety of habitats which offer opportunity to a variety of species. There are opportunities to increase habitat and species richness, particularly within the Philip Noel-Baker peace garden. This could potentially be used for educational purposes (i.e. information boards, creation of log piles and insect hotels and increasing the variety of native and non-native species planted).

#### Change since last survey: Yes

#### Comments:
Possible reduction in trees or canopy cover.

#### Interest:
- Fish
- Amphibian
- Reptile
- Higher Plant
- Fungi
- Bird
- Bryophyte
- Mammal
- Lichen

#### Comments:
A variety of hedgerows and scrub provide good opportunities for birds and mammals. Ornamental ponds within the memorial garden could provide suitable habitat for local amphibians.

#### Species richness Average/Rich

#### Notable Topography / Aspects
No notable

### Habitat Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Description</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS Hard standing</td>
<td>3199.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland</td>
<td>5785.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved</td>
<td>12602.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J3.6 Buildings</td>
<td>650.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J5 Other habitat</td>
<td>3973.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Islington Ecology Surveys:
Elthorne Park (and Sunnyside Gardens)

**OP Ornamental planting** 3276.59 m²

#### Target Notes

1. Outdoor gym
2. Ornamental planting
3. Ornamental planting
4. Planted hedgerows
5. Dense shrub
6. Ornamental hedge planted along boundary

#### Phase 1 Map and Photos
### Site Information

**Site name:** Finsbury Estate  
**Site area:** 0.53 ha  
**Site ID:** LUC7

- **GLA Parcel Count:** 0  
- **GLA Parcel Refs:** None available
- **PPG17 ID:** HS203B;  
  **PPG17 typology:** Play and youth facilities; Housing amenity space
- **Grid reference:** 531488, 182525
- **Owner:** TBC  
- **Manager:** TBC  
- **Access/view from:** N/A  
- **Permission to enter from:** N/A

### Survey Data

- **Survey date:** 25/01/2018  
- **Surveyor name:** Rebecca Turner  
- **Access:** Part  
- **Planning status:** None  
- **Level of use:** Moderate numbers  
- **Maintenance and management:** Good

#### Existing threats and disturbances:

- Redevelopment  
- Invasive plants  
- Erosion  
- Vandalism  
- Litter  
- Dog fouling  
- Fly tipping

#### Potential enhancements:

- Mowing Regime  
- Meadow creation  
- Wetland creation  
- Tree Planting  
- Information/education  
- Active tree management  
- Loggery/dead wood  
- Wildlife friendly planting  
- Other

#### Change since last survey:

#### Comments:

Mowing regime could be adjusted to encourage species diversity. The creation of log piles would encourage greater diversity of invertebrate populations. There is also potential for the installation of bird and bat boxes.

#### Interest:

- Fish  
- Amphibian  
- Reptile  
- Higher Plant  
- Fungi  
- Bird  
- Bryophyte  
- Mammal  
- Lichen

#### Species richness

Average

#### Notable Topography / Aspects

No notable

### Habitat Calculations

- HS Hard standing 2406.38 m²
- J1.2 Amenity grassland 384.98 m²
- J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved 2404.86 m²
- J1.4 Introduced shrub 64.07 m²
- J3.6 Buildings 20.61 m²
- OP Ornamental planting 27.24 m²
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
Finsbury Estate

Target Notes

1  Playground

2  Trees included cherry Prunus sp, London plane Platanus x hispanica and false acacia Robinia pseudoacacia.

3  Amenity grassland with dominant annual meadow grass Poa annua, abundant chickweed Stellaria media, frequent dove-foot cranesbill Geranium molle. Trees included young to semi-mature trees, such as turkey oak Quercus cerris and horse chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum.

4  A treeline bordering the park with low lying scrub. Trees included dominant cherry.

5  Hedge dominated by snowberry Symphoricarpos albus.

6  Amenity grassland with dominant annual meadow grass, abundant perennial rye grass and dove’s-foot cranes-bill, locally frequent green alkanet Pentaglottis sempervirens, frequent yarrow Achillea millefolium, occasional dandelion Taraxacum agg. and chickweed.

Phase 1 Map and Photos
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Finsbury Estate
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Grenville Road Gardens

Site Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Information</th>
<th></th>
<th>Site ID:   LUC2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site name:      Grenville Road Gardens</td>
<td>GLA Parcel Count: 1</td>
<td>GLA Parcel Refs: 03231/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLA Parcel Count: 1</td>
<td>GLA Parcel Refs: 03231/01</td>
<td>Site ID:     LUC2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG17 ID:       GS45</td>
<td>PPG17 typology: Parks and Gardens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid reference:  530360, 187248</td>
<td>Owner: TBC</td>
<td>Access/view from: N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner: TBC</td>
<td>Permission to enter from: N/A</td>
<td>Permission to enter from: N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Data</th>
<th></th>
<th>Site area: 0.16 ha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey date: 23/01/2018</td>
<td>Time spent: 30mins</td>
<td>Site ID: LUC2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor name: Amy Coleman</td>
<td>Access: All</td>
<td>Site area: 0.16 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather: Full cloud coverage</td>
<td>Planning status: None</td>
<td>Site area: 0.16 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of use: Frequent use</td>
<td>Maintenance and management: Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Existing threats and disturbances:
- Redevelopment
- Invasive plants
- Erosion
- Vandalism
- Litter
- Dog fouling
- Fly tipping

Comments:
The site is small and is comprised mostly of allotments and ornamental planting around the border. This includes varied shrub and flower species - including ornamental willow (Salix sp.), dogwood (Cornus sp.), bay laurel (Laurus nobilis), hedge bindweed (Calystegia sepium) and several grasses. A small pond is becoming established.

Potential enhancements:
- Mowing Regime
- Meadow creation
- Wetland creation
- Tree Planting
- Information/education
- Active tree management
- Loggergy/dead wood
- Wildlife friendly planting
- Other

Comments:
Although the site is small there is potential to undertake educational talks. Grassland diversity outside of planting areas could be improved.

Change since last survey: Not previously surveyed

Comments:

Interest:
- Fish
- Amphibian
- Reptile
- Higher Plant
- Fungi
- Bird
- Bryophyte
- Mammal
- Lichen

Comments: Although there were many bird and squirrel boxes installed species specific boxes could be helpful in targeting species diversity. There was a small log pile and an insect or small mammal 'hotel'.

Species richness Average

Notable Topography / Aspects

Habitat Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Calculations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL Allotment</td>
<td>84.48 m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6 Poor semi-improved grassland</td>
<td>341.96 m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6 Poor semi-improved grassland / A3.1 Bro</td>
<td>74.57 m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Hard standing</td>
<td>485.13 m2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notable Topography / Aspects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Calculations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL Allotment</td>
<td>84.48 m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6 Poor semi-improved grassland</td>
<td>341.96 m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6 Poor semi-improved grassland / A3.1 Bro</td>
<td>74.57 m2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Hard standing</td>
<td>485.13 m2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Grenville Road Gardens

Phase 1 Map and Photos

Target Notes

1 Community allotments
2 Varied ornamental shrubs, flowers and grasses
3 Ornamental flowers and small shrub planting
4 Playground
5 Insect hotel
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Grimthorpe House (Percival Estate)

Site Information

Site name: Grimthorpe House (Percival Estate)  
Site area: 0.28 ha  
Site ID: LUC6

GLA Parcel Count: 0  GLA Parcel Refs: None available
PPG17 ID: HS315A1;  PPG17 typology: Play and youth facilities; Play and youth facilities
Grid reference: 531739, 182416
Owner: TBC  
Manager: TBC
Access/view from: N/A  
Permission to enter from: N/A

Survey Data

Survey date: 25/01/2018  
Surveyor name: Rebecca Turner  
Time spent: 1 hour  
Access: All
Weather: Sunny with cloud  
Planning status: None
Level of use: Not or hardly used  
Maintenance and management: Good

Existing threats and disturbances:
☐ Redevelopment  ☐ Invasive plants  ☑ Erosion  ☐ Vandalism  ☐ Litter
☐ Dog fouling  ☐ Fly tipping

Comments: Some areas of grassland was worn away.

Potential enhancements:
☐ Mowing Regime  ☑ Meadow creation  ☐ Wetland creation  ☐ Tree Planting  ☐ Information/education
☐ Active tree management  ☐ Loggery/dead wood  ☑ Wildlife friendly planting  ☐ Other

Comments: There is potential to create more opportunities for wildlife enhancement, such as log piles, bird and bat boxes.

Change since last survey:
Comments:

Interest:
☐ Fish  ☐ Amphibian  ☐ Reptile  ☐ Higher Plant  ☐ Fungi
☑ Bird  ☐ Bryophyte  ☐ Mammal  ☐ Lichen

Comments:
Species richness Average

Notable Topography / Aspects

Habitat Calculations

HS Hard standing  488.93 m²
J1.2 Amenity grassland  1682.31 m²
J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved  509.35 m²
J1.2 Amenity grassland / J1.4 Introduced shr  109.22 m²
OP Ornamental planting  50.47 m²
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Grimthorpe House (Percival Estate)

Target Notes

1. A mixture of semi-mature trees, including Acer sp and newly planted young cherry Prunus sp trees.
2. A range of ornamental shrubs including a small number of young ash Fraxinus excelsior and elder Sambucus nigra, and single buddleia Buddleja davidii.
3. Trees include oak Quercus sp. Bat box present.
4. Amenity grassland was not rigorously managed. Species included dominant annual meadow grass Poa annua, abundant perennial rye grass Lolium perenne, frequent dove's-foot cranes-bill Geranium molle and chickweed Stellaria media, and occasional daisy Bellis.
5. Amenity Grassland as per previous target note. A small area of shrub planting was recorded in the south-west corner and along the western boundary.
6. Treeline included false acacia Robinia pseudoacacia and lime Tilia x europaea sp.
7. A single semi-mature false acacia. Adjacent to the tree were two young palm Arecaceae sp trees.
8. Amenity grassland with dominant annual meadow grass, abundant perennial rye grass, locally abundant ground ivy Glechoma hederacea, frequent daisy and occasional cleaver Galium aparine.
9. A tree line with low level shrubs. Trees included dominant cherry and occasional willow Salix sp. Shrubs included elder, dog rose Rosa canina and firethorn Pyracantha coccinea.

Phase 1 Map and Photos
Islington Ecology Surveys: Hatchard Road Wildlife Garden

Site Information

Site name: Hatchard Road Wildlife Garden
GLA Parcel Count: 2 GLA Parcel Refs: 03232/01, 03258/01
PPG17 ID: None avail PPG17 typology: None available
Grid reference: 529995, 186913
Owner: TBC
Manager: TBC
Access/view from: Hatchard Road (through gate)
Permission to enter from: N/A

Survey Data

Survey date: 25/01/2018
Surveyor name: Rebecca Turner
Weather: Full cloud coverage
Level of use: Not or hardly used
Existing threats and disturbances:
☑ Redevelopment □ Invasive plants □ Erosion □ Vandalism □ Litter
☐ Dog fouling ☐ Fly tipping

Comments:
Potential enhancements:
☑ Mowing Regime ☑ Meadow creation □ Wetland creation □ Tree Planting □ Information/education
☑ Active tree management ☑ Loggery/dead wood □ Wildlife friendly planting □ Other

Comments: The area has no public access. There is opportunity to enhance local ground flora and provide additional opportunities for insects and reptiles through the establishment of log piles.

Change since last survey:
Comments:

Interest:
☐ Fish ☐ Amphibian ☐ Reptile ☐ Higher Plant ☐ Fungi
☑ Bird ☐ Bryophyte ☐ Mammal ☐ Lichen

Comments:
Species richness Average
Notable Topography / Aspects
No notable

Habitat Calculations

A1.1.1 Broadleaved woodland (semi-natural) 257.70 m²
A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous) 132.91 m²
HS Hard standing 67.76 m²

Target Notes

1 Ground flora dominated by Poa sp and false oat grass Arrhenatherum elatius with ruderal and herb species e.g. buttercup Ranunculus sp and daisy Bellis perennis. Some evidence of ivy at the base of trees and bramble Rubus fruticosus agg. along the boundary
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Hatchard Road Wildlife Garden

Phase 1 Map and Photos
# Islington Ecology Surveys:
## Hornsey Lane/The Trenches at the top of Hornsey Lane Estate

### Site Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Site name:</strong></th>
<th>Hornsey Lane/The Trenches at the top of Hornsey Lane Estate</th>
<th><strong>Site ID:</strong></th>
<th>LUC10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GLA Parcel Count:</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>GLA Parcel Refs:</strong></td>
<td>03680/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PPG17 ID:</strong></td>
<td>HS1C4</td>
<td><strong>PPG17 typology:</strong></td>
<td>Housing amenity space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grid reference:</strong></td>
<td>529555, 187693</td>
<td><strong>Survey date:</strong></td>
<td>23/01/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Owner:</strong></td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td><strong>Surveyor name:</strong></td>
<td>Rory Glackin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manager:</strong></td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td><strong>Time spent:</strong></td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access/view from:</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td><strong>Access:</strong></td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permission to enter from:</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td><strong>Planning status:</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site area:</strong></td>
<td>0.24 ha</td>
<td><strong>Maintenance and management:</strong></td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Survey Data

| **Survey date:** | 23/01/2018 | **Time spent:** | 0.50 |
| **Surveyor name:** | Rory Glackin | **Access:** | All |
| **Weather:** | Overcast, cold, light breeze | **Planning status:** | None |
| **Level of use:** | Not or hardly used | **Maintenance and management:** | Satisfactory |

#### Existing threats and disturbances:
- [ ] Redevelopment
- [ ] Invasive plants
- [ ] Erosion
- [ ] Vandalism
- [✓] Litter
- [✓] Dog fouling
- [ ] Fly tipping

**Comments:** There was some sparse litter and dog fouling.

#### Potential enhancements:
- [✓] Mowing Regime
- [ ] Meadow creation
- [ ] Wetland creation
- [✓] Tree Planting
- [ ] Information/education
- [✓] Active tree management
- [ ] Loggery/dead wood
- [✓] Wildlife friendly planting
- [ ] Other

**Comments:** The area showed only minor habitation variation – dominated by amenity grassland with scattered trees offering limited opportunities for wildlife. Shrub planting along boundaries would provide a visual and acoustic barrier between both adjacent residential properties and the main road. This would also increase the aesthetic appeal of the area. A relaxed mowing regime could be introduced for areas of longer grass which would help increase species diversity.

**Change since last survey:** N/A

**Comments:** None

#### Interest:
- [ ] Fish
- [✓] Amphibian
- [ ] Reptile
- [✓] Higher Plant
- [ ] Fungi
- [✓] Bird
- [ ] Bryophyte
- [✓] Mammal
- [ ] Lichen

**Comments:** Wildflower planting and a relaxed mowing regime could potentially enhance habitat for birds and mammals. Trees may offer opportunity to foraging and nesting birds and roosting bats.

**Species richness** None

### Notable Topography / Aspects

**Habitat Calculations**

| J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved | 2379.33 m² |

### Target Notes

1. Hardstanding path.
2. Area of scrub and ornamental planting.
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Hornsey Lane/The Trenches at the top of Hornsey Lane Estate
Phase 1 Map and Photos
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Market Road Gardens

Site Information

Site name: Market Road Gardens
GLA Parcel Count: 2  GLA Parcel Refs: 03420/02, 03422/01
PPG17 ID: GS149; O  PPG17 typology: Parks and Gardens; Play and youth facilities; Allo
Grid reference: 530463, 184711
Owner: TBC
Manager: TBC
Access/view from: N/A
Permission to enter from: N/A

Site area: 1.11 ha
Site ID: LUC18

Survey Data

Survey date: 15/02/2018  Time spent: 1 hour
Surveyor name: Rebecca Turner  Access: All
Weather: Cloud, sunny  Planning status: SINC Borough Grade 2
Level of use: Frequent use  Maintenance and management: Satisfactory

Existing threats and disturbances:
- [ ] Redevelopment
- [ ] Invasive plants
- [x] Erosion
- [ ] Vandalism
- [ ] Litter
- [ ] Dog fouling
- [ ] Fly tipping

Comments: Large areas of erosion of grasses in places. A number of non-native plants

Potential enhancements:
- [ ] Mowing Regime
- [x] Meadow creation
- [ ] Wetland creation
- [x] Tree Planting
- [x] Information/education
- [ ] Loggery/dead wood
- [x] Wildlife friendly planting
- [ ] Other

Comments: There is potential to focus on planting more native species to the east of the site.

Change since last survey: Slight change

Comments: New area included which is not freely accessible to the public.

Interest:
- [ ] Fish
- [ ] Amphibian
- [ ] Reptile
- [ ] Higher Plant
- [ ] Fungi
- [x] Bird
- [ ] Bryophyte
- [x] Mammal
- [ ] Lichen

Comments:
Species richness  Poor/Average
Notable Topography / Aspects
No notable

Habitat Calculations

- A2.2 Scrub (scattered) / C3.1 Other tall herb  549.82 m²
- HS Hard standing  3432.20 m²
- J1.2 Amenity grassland  3942.93 m²
- J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved  352.13 m²
- J1.4 Introduced shrub  762.36 m²
- J1.4 Introduced shrub / A3.1 Broadleaved sc  991.31 m²
- J3.6 Buildings  271.61 m²
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Market Road Gardens

J4 Bare ground 44.59 m²
J4 Bare ground / A3.1 Broadleaved scattere 455.91 m²
J5 Other habitat 246.09 m²
OP Ornamental planting 25.39 m²

Target Notes

1. Amenity grassland with smaller areas of bulb planting around the periphery. A single mature London plane Platanus x hispanica was noted.
10. Amenity grassland with a small number of shrubs and mature trees including London plane, cherry and oak sp.
11. Sand pit
12. As per previous target note with scattered birch Betula.
13. Species included Buxus sp, bamboo Poaceae sp, laurel Prunus sp, buddleia Buddleja davidii and dog rose Rosa canina. Trees include hazel Corylus avellana and London plane.

2. Amenity grassland with a small number of shrubs and mature trees including London plane, cherry Punus sp and oak Quercus sp.
3. An area bare ground comprised of dumped woodchip and soil interspersed with mature London plane.
5. A small area of ornamental planting.
6. Introduced shrub dominated by laurel Prunus, a spotted laurel Aucuba japonica and barberry Mahonia sp, frequent paperplant Fatsia japonica, snowberry Symphoricarpos albus and occasional Buxus sp. Trees included mature London plane and Italian alder Alnus
7. Amenity grassland as per previous target notes. Trees with dominant London plane.
8. Haywards adventure playground
9. An area with lots of children’s climbing frames. Area with dominant annual meadow grass Poa annua, frequent herb-Robert, locally frequent red dead nettle Lamium purpureum, occasional white dead nettle Lamium album and locally rare crocus Crocus vernus.

Phase 1 Map and Photos
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
St John's Way Verge

Site Information

Site name: St John's Way Verge
GLA Parcel Count: 1  GLA Parcel Refs: 03212/01
PPG17 ID: HS24C1  PPG17 typology: Housing amenity space
Grid reference: 529720, 187241
Owner: TBC
Manager: TBC
Access/view from: N/A
Permission to enter from: N/A

Site area: 0.99 ha
Site ID: LUC5

Survey Data

Survey date: 23/01/2018
Surveyor name: Amy Coleman
Weather: overcast
Level of use: Frequent use
Time spent: 20mins
Access: All
Planning status: None
Maintenance and management: Good

Existing threats and disturbances:

- Redevelopment
- Invasive plants
- Erosion
- Vandalism
- Litter
- Dog fouling
- Fly tipping

Comments: Adjacent to a main road where people walk dogs and some litter present. Some observed during the survey.

Potential enhancements:

- Mowing Regime
- Meadow creation
- Wetland creation
- Tree Planting
- Information/education
- Active tree management
- Loggery/dead wood
- Wildlife friendly planting
- Other

Comments: Establishing log piles would improve habitat diversity. The eastern end of grassland is patchy and bare in places – in comparison to the western end where the grassland is longer. Management of the eastern end could help to improve habitat quality.

Change since last survey: Not previously surveyed

Comments:

Interest:

- Fish
- Amphibian
- Reptile
- Higher Plant
- Bird
- Bryophyte
- Mammal
- Fungi
- Lichen

Comments:

Species richness Poor

Notable Topography / Aspects

Habitat Calculations

A1.1.1 Broadleaved woodland (semi-natural)  595.24 m²
J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved  9335.63 m²

Target Notes

Short amenity grassland with a good variety of mature trees including London plane (*Platanus x hispanica*), oak (*Quercus* sp), lime (*Tilia x europaea* sp.) and horse chestnut.
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
St Marks Primary

Site Information

Site name: St Marks Primary  
Site area: 0.56 ha

GLA Parcel Count: 0  
GLA Parcel Refs: None available

PPG17 ID: None avail  
PPG17 typology: None available

Grid reference: 530424, 186642

Owner: TBC  
Manager: TBC

Access/view from: N/A  
Permission to enter from: N/A

Survey Data

Survey date: 20/03/2018  
Time spent: 1.5 hours

Surveyor name: Rory Glackin  
Access: Part

Weather: Sunny with cloud  
Planning status: None

Level of use: Frequent use  
Maintenance and management: Satisfactory

Existing threats and disturbances:

- Redevelopment  
- Invasive plants  
- Erosion  
- Vandalism  
- Litter  
- Dog fouling  
- Fly tipping

Comments: There is a lack of grassland management. The area requires re-sowing and a more relaxed mowing regime.

Potential enhancements:

- Mowing Regime  
- Meadow creation  
- Wetland creation  
- Tree Planting  
- Information/education

- Loggery/dead wood  
- Wildlife friendly planting  
- Other

Comments: Building on the existing and creating new log piles. Grassland requires re-sowing and could benefit from a more relaxed mowing regime and protection from current sources of erosion and compaction. Planting of native shrubs along the edge of amenity grassland, within the designated wildlife garden. There is an opportunity to plant more species in the ornamental beds and to generally improve management. Completing the insect hotel for use by invertebrates.

Change since last survey: Not previously surveyed

Comments: N/A

Interest:

- Fish  
- Amphibian  
- Reptile  
- Higher Plant  
- Fungi  
- Bird  
- Bryophyte  
- Mammal  
- Lichen

Comments: Frog spawn was observed in the pond. There were also a variety of aquatic plant species providing benefits to local amphibians and invertebrates. Semi-mature trees and ornamental shrub provide suitable nesting and foraging opportunities for birds.

Species richness Average

Notable Topography / Aspects

No notable

Habitat Calculations

- G1 Standing water 2.54 m²
- HS Hard standing 2147.05 m²
- J1.2 Amenity grassland 348.05 m²
Islington Ecology Surveys:
St Marks Primary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J3.6 Buildings</td>
<td>1804.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J5 Other habitat</td>
<td>798.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP Ornamental planting</td>
<td>513.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Target Notes**

1. Semi mature tree

**Phase 1 Map and Photos**
# Islington Ecology Surveys: St Mary Magdalene Garden

## Site Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name:</th>
<th>St Mary Magdalene Garden</th>
<th>Site area:</th>
<th>1.80 ha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLA Parcel Count:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>GLA Parcel Refs:</td>
<td>03435/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG17 ID:</td>
<td>GS158</td>
<td>PPG17 typology:</td>
<td>Cemeteries, disused churchyards and other burial g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid reference:</td>
<td>531258 , 184937</td>
<td>Owner:</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access/view from:</td>
<td>open access</td>
<td>Manager:</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permission to enter from:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Surveyor name:</td>
<td>Rebecca Turner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Survey Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey date:</th>
<th>15/02/2018</th>
<th>Time spent:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor name:</td>
<td>Rebecca Turner</td>
<td>Access: All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather:</td>
<td>Cold, Cold, sunny with light rain</td>
<td>Planning status:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of use:</td>
<td>Frequent use</td>
<td>Maintenance and management:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing threats and disturbances:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Redevelopment</td>
<td>☐ Invasive plants</td>
<td>☑ Erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Dog fouling</td>
<td>☐ Fly tipping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

Potential enhancements:

- ☑ Mowing Regime
- ☑ Meadow creation
- ☐ Wetland creation
- ☐ Tree Planting
- ☑ Information/education
- ☐ Active tree management
- ☑ Loggery/dead wood
- ☐ Wildlife friendly planting
- ☐ Other

**Comments:** Meadow creation in areas of amenity grassland.

**Change since last survey:** Same

## Interest

- ☐ Fish
- ☐ Amphibian
- ☐ Reptile
- ☐ Higher Plant
- ☐ Fungi
- ☑ Bird
- ☐ Bryophyte
- ☑ Mammal
- ☐ Lichen

**Comments:** There were bird and bat boxes recorded.

**Species richness** Average/Rich

## Notable Topography / Aspects

No notable

## Habitat Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Description</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous) / A3.1 Broadleaved</td>
<td>715.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Hard standing</td>
<td>5123.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland</td>
<td>552.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved</td>
<td>8671.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.4 Introduced shrub</td>
<td>196.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.4 Introduced shrub / A3.1 Broadleaved sc</td>
<td>1279.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J3.6 Buildings</td>
<td>482.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
St Mary Magdalene Garden

J4 Bare ground / J1.4 Introduced shrub / A3.  871.25 m²
OP Ornamental planting  141.94 m²

Target Notes

1. Dominant Buxus sp.
2. Small area of ornamental planting in the centre of the grassland
3. Dominant annual meadow grass Poa annua with semi-mature to mature London plane Platanus x hispanica trees. Some trees were noted with bat roost potential and bat boxes were present.
4. Amenity grassland as per previous TN. A small number of rose Rosa sp beds included within the grassland. Trees included dominant lime Tilia x europaea sp.
5. Trees included sycamore Acer pseudoplatanus, Italian alder Alnus cordata, lime Tilia x europaea sp. and hawthorn Crataegus monogyna. Shrub included snowberry Symphoricarpos albus, dog rose Rosa canina and Barberry Mahonia sp. Ground flora had a small numb
6. Trees include willow Salix sp, Turkey oak Quercus cerris and London plane.
7. Mature London plane. At the base of the trees were abundant herb-Robert Geranium robertianum and frequent daffodils.

Phase 1 Map and Photos
Islington Ecology Surveys:
St Mary Magdalene Garden
Islington Ecology Surveys:
The Woodlands

Site Information

Site name: The Woodlands
GLA Parcel Count: 1  GLA Parcel Refs: 03359/01
PPG17 ID: None avail  PPG17 typology: None available
Grid reference: 532130, 185502
Owner: TBC
Manager: TBC
Access/view from: N/A
Permission to enter from: N/A

Survey Data

Survey date: 15/02/2018
Surveyor name: Rebecca Turner
Weather: Cold, sunny
Level of use: Infrequent
Existing threats and disturbances:
- Redevelopment
- Invasive plants
- Erosion
- Vandalism
- Litter
- Dog fouling
- Fly tipping
Comments: None.

Potential enhancements:
- Mowing Regime
- Meadow creation
- Wetland creation
- Tree Planting
- Information/education
- Active tree management
- Loggery/dead wood
- Wildlife friendly planting
- Other
Comments: The species composition of scrub and hedge areas could be diversified to promote use by wildlife.
Change since last survey: Not previously surveyed

Interest:
- Fish
- Amphibian
- Reptile
- Higher Plant
- Fungi
- Bird
- Bryophyte
- Mammal
- Lichen

Species richness  Average/Rich
Notable Topography / Aspects
No notable

Habitat Calculations

A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous) / A3.1 Broadl  215.25 m²
J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved  1319.22 m²

Target Notes

1. Grass with dominant red fescue, locally abundant ground ivy Glechoma hederacea, frequent daffodil Narcissus pseudonarcissus ssp, crocus Crocus vernus, cocks-foot Dactylis glomerata and perennial rye grass Lolium perenne, and occasional daisy Bellis perenn
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
The Woodlands

Ground flora with dominant ivy Hedera helix and occasional green alkanet Pentaglottis sempervirens. Scrub included dog rose Rosa canina, holly Ilex aquifolium and bramble Rubus fruticosus agg. Trees included London plane Platanus x hispanica.

3 An area of introduced shrub with barberry Mahonia sp.

4 Dominant hawthorn Crataegus monogyna.
Islington Ecology Surveys: 
Upper Holloway Railway Cutting

Site Information

Site name: Upper Holloway Railway Cutting
GLA Parcel Count: 8
GLA Parcel Refs: 03231/01, 03231/02, 03232/01, 03232/02, 03233/01, 03235/01, 03258/01, 03260/01

PPG17 ID: None avail
PPG17 typology: None available

Grid reference: 530151, 187062
Owner: TBC
Manager: TBC

Access/view from: Road bridges and railway platforms along site.
Permission to enter from: N/A

Survey Data

Survey date: 25/01/2018
Surveyor name: Rebecca Turner
Weather: Full cloud coverage
Level of use: Not or hardly used

Time spent: None
Planning status: SINC Borough Grade 1
Maintenance and management: Poor

Existing threats and disturbances:
- Invasive plants
- Erosion
- Vandalism
- Litter
- Dog fouling
- Fly tipping

Comments:
- Potential enhancements:
  - Active tree management
  - Meadow creation

Comments: Woodland habitats provide opportunities for bats and birds. Rough grassland provides good habitat for reptiles

Change since last survey:

Comments:

Interest:
- Fish
- Amphibian
- Reptile
- Mammal
- Fungi
- Bryophyte
- Lichen

Comments: There is opportunity to enhance woodland structure and reduce non-native species (e.g. Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) Norway maple (Acer platinoides) and buddleia (Buddleia davidii)).

Species richness Average

Notable Topography / Aspects
No notable

Habitat Calculations

A1.1.1 Broadleaved woodland (semi-natural) 16981.57 m²
A2.1 Scrub (dense/continuous) 19336.34 m²
HS Hard standing 78.31 m²
J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved 22.77 m²
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
Upper Holloway Railway Cutting

Target Notes

1 Dominant sycamore Acer pseudoplatanus and Norway maple Acer platanoides. Understorey sycamore and ash Fraxinus excelsior regeneration. Ground flora with dominant ivy Hedera helix, locally abundant chickweed Stellaria media and frequent herb-Robert Geraniu

10 Scrub with dominant buddleia Buddleja davidii and occasional bramble Rubus fruticosus agg.

11 Restricted views. Ground layer/understorey dominant bramble Rubus fruticosus agg., abundant elder Sambucus nigra and sycamore and frequent birch Betula sp.

12 Dominant blackthorn Prunus spinosa, abundant cherry laurel Prunus laurocerasus, frequent bramble and occasional sycamore. No signs of Japanese knotweed Fallopia japonica. Small areas of bare ground and railway walkway.


3 Dominant ash.


5 Canopy dominant ash and sycamore, frequent Norway maple, occasional birch and oak Quercus sp. Understorey with hawthorn Crataegus monogyna and buddleia. Ground flora has low level holly Ilex aquifolium, abundant bramble and ivy. Birds nests present.

6 A large area of deadwood. Canopy with dominant birch, and abundant young sycamore. Understorey was sporadic and comprised of occasional buddleia. Ground flora with dominant ivy and abundant bramble.

7 Canopy dominant birch and ash, abundant sycamore, occasional oak. Understorey dominant buddleia, frequent ash regeneration and cherry Prunus sp. Ground flora with dominant with bare ground with ruderal and tall herbs, including bristly ox tongue Picris ech.

8 Mosaic of grassland and scrub. Dominant ivy, abundant bramble and occasional buddleia. Trees include ash.

9 A mosaic of scrub with rough grassland. Species included sycamore, ash, elder, bramble and buddleia. Not able to do a detailed floral survey.

Phase 1 Map and Photos
Islington Ecology Surveys: Whittington Park

Site Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name: Whittington Park</th>
<th>Site ID: LUC1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLA Parcel Count: 1</td>
<td>GLA Parcel Refs: 03264/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG17 ID: GS60</td>
<td>PPG17 typology: Parks and Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid reference: 529808 , 186440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner: TBC</td>
<td>Manager: TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access/view from: N/A</td>
<td>Permission to enter from: N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey date: 23/01/2018</th>
<th>Time spent: 2 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor name: Rory Glackin</td>
<td>Access: Part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather: Overcast, Cold, light breeze</td>
<td>Planning status: SINC Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of use: Frequent use</td>
<td>Maintenance and management: Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Existing threats and disturbances:

- [ ] Redevelopment
- [ ] Invasive plants
- [✓] Erosion
- [ ] Vandalism
- [✓] Litter
- [✓] Dog fouling
- [ ] Fly tipping

Comments: Park is overall in good condition, although some litter had accumulated in marginal vegetation by the pond. Frequent dog use contributes towards erosion and fouling as found previously. Some areas of grassland were water logged, resulting in erosion and damage to grassland.

Potential enhancements:

- [✓] Mowing Regime
- [ ] Meadow creation
- [ ] Wetland creation
- [✓] Tree Planting
- [✓] Information/education
- [✓] Loggery/dead wood
- [✓] Wildlife friendly planting
- [ ] Other

Comments: Grassland has evidently been mown regularly and species present are typical urban grassland species. A relaxed mowing regime under trees could help to increase species diversity. The pond to the northwest, currently used for pond dipping experience, could be improved through continued management. This would improve its suitability for amphibians.

Change since last survey: Minor gain

Comments: The north-western development is now a pond and contains areas of amenity grassland.

Interest:

- [✓] Fish
- [✓] Amphibian
- [ ] Reptile
- [ ] Higher Plant
- [ ] Fungi
- [✓] Bird
- [ ] Bryophyte
- [✓] Mammal
- [ ] Lichen

Comments: The pond is known to support local amphibians. Birds and potentially bats benefit from the areas of woodland and scattered tree for foraging and nesting purposes. Bird boxes have been observed.

Species richness Average/Rich

Notable Topography / Aspects

No notable

Habitat Calculations

| A1.1.1 Broadleaved woodland (semi-natural) | 4143.11 m² |
| C3.1 Other tall herb and fern (ruderal)   | 421.35 m²  |
| G1 Standing water                       | 78.35 m²   |
Islington Ecology Surveys:
Whittington Park

HS Hard standing 660.90 m²
J1.2 Amenity grassland 10606.48 m²
J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved 8630.10 m²
J3.6 Buildings 547.83 m²
J5 Other habitat 10544.45 m²
OP Ornamental planting 1230.88 m²

Target Notes
1. Outdoor gym equipment.
2. Ornamental planting.
3. Planting bed along edge.
4. Ornamental planting beds.
5. Skateboarding section.
6. Table tennis table.
7. Ornamental and native planting along boundary wall.

Phase 1 Map and Photos
### Site Information

**Site name:** Wray Crescent Open Space

**GLA Parcel Count:** 1  **GLA Parcel Refs:** 03247/01

**PPG17 ID:** GS61  **PPG17 typology:** Parks and Gardens

**Grid reference:** 530535, 186956

**Owner:** TBC  **Manager:** TBC

**Access/view from:** N/A  **Permission to enter from:** N/A

### Survey Data

**Survey date:** 23/01/2018  **Time spent:** 45mins

**Surveyor name:** Amy Coleman  **Access:** All

**Weather:** Full cloud coverage  **Planning status:** None

**Level of use:** Moderate numbers  **Maintenance and management:** Good

**Existing threats and disturbances:**
- Redevelopment
- Invasive plants
- Erosion
- Vandalism
- Litter
- Dog fouling
- Fly tipping

**Comments:**

**Potential enhancements:**
- Mowing Regime
- Meadow creation
- Wetland creation
- Tree Planting
- Information/education
- Active tree management
- Loggery/dead wood
- Wildlife friendly planting
- Other

**Comments:**

**Change since last survey:** No apparent change

**Comments:**

**Interest:**
- Fish
- Amphibian
- Reptile
- Higher Plant
- Fungi
- Bird
- Bryophyte
- Mammal
- Lichen

**Comments:** A bird box was noted in the woodland area to the south of the site.

**Species richness**  Average

**Notable Topography / Aspects**

Area to the north of the site undulates.

### Habitat Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Type</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1.3.1 Mixed woodland (semi-natural)</td>
<td>1902.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Hard standing</td>
<td>2764.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland</td>
<td>10271.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1.2 Amenity grassland / A3.1 Broadleaved</td>
<td>3631.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J3.6 Buildings</td>
<td>303.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J5 Other habitat</td>
<td>3142.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Islington Ecology Surveys:  
Wray Crescent Open Space

Target Notes

1. Mixed playground area with amenity grassland and scattered mature trees
2. Area of amenity grassland with parkland trees including London plane Platanus x hispanica, oak Quercus sp and field maple Acer campestre. Some ornamental shrubs noted close to the paths.
3. Amenity grassland used as a cricket pitch.
4. Mixed woodland with some scrub. The canopy included poplar Populus sp, oak, ash Fraxinus excelsior and sycamore Acer pseudoplatanus whilst the scrub included ornamental species, hawthorn Crataegus monogyna and privet Ligustrum sp.
5. Playground

Phase 1 Map and Photos
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Appendix 2
Phase 1 Habitat Survey – Habitat Legend
Appendix 3
Site Overview Map
Addendum

Letter from LUC regarding the updated site visit for Archway Park Local SINC confirming that it no longer requires de-designation.
Dear Sally

Re: Archway Park SINC updated assessment

Following the site visit of Archway Park Local SINC it has been determined that the site no longer requires de-designation.

LUC recommended the SINC be de-designated if no urgent action was taken given the decreased value of the site, primarily due to loss of species rich grassland to amenity grassland. The updated site visit confirmed action had been taken and that much of the amenity grassland had been seeded or left to grow (i.e. reduced mowing regime) and woodland species from the woodland area along the southern/south-western border had been allowed to expand. The grassland, more so in the western/north-western parts of the park had many grassland/woodland species as well as large areas of tall ruderal (umbellifers). Numerous species were recorded including red deadnettle, shepherds purge, Taraxacum spp., daisies, speedwell, creeping buttercup, herb Robert, celadine, common nettle, bristly ox-tongue, cow parsley, cranes-bill, garlic mustard, foxtail grass and red fescue.

Overall it is considered that the majority of the grassland had improved, the south western corner potentially requires a bit more work. However seed mix appeared to be sown which could establish further.

Therefore, given the improved ecology of the site and further potential, the decision to de-designate is no longer considered necessary.

Yours sincerely

Amy Coleman
Senior Ecologist
LUC
Amy.coleman@landuse.co.uk