Item 9 - Early Years 2021-22 Funding Consultation - Response summary

	Question	Response Y	Response N	Recommendation
Ī	1 SEN Inclusion Fund - Do you agree that we should provide an SEN Inclusion Fund at the	7	0	Continue with SEN Inclusion Fund
	level as set out in the consultation paper to support pupils with SEND?			

Comments:

- As long as children with severe need with undiagnosed conditions can access the funding fairly.
- The fund only covers 3s and 4s. In the future some consideration could be given to 2 year olds who are increasingly coming into nursery with high levels of need

2 Central Retention - Do you have any comments about central retention?			To continue with the current rate of Central Retention
---	--	--	--

Comments:

- Should be at the same rate as in previous years.
- No. Levels are appropriate.
- It helps to fund the EY Inclusion team and gives lee-way.
- No
- Funding should be available for central retention.
- Provides a good service, which supports schools and nurseries so essential. This year the team have been particularly supportive in many roles from providing excellent resources for staff working from home to updates, advice and encouragement.
- The EY team should be reasonably well resourced so to provide strategic and operational leadership and management of the system.

3	Central Retention - Do you have any comments about retaining 2.75% contingency for		To continue with the 2.75% contingency
	demographic growth and supporting any claw back of EY funding from the DfE due to a		
	reduced January 2021 headcount as a result of the pandemic?		

Comments:

- There needs to be transparency regarding how this amount is allocated to providers.
- We should retain contingency.
- Head counts will be lower however, we would be concerned if there was nothing in the LA purse for serious need which may be unforeseen need.
- Agreed.
- In the current climate there is no choice, like everyone the borough faces so many unknowns and a constant stream of changing sometimes unhelpful and contradictory instruction form central government.
- It would be wise to have some contingency money during this difficult time.

4	SEND Funding in the High Needs Block - Do you have any comments about SEND funding		
	for early years in the High Needs block?		
_			

Comments:

• It is important the council allocates enough money for SEND.

	 The council should also extend their support to small community nurseries with childre No change from current- fine. 	n with sever	e and compl	ex needs that are not categorised in the High Needs Block.
	I would ask the provision is fairly distributed.			
	• it is needed			
5	Disapplication Proposals - Do you agree that funding for 2 year olds should better reflect	7	0	Agree to proceed with disapplication request to DfE re
	the staffing ratios required?			maintaining the two year old rate
Con	nments:			•
	• This should be fair and balances out for us but for those schools/CC without toddlers m	nay not agre	e.	
	• it would reflect a more closer cost of providing childcare for the age group.			
	Better way to reflect the cost of providing childcare for the age group.			
6	3 and 4 Year Old EYNFF - Do you agree with the supplementary funding factors as prescribed above are used in the formula?	7	0	Continue with the supplementary factors in the EYNFF
Con	nments:	·L	1	
	As it is all around deprivation- that is most important			
	Provider input can offer information about family circumstance or other critical factors	which help	direct fundin	g in order to be proactive and prevent escalation of
	difficulties which may require more expensive intervention at a later stage			
	, ,			
7	3 and 4 Year Old EYNFF - Do you agree we should continue to use EYPP as the measure of	7	0	Continue using EYPP as the measure of deprivation
	deprivation?			within EYNFF
Con	nments:			•
	because of the families that use it			
	Not aware of any other option			
	Yes, until we get a better way of measuring deprivation.			
8	2 Year Old EYSFF - Do you agree that we continue to use a quality factor in the 2 year old	7	0	Continue with using a quality factor within 2 year old
	funding formula for nursery schools, primary schools, children's centres and PVI settings?			funding formula
Con	nments:			
	We must ensure a proper qualified person leads.			
	Absolutely quality counts			
•				
9	2 Year Old EYSFF - Do you agree that we continue to use a small setting factor in the	7	0	Continue with using a small setting factor within 2 year
	funding formula for childminders?			old funding formula, for childminders
10	2 Year Old EYSFF - Do you agree with protecting the 2 year old funding rate to providers	7	0	Agree to proceed with disapplication request to DfE re
	during 2021-22?			maintaining the two year old rate
Con	nments:			

• The funding has not increased for some years. The maintained nursery schools have to have a qualified teacher as SENCO which is costly

11	Given all the information above, will you continue to provide funded early education for	7	0	Use the 2, 3&4 year old funding rates included in the
	2, 3 and 4 year olds (as appropriate to your setting) at the proposed rates set out in the			consultation for 2021-22 funding allocations
	consultation paper?			
Cor	nments:			
	 We will continue our current provision 3-4 year olds. 			
	More money always welcome!			

Comments:

- We would like to know how many EYPR places are taken up across the borough.
- Please be mindful of transition of N children into R with very high needs where an EHCP is not in place. This is often the most challenging aspect of managing SEN provision and we have to constantly spend money we don't have, sometimes for children from other settings.
- This has been an exceptionally difficult year not least for the young children in our care. Primary school nurseries may benefit from the recovery funds provided but it is disappointing to find that no government recovery funding has been allocated to the early years. The borough is doing the best possible to mitigate this in very difficult times