

Chair: Abi Misselbrook-Lovejoy

C/o Governor Services

The Laycock Centre

London N1 1TH

Agenda

Date/time: Thursday 14 July 2022, 2pm to 4pm

Venue: Laycock PDC - Claremont Room

| | Item | Information and to note/ comments and views/decision | Author | Report |
|----|--|---|--------|----------|
| 1. | Apologies | | Clerk | |
| 2. | Minutes of previous meeting | (Schools Forum) | Clerk | Enclosed |
| 3. | Forum Composition | (Schools Forum) | JW | Enclosed |
| 4. | School Balances (FINAL 2021-22) | Information and to note | DS | Enclosed |
| 5. | DSG Outturn (FINAL 2021-22) | Information and to note | TP | Enclosed |
| 6. | Schools Internal Audit Programme Outcomes (2021-22) | Information and to note | UB | Enclosed |

| | Item | Information and to note/ comments and views/decision | Author | Report |
|-----|--|---|----------|------------------------------------|
| 7. | DSG Allocations (2022-23) | Information and to note | DS | To follow (data unavailable) |
| 8. | Updated DSG Budget (2022-23) | Information and to note | ТР | Enlcosed |
| 9. | DSG Schools Block estimated funding (2023-24) | Information and to note | DS | Enclosed |
| 10. | NFF Funding Consultation | Information and to note | DS | Enclosed |
| 11. | Forward Planning | Information | DS | Enclosed |
| 12. | Sub Group Updates: Early Years High Needs Capital | Information | AG ME | Verbal |
| 13. | AOB: Survey of Services update | Information | AC | Verbal |

Contact for queries:

Suzie Crawford / Governor Services

T 020 7527 5755



Meeting documents and minutes published on Islington Schools Forum webpage.

Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 19 May 2022 at 2pm – held virtually (MS Teams).

Attendees

Present

- Cassie Moss (CM); Head teacher, Yerbury Primary School (Vice-chair and Chair of the meeting)
- Jenny Lewis (JL); Head teacher, Thornhill Primary School
- Alan Streeter (AS); Head teacher, Beacon High Secondary School (Islington Futures Federation)
- Patrick Mildren (PM); Head teacher, Canonbury Primary School
- Ana Sevilla (ASe); Executive Head, New River Green and Packington Children's Centres
- Anita Grant (AG); CEO, Islington Play Association
- Cllr Angelo Weekes (AW); Islington Council non-Executive Member
- Tanya Watson (TW); Head teacher, William Tyndale (Primary Academy)
- Fiona Maccorquodale (FM); Head teacher, Prior Weston Primary School and Children's Centre
- Penny Barratt (PB); CEO, The Bridge MAT
- Susan Service (SS); Head teacher, Arts & Media School Islington
- Joe Simpson (JS); Governor, St Peter and St Paul Primary
- Francis Gonzalez (FrG); Head teacher, Richard Cloudesley Special School
- Maggie Elliott (ME); Governor, Montem Primary School (Edventure Collaborative Federation with Drayton Park) (Vice-chair)

Other attendees

- Jon Abbey (JA), Corporate Director of Children's Services
- Tim Partington (TP); Head of Children's Services, Finance
- Debbie Stevenson (DS); Head of Early Years and Schools Finance Services
- Alison Cramer (AC); Assistant Director, School Support and Information Services
- Candy Holder (CH); Head of Pupil Services
- Jane Wright (JW); Manager Schools and EY Governance (Clerk)

Apologies

- Abi Misselbrook-Lovejoy (AM-L); Executive Head teacher, Rotherfield and Newington Green Primary Schools (Chair)
- Andrew Bosi (AB); Governor, Rotherfield Primary School
- Coleen Marshall (CM), 14-19 Partnership, City and Islington College
- Claire Hersey (CHe); Principal Finance Officer, City of London Academy Trust
- Vicky Linsley (VL); Head teacher, St Mary Magdalene Academy (Secondary)
- Paul Lasok (PL); Governor, St Aloysius Secondary School (and St Joseph's)
- Sarah Callaghan (SC); Director of Learning and Culture

Not in attendance

- Sally Franklin (SF); Head teacher, North Islington Nursery and Children's Centre
- Nigel Smith (NS); Head teacher, New River College (Pupil Referral Unit)

Meeting minutes

| No. | Agenda item | Action |
|-----|--|--------|
| 1. | Welcome/Apologies for absence/not in attendance | |
| | CM chaired the meeting in AM-L's absence. CM welcomed all to the meeting, including the new Corporate Director of Children's Services, Jon Abbey. Apologies as above. | |
| 2. | Minutes of the previous meeting held on 20 January 2022 | |
| | Accuracy – the minutes were agreed as an accurate record of the meeting, | |
| | Matters Arising: | |
| | Item 9 – School Central Retention 2022-23 | |
| | Further information about the Legal SLA and Schools HR and Payroll SLAs and responses to some questions around Admission Appeals had been circulated on Governor Hub earlier that day. | |
| | Other matters arising were covered by the agenda. Noted and Agreed | |
| 3. | Schools forum composition – to be noted | Action |
| | The clerk (JW) gave a verbal update. | |
| | JW had contacted the leadership teams of the two Alternative Provision (AP) free schools and Jesse White from ArtsXchange and Matthew Hillman from the Pears Family School wanted to share this position. It is likely that one will attend for a year, and then the other for the next year. Hopefully they will be inducted in June and one of them will attend the next Forum meeting in July. | |
| | At July Forum, the clerk will flag up terms of office due to end in late autumn, for succession planning purposes. | Clerk |
| | Noted | |

| DS spoke to a report that had been circulated in advance. Forum was asked to ratify the place numbers at maintained special schools and resource units. These were based on January 2022 Census. High Needs Places (maintained) 2022-23 – places at New River College increased from 108 to 127. There were 58 pupils registered at the Laycock Primary hearing unit but it was anticipated that there was a backlog of requests for placements (due to Covid), so their standard number was agreed at 64. Place numbers ratified School Balances (draft - 2022-23) – to be noted | Action: |
|---|---|
| Achools and resource units. These were based on January 2022 Census. High Needs Places (maintained) 2022-23 – places at New River College increased from 108 to 127. There were 58 pupils registered at the Laycock Primary hearing unit but it was anticipated that there was a backlog of requests for placements (due to Covid), so their standard number was agreed at 64. Place numbers ratified School Balances (draft - 2022-23) – to be noted | Action: |
| College increased from 108 to 127. There were 58 pupils registered at the Laycock Primary hearing unit but it was anticipated that there was a backlog of requests for placements (due to Covid), so their standard number was agreed at 64. Place numbers ratified School Balances (draft - 2022-23) – to be noted | Action: |
| School Balances (draft - 2022-23) – to be noted | Action: |
| | Action: |
| | / 100011. |
| DS spoke to a report that had been circulated in advance. | |
| The balances were draft – the final balances would be reported to Forum in July, after schools have submitted their final budgets by the end of May, including their planned use of surplus balances for capital and other purposes. | |
| The balances as of end of March 2022 were £8.3m, compared to 29.5m in 2020-21, a 12% decrease. 10 schools had deficit balances. There had been nine at March 2021 – two of these had been able to deliver an underspend since then, but three further schools had entered into a deficit during 2021-22. | |
| Some of these had deficit recovery plans in place, others had emerging difficulties. Finance, School Improvement and HR meet with schools to quickly identify the cause of the deficit and how to address it – a three-year plan. | |
| Appendix 5 indicated which nursery, primary and specials had balances of 8% or more and which secondary schools had balances of 5% or more. 21 schools had above these limits, but 11 of these | |
| Ap ball ball ball ball ball ball ball bal | tiver an underspend since then, but three further schools had tered into a deficit during 2021-22. me of these had deficit recovery plans in place, others had terging difficulties. Finance, School Improvement and HR meet h schools to quickly identify the cause of the deficit and how to dress it – a three-year plan. pendix 5 indicated which nursery, primary and specials had ances of 8% or more and which secondary schools had balances |

| DS added that balances could rapidly decrease due to external pressures such as energy costs and inflation. The aim was to meet with schools with deficits or balances of more than 7%. | |
|---|---|
| According to the Scheme for Financing Schools, maintained schools could not set a deficit budget without the agreement of the Corporate Director of Children's Services (JA) and the Corporate Director of Resources (David Hodgkinson). | |
| FG pointed out that half of the pupils at his special school came from out of borough so the surplus was more like 8%, not 23%. He was keen to have a meeting with Finance. | |
| DS acknowledged FG's point, however, the standard measurement of balances are against the ISB. Once the July position was clear, there would be a focus on those with the highest balances. | |
| JA recognised that each school has a narrative for their budget. He stated that financial controls, budgeting and forecasting were key – more observation. He felt it was important to focus on trajectories across time, and for quality finance reporting to governors and others. | |
| JL asked how we were factoring in capital allocations – need to show this on the information. Also what support there was to schools from the LA around the financial strategy. | |
| DS confirmed that planned capital allocations would be removed in the information to the July forum meeting. The LA was providing financial training for headteachers, SLT and governors, and would work with schools in deficit and with emerging deficits. The difficulty arose with schools not buying into the Finance SLA. | |
| FG had heard that schools with healthy budgets were stopping others drawing down DfE funding – DS was not aware of this. | |
| DS confirmed schools were to set three-year budgets – Schools Forum focused on year one as this is public information shared with the DfE. If there was to be a focus on years two and three, that would have to be a confidential item. | |
| CM asked how Islington schools compared to other boroughs. TP referred to a survey carried out in 2021 across London boroughs (there will be another survey after May). There were similar trends in other inner London boroughs, but Islington's trajectory was steeper than other boroughs with balances reducing at speed. | |
| | pressures such as energy costs and inflation. The aim was to meet with schools with deficits or balances of more than 7%. According to the Scheme for Financing Schools, maintained schools could not set a deficit budget without the agreement of the Corporate Director of Children's Services (JA) and the Corporate Director of Resources (David Hodgkinson). FG pointed out that half of the pupils at his special school came from out of borough so the surplus was more like 8%, not 23%. He was keen to have a meeting with Finance. DS acknowledged FG's point, however, the standard measurement of balances are against the ISB. Once the July position was clear, there would be a focus on those with the highest balances. JA recognised that each school has a narrative for their budget. He stated that financial controls, budgeting and forecasting were key – more observation. He felt it was important to focus on trajectories across time, and for quality finance reporting to governors and others. JL asked how we were factoring in capital allocations – need to show this on the information. Also what support there was to schools from the LA around the financial strategy. DS confirmed that planned capital allocations would be removed in the information to the July forum meeting. The LA was providing financial training for headteachers, SLT and governors, and would work with schools not buying into the Finance SLA. FG had heard that schools with healthy budgets were stopping others drawing down DfE funding – DS was not aware of this. DS confirmed schools were to set three-year budgets – Schools Forum focused on year one as this is public information shared with the DfE. If there was to be a focus on years two and three, that would have to be a confidential item. CM asked how Islington schools compared to other boroughs. TP referred to a survey carried out in 2021 across London boroughs |

| | JL asked if Forum could see a RAG-rating of schools based on their three-year budgets. DS commented that the LA held this internally – Agreed to bring to July Forum, along with the outcome of the latest survey across London boroughs. | DS/TP |
|----|---|-------|
| | Recommendations | |
| | That Schools Forum notes: | |
| | provisional 2021-22 schools' balances position | |
| | deficit schools and schools that have moved out of defici | |
| | Noted | |
| 6. | Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) Outturn (draft – 2021-22) – to be noted | |
| | TP spoke to a report that had previously been circulated. The final position was to be confirmed at July Forum. | |
| | The carry-forward from 2020-21 had been £4.8 compared to £5.2m projected from 2021-22. £1.252m of this balance had been allocated to primary schools to support High Needs children in the mainstream and the transition from Early Years to Primary. £3.8m of the balance had been earmarked for specific pressures. | |
| | £88K remained unallocated – for decision at July Forum. | |
| | Schools Block - underspends on budgets for growth (\pounds 0.081m) and for falling rolls (\pounds 0.007m). | |
| | Plus other balances (£0.688) being held pending any funding adjustments due to changes in treatment of NNDR (rates) for schools by the DfE and resolution of the legal position in relation to term-time-only staff holiday pay issue. | |
| | De-delegated Budget - £0.122m underspend – part of Schools Budget – for maintained schools. Redundancy budget (£0.205m) had been overspent by £0.085m due to restructures. | |
| | Central Schools Services Block (CSSB) – funding for services delivered to maintained schools and academies. A planned underspend of £0.155m and a carry forward of £0.044m from 2020/21 plus an underspend of £0.011m against admissions appeals | |

| were to be used to smooth in future reductions in funding of CSSB by the government. The reduction in 2022/23 was £0.160m. | |
|---|--|
| The issue of quality assurance of these services was to be covered under Item 8. | |
| High Needs - £2.6m underspend (including £1.2m c/f from 2020-21), but given the volatility of demands on this budget, this area was the most risk to the LA and schools. | |
| £0.314m allocated to schools with higher than average numbers of children with Education, Health and Care plans. The rest was being held as contingency. It was worth noting that demand for this spending, including at independent schools, academies and over 16s provision, had grown by an unexpected 11%. | |
| TW left the meeting at 14.41pm. | |
| Early Years - £1.461m underspend being held for specific purposes. In recent years the DfE had agreed to disapply part of the EY Funding Formula to allow Islington to move some funding for three- and four-year olds to the two-year-olds, given the DfE gave less funding for two year olds than for threes and fours, even though it cost more to deliver. In 2022-23 no disapplication was required for technical reasons, so that adjustment was to be made, and it was possible that would happen again in 2023-24. | |
| A large contingency was needed given the volatility of the early education offer which was funded on the basis of participation. Due to lower numbers attending during the pandemic, there had been a loss to Islington of about £2m in funding. The high contingency budget could be used to protect schools from the impact of this loss. | |
| CM asked TP about the projections for two year olds. TP replied that the situation for two year olds was improving – more concern over three- and four-year-olds. The summer term Census was taking place on the day of the meeting. TP acknowledged that there was concern about the viability of the EY sector with the population of under fives falling. There was currently a piece of work looking at sustainability and a mixed provision – to include encouraging take up of two-year-old places and support to the parents with gaining employment so they could take up the 30 hours for three-year-olds. | |
| AS commented that demand was picking up at her settings, but a serious issue was the impact of Brexit and Covid on the workforce – struggling to recruit staff. | |
| | |

| | Recommendations: | |
|----|--|---------|
| | That Schools Forum notes the £5.218m underspend against the DSG and that the final outturn will be confirmed at Schools Forum in July. | |
| | | |
| 7. | Scheme for Financing Schools (2022-23) – for comments and views | |
| | DS spoke to a report that had been circulated in advance. | |
| | She had received the DfE Scheme in late March. It contained no mandated changes to the scheme, but two changes in relation to policies. | |
| | Islington would consult all maintained schools and governors during June on a tracked-changes document with any local changes. This would then come back to Schools Forum in July for decision. | |
| | Noted | |
| 8. | Quality Assurance of LBI Services – for comments and views | Action: |
| | AC spoke, in SC's absence, to a report previously circulated. | |
| | It had been agreed to develop Quality Assurance of LBI services that were traded to schools or were being funded through de-delegated funding (SB) or CSSG. | |
| | The proposed approach was an annual online customer satisfaction survey to take place in summer term, followed by a QA Review to take place in autumn term. The latter would involve a QA panel including heads, chairs of governors and senior officers. The findings of the review would be taken to the January Schools Forum to inform decisions regarding the following financial year. The process would be reviewed in 12 months' time. | |
| | CM felt this was a great start and would help schools to evaluate if services were good value for money. | |
| | ME queried how the panel would be constructed – from Schools Forum or from further afield – and pointed to the need for transparency. There was also a query about how the Education Board would interface with this, given Forum was an elected group, | |

| | the Board wasn't. AC said the Board would be connected and we were working out how best to do this. PM agreed it was a good start but suggested that it would be a long time line for improvements to services to be made. AC replied that improvements should come from September given services would be learning from the findings of the Customer Satisfaction Review in the summer. Learning would be ongoing, with formal decision making in January. FM also felt this was very positive, but queried the very large scope of the survey. AC agreed the scope was quite large, but respondents would be asked to give simple ratings. | |
|----|---|---------|
| | Noted | |
| 9. | Speech and Language Therapy (SALT) and Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) – to note CH gave a verbal update. CH had approached the commissioners of Speech and Language Therapy (SALT) Service asking them to review the quantity and quality of services. In response, they had produced a report in February that was not what had been asked for, with the outcome of a self-review by the service provider – giving a positive assessment and asking for more funding in addition to the £150K currently provided by the LA. CH had gone back to North Central London Clinical Commissioning Group as commissioners and they had agreed to carry out a substantive review based on needs, good practice and quality of services. CH referred to the SEND and AP Green Paper which stated that there needed to be more clarity about who funds what, between Health, Education and the High Needs block. She also mentioned the national recruitment challenge, with Islington SALT currently down three speech therapists. The report would hopefully be completed by the end of July. JL commented that it would be useful to have a written report on the state of play of SALT, including figures around the vacancies. She also suggested using trainee speech therapists to help with the recruitment challenge. | Action: |
| | | |

| | CH said she could share data. She added that, given the staffing situation, while the priority was to meet the LA statutory responsibility for meeting the needs of children with Education, Health and Care Plans (EHCPs), preventative work had suffered. She agreed with the use of SALT trainees and added that she would work with training colleges on this. | СН |
|-----|--|---------|
| | The chair also asked about the situation regarding the Child and Adolescent Mental Health Service (CAMHS). CH reported that this was more complex and challenging, but agreed to bring a more detailed written report to Forum. | СН |
| | AG commented that CAMHS had been raised at Forum a long time ago. Disproportionality was leading to some families accessing services more easily than other families. Now more than ever, specific barriers for some families needed to be addressed so those most in need could access services. | |
| | CH acknowledged there were some very over-represented groups among those with Social, Emotional and Mental Health (SEMH) and those with Autistic Spectrum Condition (ASC). | |
| | She clarified that an additional £100K had been agreed by the previous DCS to provide additional SALT capacity to the end of this financial year, to help focus priority on seeing all children with statutory requirement, as well as Early Years and KS1. | |
| | NOTED | |
| 10. | Forward Planning – for information | |
| | DS spoke to a report that had been previously circulated. | |
| | AG asked for it to be noted that Forum would keep an eye on take up of Early Years provision and the mix of provision, given the voluntary sector was more vulnerable. DS suggested this be discussed at the EY Subgroup with updates taken to future forums. AG wanted this to be formalised as part of the Forum's plan. | |
| 11. | a. Early Years Sub Group update | Action: |
| | AG, the chair of the group, gave a verbal update. The group had discussed issues around the EY Block of DSG, as outlined by TP under Item 6. A significant project was to remodel EY provision post- | |
| L | | |

| | Covid – to make it sustainable, including community nurseries, and for funding to be equitable for types of provision and children. | |
|-----|---|---------------------|
| | b. Capital Subgroup | |
| | ME, the chair of the group, gave a verbal update. Deirdre Vimpany, Service Manager Contracts and Commissioning, had reported on the Capital Programme 2021-22 – it had slipped a little. The budget for the 2022-23 programme, £2.02m, was less than the estimate of work to be done so some window projects were being delayed until 2023/24. | |
| | The Assets Survey included a survey of Premises Managers' Houses – there would be a programme on how to move forward with that. | |
| | SEND capital was part of the LBI's SEND Review. CH pointed to the importance of communications in this so it was clear who was chosen to have funding and why. | |
| | Matched funding – the application window had closed. The Capital Subgroup were to review applications in due course. | Capital Subgroup |
| | c. Chairs' Subgroup | • • |
| | DS will look at meeting dates of this subgroup from autumn 2022 to ensure there is time for changes to the Forum papers arising from the Chairs' Subgroup meetings to be made ahead of circulation of papers to Forum. | DS |
| | DS agreed to requests for minutes of subgroups relevant to Forum to be shared with Forum . | JW/DS/AC |
| 12. | AOB and close | Action: |
| | Holiday Pay & TTO – AC reported verbally that legal advice had yet to be issued. | DS |
| | CM thanked all for their preparation for and participation in the meeting. The meeting closed at 3.27pm | |
| | Dates of next meetings | |
| | (virtual meetings on MS Teams unless otherwise indicated) | |
| | | |

| Schools Forum | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| • Thursday 14 July 2022, 2 to 4pm (| (face to face, venue tbc) |
| DSG/Chairs Sub Group | |
| • Thursday 7 July, 2 to 3.30pm | |
| Early Years Sub Group | |
| Capital Sub Group | |
| High Needs Sub Group | |
| | |



Chair: Abi Misselbrook-Lovejoy

C/o Governor Services

The Laycock Centre

London N1 1TH

Date/time: Thursday 14 July 2022, 2pm to 4pm

Agenda item: 3 – Forum Membership Update

Purpose of report: Discussion

Recommendations:

Schools Forum are asked to note the updates below and agree the recommendation to reappoint two members for a second term.

1. Update on forthcoming vacancies / ends of terms of office

1.1. Non Schools Voluntary & Community representative

Anita Grant, CEO of Islington Play Association, is due to leave her post in Islington in July and so there will be a vacancy for this position.

The Clerk is in discussion with colleagues in Early Years and Childcare about possible routes for filling this position.

1.2. Terms of office due to end in December

The term of office of Cassie Moss, Primary Headteacher (and Vice-chair of Forum), is due to end on 10 December 2022. This is her first term of office.

The term of office of Paul Lasok, Secondary Governor, is due to end on 11 December 2022. This is his first term of office.

Recommendation:

That since both representatives will have only had one term of office in December, and both are willing to continue for a further term, Forum agrees to their re-appointment,

subject to the clerk contacting their relevant 'constituencies' (secondary chairs and primary heads) for their agreement to this extension.

Contact for queries:

Jane Wright

Governor Services

T 020 7527 5560

Islington Schools Forum Composition June 2022 Appendix A

| Organisation | Nominee | Term of Office Ends | Primary | Secondary | Special | Nursery | PRU | Academy Primary | Academy Secondary | Academy AP | Academy Special | Non- school | Total | Head | Gov | Other |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-----|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|------|-----|-------|
| School Members | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arts and Media School Islington (AMSI) | Susan Service | 28/02/2023 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Beacon High | Alan Streeter | 31/03/2025 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Canonbury Primary School | Patrick Mildren | 25/03/2025 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| City of London Academies Trust (COLAT) | Claire Hersey | 23/11/2024 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 |
| North Islington Nursery School | Sally Franklin | 21/09/2025 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Montem (VC) | Maggie Elliott | 31/12/2024 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Newington Green (CH) | Abi Misselbrook- Lovejoy | 31/03/2024 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Prior Weston Primary & Children's Centre | Fiona MacCorquodale | 30/09/2024 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| PRU Mgt Cttee (New River College) | Nigel Smith | 24/10/2025 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Rotherfield | Andrew Bosi | 31/12/2024 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Richard Cloudesley Special School | Francis Gonzalez | 10/01/2023 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| St Aloysius | Paul Lasok | 11/12/2022 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| St Mary Magdalene Academy | Vicky Linsley | 31/12/2024 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | |
| St Peter's and St Paul's Primary | Joe Simpson | 05/03/2024 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| T4 Trust - The Arts Xchange | Jesse White | 07/06/2023 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| The Bridge MAT | Penny Barratt | 31/03/2024 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Thornhill Primary School | Jenny Lewis | 05/05/2025 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| William Tyndale School | Tanya Watson | 31/03/2025 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Yerbury Primary School (VC) | Cassie Moss | 10/12/2022 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Non School Members | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14-19 Partnership | Colleen Marshall | 13/05/2025 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| New River Green, Packington Children Ctrs | Ana Sevilla | 31/08/2025 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Elected Member (non executive) | Cllr Angelo Weekes | 25/05/2026 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Early Years Childcare Providers | Anita Grant | 27/09/2025 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Total | | | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 13 | 4 | 6 |
| Required | | | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 23 | | | |
| Vacancies | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |] | | |

HT/Govs 60/40 split

| Туре | Vacancy |
|-------|---------|
| | |
| Total | 0 |



Chair: Abi Misselbrook-Lovejoy

C/o Governor Services

The Laycock Centre

London N1 1TH

Date/time: Thursday 14 July 2022, 2pm to 4pm

Agenda item: Item 4 - Final Schools Balances 2021-22

Purpose of report: Information

Recommendations:

Schools Forum are asked to note:

• Islington's final 2021-22 schools' balances position

School Balances

Following schools' financial closedown, the table in Appendix A provides details of school balances to be reported to Department for Education (DfE) as at 31 March 2022 compared to those held at March 2021. The balances are further compared to the relevant ESFA's suggested revenue balance limits.

The final reported school balances for year ending 31 March 2022 totalled £8.313m, compared to a balance of £9.459m in 2020-21; representing a net decrease of £1.145m; -12%. Combined cumulative school deficits total (\pounds 2.349m) with remaining schools combined surplus balances are £10.662m. It should be noted that the year end balance represents 7% of the schools' annual Individual School Balances (ISB), before PUSB, reducing to 6% after notional adjustments.

Whilst not formally reported to DfE, following schools submissions of planned use of surplus balances (PUSB) for capital and other purposes, end of year balances are notionally adjusted to $\pounds7.715m$ when considering the capital elements only.

There are 10 schools ending the 2021-22 financial year with cumulative deficit balances, compared to 9 at March 2021. Of the 9 reported deficits at 31 March 2021, two schools have been able to deliver an underspend during the year meaning their cumulative financial position returns to surplus. Three schools during the 2021-22 financial year have entered into a deficit.

A further analysis of balances, when compared to the Education & Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) suggested guidance of balances held by schools; 8% for nursery, primary and special schools and 5% for secondary schools, indicate 21 schools are above the suggested limits. Of the 21, 11 schools reported an in-year deficit which means their cumulative balance has reduced when comparing year-on-year.

Of the 52 maintained schools in Islington, 37 (71%) have reported in-year deficits with only 15 schools reporting increases to their overall balances. This picture is reflective of the ongoing financial pressures schools are currently facing.

The Council's Scheme for Financing Schools does not permit a school to set a deficit budget. Where in exceptional circumstances deficits cannot be avoided, schools will enter into a formal agreed deficit recovery plan (DRP) which requires schools to move back in to a surplus financial position within a 3 year period. Schools, including their Chair of Governors, will be required to meet with the LA on at least a termly basis to monitor their progress against the DRP and asked to identify risks of achieving the required timeline of returning to a surplus. Schools are also required to submit their pupil number projections for determination of realistic future budget forecasts. The LA representatives included in the meetings will be Schools Finance; School Improvement and HR.

RAG rating of future school balances

In light of ongoing financial pressures that schools are facing; with 71% reporting in-year deficits increasing to 81% in year 2, schools have been RAG rated to identify schools that are either projecting an end of year cumulative deficit balance at the end of March 2023 or are at risk of doing so in years two and three. Current school categorisations can be viewed in Appendix A.

Each rating is described below:

- Red: includes schools that have either ended the previous year or projecting to end the current financial year with a cumulative deficit balance. These schools will be required to agree a deficit recovery plan with the LA before the first formal budget is set in the financial year of the planned deficit. This will be defined as year one with the expectation that the school returns to financial surplus within a 3 year period. These schools will follow the process as set out earlier in the report.
- Amber: includes schools that are projecting a cumulative surplus balance for the current financial year but their in-year position is indicating a deficit and, unless action is taken by the school in the current year, future years 2 and 3 are forecasting cumulative deficit balances. These schools will be required to meet with the LA during the autumn term to determine how the school will take forward options to ensure a request to submit a deficit budget in future years is avoided.
- Green: includes schools that have end the previous financial year in a cumulative surplus balance and future year projections are also reporting a surplus cumulative balance. These schools financial position will be reviewed in the autumn term following the submission of reforecasted budget projections.

All schools will be required to submit mid-year budget reforecasts for years two and three to identify changes in categorisations as set out above.

Reccomendations

That Schools Forum notes:

- final 2021-22 schools' balances position
- · deficit schools and schools that have moved out of deficit
- RAG rating categorisation of schools and associated actions

Contact for queries:

Debbie Stevenson - <u>debra.stevenson@islington.gov.uk</u>

Head of Schools & Early Years Finance Services

T 020 7527 5763

| Schools Forum 14 July 2022 | | | | | Appendix A |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|------------|
| Final School Balances 2021-22 | | | | | |

Note: balances are after deduction of revenue earmarked for capital balances

| | School Balances 20-21 £ | School Balances 21-22 £ | PUSB | Adjusted Notional School balances 2021-22 | Increase / (Decrease) in balances | Islington School Budgets 2021- 22 | 21-22 Balances as a % of ISB | ESFA Balance Guide @ 5% / 8% | RAG Rating |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--|---|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Kate Greenaway Nursery School | 41,071 | 25,855 | | 25,855 | -15,217 | 561,900 | 5% | 44,952 | Amber |
| Margaret McMillan Nursery School | 443,686 | | | 333,878 | -109,808 | 746,653 | 45% | 59,732 | Amber |
| North Islington Nursery School Total Nursery Schools | 49,135 | | 0 | 98,315 | 49,180 | 617,955 | 16% 24% | 49,436 | Amber |
| Total Nursery Schools | 533,892 | 458,048 | 0 | 458,048 | -75,844 | 1,926,509 | 24% | 154,121 | |
| Ambler Primary School | 71,941 | 115,198 | -25,656 | 89,542 | 17,601 | 2,622,192 | 3% | 209,775 | Green |
| Ashmount Primary School | 134,949 | | | 13,632 | -121,317 | 2,512,894 | 1% | 201,032 | Green |
| Blessed Sacrament RC Primary School | 101,643 | 62,610 | | 62,610 | -39,033 | 884,963 | | 70,797 | Amber |
| Canonbury Primary School | -18,377 | 15,787 | | 15,787 | 34,164 | 2,400,510 | | 192,041 | Green |
| Christ The King RC Primary School Copenhagen Primary School | 213,463 88,048 | | | 147,598 24,255 | -65,865 | 1,731,254 | 9% 2% | 138,500 | Green |
| Drayton Park Primary School | -284,696 | 24,255 -554,977 | | -554,977 | -63,793 -270,281 | 1,735,057 | -32% | 89,211 138,805 | Red Red |
| Duncombe Primary School | -285,254 | -341,167 | | -341,167 | -55,912 | 2,339,290 | -15% | 187,143 | Red |
| Gillespie Primary School | 49,026 | | | 47,996 | -1,030 | 1,331,421 | 4% | 106,514 | Green |
| Grafton Primary School | -94,386 | -265,720 | | -265,720 | -171,334 | 2,589,276 | | 207,142 | Red |
| Hanover Primary School | 134,032 | | | 95,302 | -38,730 | 1,863,632 | 5% | 149,091 | Green |
| Hargrave Park Primary School | 137,397 | 87,188 | | 87,188 | -50,209 | 2,007,310 | | 160,585 | Green |
| Highbury Quadrant Primary School Hugh Myddelton Primary School | -175,444 118,319 | -165,562 17,868 | | -165,562 17,868 | 9,882 -100,451 | 1,828,230 2,833,644 | <mark>-9%</mark> 1% | 146,258 226,692 | Red Green |
| Laycock Primary School | 13,932 | -197,348 | | -197,348 | -211,280 | 2,833,644 | -7% | 229,801 | Red |
| Montem Primary School | 398,036 | | | 283,517 | -114,519 | 2,080,618 | | 166,449 | Green |
| Moreland Primary School | 136,835 | | | 101,455 | -35,380 | 2,129,783 | 5% | 170,383 | Green |
| Newington Green Primary School | 280,356 | | -11,706 | 199,666 | -80,690 | 2,484,134 | 8% | 198,731 | Green |
| Pakeman Primary School | 93,450 | | | 38,971 | -54,478 | 1,908,919 | | 152,713 | Green |
| Pooles Park Primary School | 45,020 | | | -50,376 | -95,396 | 1,652,068 | -3% | 132,165 | Red |
| Prior Weston Primary School Robert Blair Primary School | -122,300 127,796 | -359,204 93,769 | | -359,204 93,769 | -236,903 -34,027 | 1,887,523 1,400,417 | <mark>-19%</mark> 7% | 151,002 112,033 | Red Amber |
| Rotherfield Primary School | 317,158 | | -101,317 | 137,417 | -179,741 | 2,141,957 | 6% | 171,357 | Green |
| Sacred Heart RC Primary School | 376,934 | | 101,011 | 340,147 | -36,787 | 2,720,805 | 13% | 217,664 | Green |
| St Andrews (Barnsbury) Church of England Primary School | -18,377 | -36,699 | | -36,699 | -18,322 | 1,185,077 | -3% | 94,806 | Red |
| St Joan of Arc RC Primary School | 125,719 | 204,582 | | 204,582 | 78,863 | 2,351,774 | 9% | 188,142 | Green |
| St John Evangelist RC Primary School | 213,627 | 189,214 | | 189,214 | -24,413 | 1,755,664 | 11% | 140,453 | Green |
| St Johns Highbury Vale CofE Primary School | 8,978 | | | -44,393 | -53,372 | 1,104,633 | -4% | 88,371 | Red |
| St Johns Upper Holloway CofE Primary School St Josephs RC Primary School | 86,462 633,688 | 215,345 795,446 | | 215,345 795,446 | 128,884 161,757 | 1,347,491 2,344,434 | 16% 34% | 107,799 187,555 | Green Green |
| St Judes & St Pauls CofE Primary School | 113,085 | | | 152,093 | 39,008 | 1,099,988 | | 87,999 | Green |
| St Lukes Cofe Primary School | 43,797 | 29,841 | | 29,841 | -13,957 | 1,349,560 | 2% | 107,965 | Amber |
| St Marks CofE Primary School | 248,016 | 228,483 | -37,000 | 191,483 | -56,533 | 1,384,916 | 14% | 110,793 | Amber |
| St Marys CofE Primary School | 269,225 | 258,149 | | 258,149 | -11,076 | 1,122,134 | | 89,771 | Green |
| St Peters & St Pauls RC Primary School | 118,522 | | | 98,507 | -20,015 | 1,252,181 | | 100,174 | Green |
| Thornhill Primary School | 437,906 | | -305,500 | 178,550 | -259,356 | 2,515,844 | | 201,268 | Green |
| Tufnell Park Primary School Vittoria Primary School | 35,890 171,056 | | | 62,403 96,620 | 26,513 -74,435 | 2,204,547 1,211,583 | 3% 8% | 176,364 96,927 | Amber Amber |
| Winton Primary School | -1,770 | | | 11,546 | 13,316 | 1,580,940 | | 126,475 | Green |
| Yerbury Primary School | 214,183 | | | 176,051 | -38,132 | 2,242,390 | | 179,391 | Green |
| Total Primary Schools | 4,557,885 | | -481,179 | 2,441,105 | -2,116,781 | 75,126,697 | 3% | 6,010,136 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Central Foundation | 231,602 | | | 488,720 | 257,117 | 7,235,661 | 7% | 361,783 | Green |
| Elizabeth Garrett Anderson | 1,271,489 | | | 1,049,254 | -222,235 | 7,380,061 | 14% | 369,003 | Green |
| Highbury Fields School | 554,082 | | -116,889 | 453,571 | -100,511 | 6,542,430 | | 327,121 | Green |
| Beacon High Arts & Media School Islington | -325,752 216,337 | -333,664 773,006 | | -333,664 773,006 | -7,912 556,669 | 4,075,715 5,621,568 | | 203,786 281,078 | Red Green |
| St Aloysius' College | 1,643,121 | 1,815,796 | | 1,815,796 | 172,675 | 5,391,050 | | 269,552 | Amber |
| Total Secondary Schools | 3,590,879 | 1 | -116,889 | 4,246,683 | 655,804 | 36,246,485 | 12% | 1,812,324 | |
| | 0,000,010 | 4,000,012 | , | -1,2-10,000 | 000,001 | 00,210,100 | 1270 | 1,012,021 | |
| Richard Cloudesley PH School | 461,027 | 420,832 | | 420,832 | -40,196 | 1,814,905 | 23% | 145,192 | Green |
| Samuel Rhodes MLD School | 240,770 | 117,890 | | 117,890 | -122,881 | 2,326,412 | | 186,113 | Amber |
| Total Special Schools | 701,798 | | 0 | 538,721 | -163,076 | 4,141,317 | 13% | 331,305 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| New River College | 74,234 | | | 30,693 | -43,541 | 3,622,222 | 1% | 289,778 | Amber |
| Total Pupil Referral Unit | 74,234 | 30,693 | 0 | 30,693 | -43,541 | 3,622,222 | 1% | 289,778 | |
| Total school balances before earmarked capital deductions | 9,458,688 | 8,313,318 | -598,068 | 7,715,250 | -1,743,438 | 121,063,230 | 6% | 8,597,664 | |
| 3 Year comparison | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2021-22 After PUSB | | | Red | 11 |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | | | Amber | 12 |
| Balance totals - note capital deductions to be added in when | 8,185,997 | | 9,458,688 | 8,313,318 | | | | Green | 29 |
| school data available | 0,100,001 | , , | 0,100,000 | -, | .,, | | | | |



Chair: Abi Misselbrook-Lovejoy

C/o Governor Services

The Laycock Centre

London N1 1TH

Date/time: Thursday 14 July 2022, 2pm to 4pm

Agenda item: Item 5 – Final DSG Outturn 2021/22

Purpose of report: For information

Recommendations:

That Schools Forum notes:

a) The final DSG outturn is unchanged form the position reported to Schools Forum in May. The reported position was a £5.218m underspend against the DSG, of which £1.252m has been allocated and £3.878m has been earmarked for cost pressures and risks.

The following text is the position reported to Schools Forum in May

Background

 The final DSG budget outturn for 2021/22 is contained in this report. The overall position across all spending blocks is an underspend of (-£5.218m, 3.3%). Most of this balance has already been allocated (£1.252m) or has been earmarked for cost pressures / risks (£3.878m). A significant proportion of this underspend relates to balances carried forward from previous years:

| | Schools Block | De- delegated | CSSB | High Needs Block | Early Years Block | Total |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| | £m | £m | £m | £m | £m | £m |
| Opening balance | 0.484 | 0.080 | 0.044 | 1.542 | 2.496 | 4.646 |
| In-year movement | 0.292 | 0.042 | 0.166 | 1.107 | -1.035 | 0.572 |
| Draft closing balance | 0.776 | 0.122 | 0.210 | 2.649 | 1.461 | 5.218 |
| | | | | | | |
| Allocated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.252 | 0 | 1.252 |
| Earmarked for cost pressures / risks | 0.688 | 0.122 | 0.210 | 1.397 | 1.461 | 3.878 |
| To be allocated | 0.088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.088 |
| Total | 0.776 | 0.122 | 0.210 | 2.649 | 1.461 | 5.218 |

2. All of the budget variances for 2021/22 are in Appendix 1.

Schools Block (-£0.776m underspend)

- 3. This consists of funding centrally retained for growth (£0.300m) and falling rolls (£0.400m). Any net underspend against these budgets will be rolled forward at year end. Allocations of growth are adjusted following the October census. The following variances against the budget have led to a £0.088m underspend:
 - £0.081m (-27%) underspend against the budget for growth, following the outcome of the October census
 - - £0.007m (-2%) underspend against the budget for falling rolls
- 4. The net underspend against growth and falling rolls will be carried forward to 2022/23 and can either be used for the same purpose in 2022/23 or allocated elsewhere by Schools Forum.
- 5. The following balances being held until the summer term, pending any funding adjustments following the changes to the treatment of NNDR for schools by the DfE / resolution of the legal position in relation to the term-time only staff holiday pay issue:
 - - £0.321m balance from the one-off cash payment in relation to the Secondary Unit of Funding from 2019/20.
 - - £0.367m one-off DSG rebate in relation to Clerkenwell School which closed in the summer.

De-delegated funding (-£0.122m underspend)

- 6. This is funding de-delegated by maintained schools for specific functions. Underspends cannot be used to offset overspends elsewhere within the DSG.
- 7. The schools in financial difficulties (£0.220m) and priority support (£0.188m) budgets have been allocated in full this year.
- 8. The school redundancy budget (£0.205m) is made up of in-year de-delegated funding of £0.083m, a £0.022m carry forward from previous years and £0.100m of core Council funding. This budget has overspent by £0.085m (41%) due to the high levels of redundancies in schools this year. Redundancy costs are split between schools and this budget. Cost sharing arrangements will be reviewed to reduce the risk of future overspends as the level of staff reorganisations in schools leading to redundancy costs are expected to remain high. However this will need to be done with caution due to the impact on school balances which are in decline.
- 9. The following variances also occurred against de-delegated budgets:
 - + £0.009m (15%, a new variance) overspend against the de-delegated budget for trade union facility time.
 - £0.216m (a new variance) underspend against de-delegated funding for NQTs following implementation of the Early Career Framework (ECF). £0.061m of this variance is an underspend carried forward from 2020/21. This underspend will be used to offset the overspends against the redundancy and trade union facility time budget. A 3-year budget plan for the ECF is being worked-up taking into account the remaining funding (£0.122m). This will be shared with Schools Forum in July.

Central Schools Services Block (CSSB) (-£0.210m underspend)

- 10. There is an unallocated balance of -£0.155m (10%) against the CSSB and a carry forward of £0.044m from 2021/22. These balances were being held to smooth in continued reductions in CSSB funding by the government.
- 11. There is an underspend of -£0.011m (24%) against the budget for admission appeals. This will be added to the balance above, leaving a total of £0.210m to smooth in future funding reductions.

High Needs Block (-£2.649m underspend)

- 12. The following variances have occurred against the high needs block:
 - £1.210m (79%) remaining balance from the £1.524m underspend carried forward from 2020/21. £0.314m has allocated to schools with higher than average numbers of children with Education, Health and Care Plans.
 - £1.983m (6%) high needs funding being held against future funding risks. This is the remainder of the growth in funding we received in 2021/22 to meet increasing cost pressures in high needs. We are being cautious over the allocation of funding as the outlook for further growth for high needs after 2022/23 will be significantly lower than in previous years and we are facing increasing levels of demand and complexity. We also need to take into account the ongoing effect of the cost pressure against centrally commissioned provision below, which will need to be offset against this funding.
 - + £0.701m (5%) overspend against the budget for centrally commissioned places in academies, the independent sector, further education and out of borough provision.
 - £0.118m (20%, a new variance) unallocated funds from the area based budget for additional needs.
 - - £0.039m (8%, a new variance) underspend against the SEND outreach and commissioning budget.
- 13. £1.252m of the 2021/22 overall high needs underspend has been allocated in 2022/23, leaving a forecast balance of £1.397m (4% of next year's High Needs Block funding) as a one-off contingency for high needs in 2022/23:

| Item | £m | Description |
|--|--------|---|
| Draft high needs underspend in 2021/22 | 2.649 | |
| ALLOCATION IN 2022/23 | | |
| Mainstream primary schools to meet cost pressures in relation to SEND. | -1.152 | The allocation is targeted at primary schools as the main cost pressure is at early years to primary transfer. Allocations are based on 1/2 an FTE for a 1 form entry school, 3/4 of an FTE for a 1.5 form entry school and 1 FTE for a 2 form entry school. |
| One-off top-up for SALT (over 5s) | -0.100 | Top-up to enable the Whittington Trust to continue to deliver support to schools until the end of the year despite a significant increase in demand. During this time, the Trust will work with Council officers to revise the current delivery model so that it is more fit for purpose and better reflects need. |
| Balance | 1.397 | One-off contingency for high needs in 2022/23 |

Early Years Block (-£1.461m underspend)

- 14. The position against the early years block follows finalisation of protection arrangements based on the January census in mid-March:
 - £0.463m 2 year old balance from previous years that is being held to smooth in new funding arrangements for providers in future years. The cost of 2 year old provision is greater than 3 and 4 year old provision but the hourly rate received from the DfE is less. In previous years he have had to apply to the Secretary of State to cross subsidise 2 year old provision with 3 and 4 year old funding, but we were not required to for 2022/23. If this remains the case for 2023/24, we can consider moving this balance into the wider early years block continency.
 - - £0.518m contingency balance (21%, a reduction of £0.282m since month 11):

| Item | £m | Description |
|--|---------|---|
| BUDGET | | |
| 2.75% growth contingency | 0.472 | To fund increased numbers in the summer term and new provision |
| Carry forward of 2020/21 Early Years contingency budget | 1.475 | Balance at end of 2020/21 financial year |
| Carry forward of other 2018/19 Early Years Block underspends | 0.558 | Underspend of £73k against children's centres and an unallocated balance against the Early Years Pupil Premium and Disability Access Fund budgets of £9k and £39k respectively |
| Budget | 2.505 | |
| TRANSFERS TO AND FROM | CONTING | GENCY |
| Prior year adjustments | -0.424 | Net 2020/21 prior year funding adjustment from the DfE |
| In-year adjustments | -1.831 | Net 2021/22 in-year funding adjustment from the DfE (excluding EYPP increase) |
| Estimated funding adjustments passported to providers | 0.268 | Estimated participation adjustments passed on to providers in-line with the termly headcount after allocation of protection funding |
| Total movement | -1.987 | |
| Contingency Balance | 0.518 | This is a one-off balance |

- As per previous years, next year's funding rates were applied retrospectively in 2021/22. We were also able to mitigate losses in funding due to reduced headcounts by up to 40%. This has led to a substantial reduction in the contingency balance, therefore there it is unlikely that similar levels of protection can be afforded in future years.
- The in-year adjustment of £1.802m is due to the DfE taking into account the summer and autumn term headcounts. Funding is normally based on the spring term headcount, but

due to the impact of the pandemic on attendance, the DfE have factored in the summer and autumn term headcount in this financial year. From 2022/23 the basis of funding will return to the spring term headcount only. The adjustment is broken down as follows:

| Adjustment | Charged to contin- gency | Added to EYPP budget | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | £m | £m | |
| 3&4 year old universal entitlement | -0.958 | | An element of these adjustments will be passed onto settings visa. |
| 3&4 year old extended entitlement | -0.554 | | Participation adjustments. Assumed ca. £900k pending the spring census |
| 2 year old entitlement | -0.255 | | |
| EY pupil premium | | 0.029 | |
| Maintained nursery supplement | -0.064 | | Options to be considered for passing an element on to settings |
| | -1.831 | 0.029 | £1.802m net negative adjustment |

- We will receive a further adjustment in 2022/23 in relation to the current financial year based on the spring 2022 headcount. It is expected that this will be a positive adjustment as the headcount starts to recover from the impact of the pandemic. This is expected to retrospectively recover some of the in-year reduction of £1.802m.
- Ongoing funding reductions represent a medium to long term funding risk due to changes in demand for childcare, and will impact on the sustainability of provision of early education and childcare in the borough if the headcount does not recover to prepandemic levels. It is therefore important to manage this balance carefully.
- £0.425m forecast underspend (34%, an increase of -£0.225m from month 11) against the SEND inclusion fund due to a reduced take up of PEL SEND provision in line with the reduced headcount. This underspend will be transferred into the early years contingency balance (above) in the new financial year.
- £0.063m underspend against the disability access fund (79%, a new variance) due to claims be lower than funded for by the DfE due to reduced headcounts. This underspend will be transferred into the early years contingency balance (above) in the new financial year.
- + £0.027m overspend against the early years pupil premium (15%, a new variance) due to eligibility being higher than we have been funded for by the DfE. This overspend will be transferred into the early years contingency balance (above) in the new financial year.
- £0.018m miscellaneous underspends against centrally retained budgets in the Early Years Block. This underspend will be transferred into the early years contingency balance (above) in the new financial year.

15. The net underspend of £1.461m against the Early Years Block will be carried forward as a combination of the 2-year old balance (£0.463m) and a one-off contingency for Early Years in 2022/23 (£0.998m). This will offer some protection for settings if the headcount does not recover to pre-pandemic levels and act as a contingency for Early Years SEND.

Recommendations

The final DSG outturn is unchanged form the position reported to Schools Forum in May. The reported position was a £5.218m underspend against the DSG, of which £1.252m has been allocated and £3.878m has been earmarked for cost pressures and risks.

Contact for queries:

Tim Partington – <u>tim.partington@islington.gov.uk</u>

Assistant Director of Finance, Children's, Schools and Resources

T 020 7527 1851

FINAL DSG Outturn

Appendix 1

| DSG Draft Outturn | Over/(Under) Spend | Comments / options for use |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| SCHOOLS BLOCK | | |
| The DSG guidance states that these should be carried forward for the same purp | pose, however Schoo | Is Forum have the option to allocate these elsewhere if they see fit |
| Growth Underspend against the growth budget following confirmation of final pupil numbers from the October census | (81) | The net underspend against growth and falling rolls will be carried forward to 2022/23 and can either be used for the same purpose in 2022/23 or allocated |
| Falling Rolls | (7) | elsewhere by Schools Forum |
| Balance from one-off cash payment in relation to the Secondary Unit of Funding | (321) | Being held until the summer term pending any adjustments following the changes to the treatment of NNDR for schools by the DfE / resolution of the legal position in to the term-time only staff pay to be resolved. |
| Clerkenwell | (367) | Being held until the summer term pending any adjustments following the changes to the treatment of NNDR for schools by the DfE / resolution of the legal position in to the term-time only staff pay to be resolved. |
| Subtotal Schools Block | (776) | |
| DE-DELEGATED FUNDING These funds are from maintained schools. Underspends cannot be used to offset schools | | т |
| School reducndancy budget | 85 9 | The net underspend will carry forward to 2021/22 and a 3-year budgte plan will |
| Trade union facility time | (216) | be worked up for the ECF taking into acocunt this balance and de-delegation in 2022/23 |
| NQTs / Early Career Framework | | , |
| Subtotal De-delegated | (122) | |
| CENTRAL SCHOOLS SERVICES BLOCK These funds are managed on behalf of all schools | | |
| Admission Appeals Underspend against the budget for school admission appeals for this academic year | (11) | These balances are being held to smooth in continued reductions in CSSB |
| Unallocated CSSB funding A balance of £44k has been carried forward from 2020/21 and £155k of 2021/22 funding is unallocated. | (199) | funding by the government |
| Subtotal CSSB | (210) | |
| | | |
| HIGH NEEDS BLOCK These funds are managed on behalf of maintained schools, academies and free s | schools including on | prial schools |
| High Needs balance | schools including SPE | £314k has been allocated to schools with higher than average numbers of |
| A balance of high needs funding of £1.542m has been carried forward from 2020/21. | (1,210) | children with Education, Health and Care Plans. The remainder will be carried forward as a one-off contingency for high needs in 2022/23 |
| High Needs Block funding being held against future funding risks | (1,983) | This is the remainder of the growth in funding we received in 2021/22 to meet increasing cost pressures in high needs. We are being cautious over the allocation of funding as the outlook for further growth for high needs after 2022/23 will be significantly lower than in previous years and we are facing increasing levels of demand and complexity. We also need to take into account the ongoing effect of the cost pressure against centrally commissioned provision below, which will need to be offset against this funding. |
| Centrally commissioned places Underspend against the budget for provision in Academies, the independent sector and out of borough provision | 701 | overspend against the budget for centrally commissioned places in academies, the independent sector, further education and out of borough provision |
| | | Balance against the budget for additional needs. Although this is an in-year |
| SEND additional needs | 0 | underspend, costs are committed until the end of the academic year, therefore this represents a timing difference |

| DSG Draft Outturn | Over/(Under) Spend | Comments / options for use | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| EARLY YEARS BLOCK | | | | | |
| These funds are ring-fenced for Early Years under the DSG Operat | ional Guidance | | | | |
| 2-year old trajectory funding. Carry forward of 2-year old DSG | | | | | |
| funding from previous years that is being held to smooth in | | Currently met from 3 and 4 year old funding. The need for this | | | |
| funding reductions for the statutory entitlement for free childcare | (463) | balance will be reviewed for 2023/24 | | | |
| for deprived 2-year olds now funding is allocated to local | | | | | |
| authorities based on take-up. | | | | | |
| Early Years contingency | | | | | |
| balance on the early years contingency budget from previous | (518) | | | | |
| years that is being held to offset funding risks due to lower | | | | | |
| numbers in provision as a result of the pandemic. | | * | | | |
| Reduced take up of PEL SEND provision in line with the reduced | (425) | The combined balance of £998k will be carried forward as a one- | | | |
| headcount. | (423) | off contingency for Early Years in 2022/23. This will offer some | | | |
| Disability access fund | | protection for settings if the headcount does not recover to pre- | | | |
| Claims were lower than funded for by the DfE due to reduced | (63) | pandemic levels and acta as a contingency for Early Years SEND. | | | |
| headcounts. | (00) | | | | |
| Early years pupil premium | | | | | |
| Eligibility was higher than we have been funded for by the DfE | 27 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous underspends against centrally retained budgets | (18) | | | | |
| Subtotal Early Years Block | (1,460) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total all blocks | (5,217) | | | | |



Chair: Abi Misselbrook-Lovejoy

C/o Governor Services

The Laycock Centre

London N1 1TH

Date/time: Thursday 14 July 2022, 2pm to 4pm

Agenda item: 6 – Schools Internal Audit programme outcomes 2021/22

Recommendations: that Schools Forum:

- a) Notes the report from Internal Audit and provides comments; and
- b) Notes that additional training will be provided to all schools on areas where common issues have been identified at audit.

1. Context

- 1.1. The Internal Audit service carries out audits in six or seven schools each year, looking at ten key audit areas:
 - Financial management
 - Purchasing and expenditure
 - Income charging and banking
 - Lettings
 - HR and Payroll
 - Contracts and leases
 - Asset management
 - Data protection
 - School fund and voluntary funds
 - Governance
- 1.2. Schools are prioritised for audit on the basis of a risk assessment, taking into account any significant changes in leadership or staffing, financial position, the time elapsed since the last audit was performed and the rating assigned at that audit.

2. Outcomes

2.1. The Internal Audit team finalised five school audit reports during the 2020-21 financial year and a further four draft audit reports have been released since year end. Outcomes of the nine audits are summarised here, by topic and by priority ratings of the findings:

| Торіс | High Priority | Medium Priority | Low Priority | Total |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Purchasing and expenditure | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| HR and payroll | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| Income and banking | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| Contracts and leases | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| Asset management | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| Governance | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 |
| Financial management | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| Lettings | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Data protection | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| School fund | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

2.2. The audit outcomes highlight five areas where issues were noted at seven or more schools:

Purchasing and expenditure

Schools are expected to have purchasing controls in place to ensure that all expenditure is appropriately approved and all financial commitments are recognised at the point that they are entered into. We found that:

- Schools had not consistently used purchase orders or alternative methods of approving expenditure and recognising financial commitments.
- Schools had not consistently documented exceptions to the requirement for purchase orders within financial policies.

HR and payroll

Department for Education statutory guidance requires schools to carry out DBS checks on staff and contractors. The Scheme for Financing Schools requires further preemployment checks, including checks on identity, qualifications, previous employment and permission to work in the UK. We found that:

- Vetting of staff and volunteers was not always timely and in some cases evidence was not retained of key vetting checks carried out.
- Schools had not consistently updated their Single Central Record to reflect outcomes of vetting of staff.

Income and banking

The Scheme for Financing Schools requires schools to implement procedures for the collection and documentation of income and sets limits on the extent to which schools can write off irrecoverable debt without the approval of the council. We found that:

- Records of the sources of cash income were incomplete at some schools.
- Monitoring of income against invoices issued by schools was not always robust.
- The delegated authority limits for writing off bad debts within financial procedures were not consistently in line with the limits set out in the Scheme for Financing Schools.

Contracts and leases

The Scheme for Financing Schools expects schools to comply with the council's Procurement Rules and Contract Regulations. The SFVS checklist includes a question about whether governors have sufficient oversight of contractual arrangements. We found that:

- Procurement was not consistently carried out in line with the requirements set out within the Scheme for Finanacing Schools.
- A number of schools continued to access services from suppliers whose contracts with the schools had expired.
- Copies of contractual agreements were not always held by schools.
- Governors did not consistently have oversight over the contractual arrangements entered into by schools.

Asset management

The Scheme for Financing Schools sets limits on the extent to which schools can dispose of assets without the approval of the council. Department for Education guidance states that a school maintenance plan should be in place covering planned works for the year. We found that:

- The delegated authority limits within the asset disposal policies of a number of schools were not in line with the limits set out in the Scheme for Financing Schools.
- School maintenance plans were not consistently in place.

3. Training recommendation

- 3.1. Based on common outcomes of the 2021-22 schools audits, schools may benefit from greater awareness and training about:
 - Purchase orders, covering:
 - The purpose and significance of POs;
 - The need for a clear policy outlining the approach to POs and any exceptions to the requirement for POs;

- How to effectively account for committed expenditure which does not require POs; and
- How to use FMS software to support the use of POs across a range of scenarios.
- Pre-appointment vetting requirements, particularly in respect of volunteers, and the scope and limits of schools' discretion around vetting matters;
- The Council's mandatory requirements regarding debt write-offs and the disposal of assets;
- Monitoring of cash and invoiced income; and
- Contract monitoring and procurement.

4. Changed audit approach

- 4.1. An exercise was carried out in year to streamline the audit approach and focus more effectively on compliance with legislation and the Scheme for Financing Schools. This revised approach is now in use and we have found that it has reduced audit time and the administrative burden on schools.
- 4.2. Further changes to the approach to following up audit recommendations are expected in 2022-23. Details of these changes will be shared once the approach is finalised.

Contact for queries:

Ursula Brown - ursula.brown@islington.gov.uk

Audit Manager

T 020 7527 2209



Chair: Abi Misselbrook-Lovejoy

C/o Governor Services

The Laycock Centre

London N1 1TH

Date/time: Thursday 14 July 2022, 2pm to 4pm

Agenda item: 8 - Final DSG Budget 2022-23

Recommendations:

Schools Forum are asked to note:

 The updated 2022-23 DSG budget in Appendix 1 that takes into account updated High Needs Block allocations.

DSG Budget

The DSG budget was presented to Schools Forum in January, where central retention under the Central Schools Services Block (CSSB) and Early Years Block were agreed. Central retention within the High Needs Block is a local authority decision in consultation with Schools Forum.

Since the report to Schools Forum in January, allocations under the High Needs Block were updated and reported to the High Needs Sub Group in March. High Needs block funding is still subject to adjustments from the DfE; therefore the funding envelope is still provisional.

Overall provisional High Needs Block funding envelope

Growth in high needs funding after recoupment and deductions for 2022/23 is $\pounds 2.923m$ (8.6%). Final allocations are not confirmed by the ESFA until the summer term; therefore this figure is subject to change. In addition, based on the forecast position at the end of January, there is $\pounds 1.646m$ of ongoing headroom from 2021/22, bringing the total funding envelope to $\pounds 4.570m$.

| Provisional High Needs Block funding envelope | £m |
|--|--------|
| 2022/23 provisional High Needs funding after recoupment and deductions | 36.757 |
| 2021/22 High Needs funding after recoupment and deductions | 33.834 |
| Provisional increase in funding | 2.923 |
| Ongoing headroom from 2021/22 | 1.646 |
| Total provisional High Needs Block funding envelope | 4.570 |

The ESFA is also providing an additional £1.5m to meet the costs of the health and social care levy. This is the equivalent to 4% of high needs block funding on average. We are passing this on to providers as a 4% increase to top-up funds.

Outlook for High Needs Block funding

Advice from the DfE is that funding increases will be sustained throughout the next spending period, with further growth in 2023/24 and 2024/25, but it is important to recognise that year-on-year increases will be on a smaller scale than in 2022/23. The DfE have advised those authorities with which we are most closely engaging on their financial planning, through the safety valve programme, to use an assumption of a 5% (ca. £2m) year-on-year increase in 2023/24, and 3% (ca. £1.2m) beyond that.

We do need to be mindful of future reforms to SEND as these are likely to have cost implications.

Allocation of High Needs Block headroom

We are continuing to experience significant demographic cost pressures on high needs. Analysis of future demand for Education Health and Care Plans (EHCPs) by the SEND team is currently being updated but using the recent increase in demand of 8% as a proxy for 2022/23 and a 2% estimated increase in unit costs indicates a demographic cost pressure of £1.565m for provision commissioned centrally for provision in academies, independent schools and out of borough. In addition, there is an ongoing forecast overspend of £0.899m against these budgets in 2021/22 which also needs to be built into the budget for 2022/23. This reduce the ongoing headroom to £2.106m.

| | £m |
|---|---------|
| Total provisional High Needs Block funding envelope | 4.570 |
| Estimated demographic cost pressure in relation to the centrally commissioned budget for provision in academies, independent schools and out of borough | (1.565) |
| Baselining forecast ongoing cost pressure for the centrally commissioned provision above | (0.899) |
| Revised provisional High Needs Block funding envelope | |

Allocations against the revised headroom of £2.106m are given in the table below. this includes an assumed 2% increase in top-up funding rates from 1 April. This is in line with the minimum funding guarantee for mainstream schools. The proposed allocations total £1.257m, leaving an unallocated balance of £0.849m that is being held to mitigate any additional demand pressures, and in recognition that future increases in funding will be significantly lower than in previous years, despite the expectation of continued large increases in demand. It is important to have a small balance of unallocated funds consistent with the level of risk in the budget.

| High Needs Block allocations | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|
| Description | £k | Description | |
| Allocations in central retention report to January Schools Forum | | | |
| Transfers from the Early Years Block | 23 | SALT and CAMHS. Reduction in EYB means we cannot centrally retain as much funding for central services. These items will now be fully funded from the HNB (total HNB funding: SALT £228k; CAMHS £86k) | |
| ITIPS | 83 | £83k contribution to iTIPS to help sustain the programme in future years. This is on top of £163k investment by the Council. iTIPs has been working effectively in Islington over the past four and a half years, building local networks of peer and clinical support to embed and sustain trauma informed, relational practice among a wide range of professionals and volunteers – in our schools, early years settings and voluntary and community organisations. iTIPs has provided evidence on our work on exclusions and inequalities for Council Scrutiny and has been included in their reports and recommendations. It formed part of the evidence for both the social care and recent SEND inspection and was identified as a key strength in the 2018 joint targeted area inspection. | |
| Further proposed allocations | | | |
| Increase in top-up funding rates by 2%: | | 2% is in-line with mainstream school MFG. | |
| In-borough special academies | 138 | | |
| LBI special schools | 35 | | |
| Laycock primary | 2 | | |
| Additional place funding: | | | |
| • Sam Rhodes | 121 | Increase in places from 128 to 140 | |
| Additional Resourced Provision (Montem and Prior Weston) | 72 | 6 additional places in each provision | |

| Meeting more complex needs: | | |
|--|-------|---|
| Reprofiling provision at Special Schools | 188 | This is to better reflect demand and complexity of need |
| New River College | 550 | Increase in places, to reflect demand and complexity, and 2% top-up. This is a provisional allocation that will be adjusted in-year in line with provision |
| Increase in early years PEL SEND banding rates by 6% | | 4% increase re. 2021/22 and 2% increase re. 2022/23 mirroring top-up funding rates in these years |
| Nursery Schools | 14 | |
| Children's Centres | 28 | |
| 2% uplift to SALT contract in Early Years | 4 | Brings total SALT contract to £232k |
| Total allocations | 1,257 | |
| Provisional funding envelope | 2,106 | |
| Balance | 849 | Being held to mitigate any additional demand pressures, and in recognition that future increases in funding will be significantly lower than in previous years, despite the expectation of continued large increases in demand. It is important to have a small balance of unallocated funds consistent with the level of risk in the budget |

Recommendations

That Schools Forum notes:

• The updated DSG budget in Appendix 1 that takes into account updated High Needs Block allocations

Contact for queries:

Tim Partington – <u>tim.partington@islington.gov.uk</u>

Assistant Director of Finance, Children's, Schools and Resources

T 020 7527 1851

Appendix 1: Updated DSG Budget 2022/23

| | | Sch Block | Sch Blk De-del | CSSB | High Needs Blk | Early Years Blk | Total |
|----|--|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | Allocation | 139,517,148 | | 1,477,880 | 40,356,771 | 18,636,357 | 199,988,156 |
| | Recoupment / Post 16 Adjustment - | 34,361,208 | | 1,177,000 | - 3,600,000 | 10,030,337 | 37,961,208 |
| | necoupment / rost to Aujustment | 105,155,940 | | 1,477,880 | 36,756,771 | 18,636,357 | 162,026,948 |
| | Deduction for NNDR - | 2,559,053 | | 1,477,000 | 30,730,771 | 10,030,337 | 2,559,053 |
| | | 102,596,887 | | 1,477,880 | 36,756,771 | 18,636,357 | 159,467,895 |
| | Split-out de-delegation | 1,270,282 | 1,270,282 | 1,477,000 | 30,730,771 | 10,030,337 | 133,407,833 |
| | | 101,326,605 | 1,270,282 | 1,477,880 | 36,756,771 | 18,636,357 | 159,467,895 |
| | | 101,320,003 | 1,270,282 | 1,477,880 | 30,730,771 | 18,030,337 | 135,407,855 |
| | | | | 52.011 | 1 | - 1 | 52.011 |
| | | 101,326,605 | 1,270,282 | 52,911 1,424,969 | 36,756,772 | 18,636,356 | 52,911 159,414,984 |
| 1 | Primary and Nursery Schools General | | | | | | 112,859,034 |
| | Secondary Schools General | 100,626,605 | | | | | - |
| | Special Schools General | | | | 12,232,429 | | - |
| | PRU General | | | | | | |
| 8 | iTIPS | | | | 83,000 | | 83,000 |
| 6 | 3&4 Year Old EYSFF | | | | 85,000 | 13,118,181 | 13,118,181 |
| - | Early Years Growth Contingency | | | | | 436,243 | 436,243 |
| 9 | Admissions | | | 630,166 | | 430,243 | 630,166 |
| | Administration of School Admission Appea | le. | | 45,000 | | | 45,000 |
| | SEN Team | 13 | | 45,000 | 777,152 | | 777,152 |
| | Independent Advice and Support for Paren | te | | | 30,648 | | 30,648 |
| | SEND Mediation | 1.5 | | | 11,578 | | 11,578 |
| | SEN Commissioning | | | | 491,707 | | 491,707 |
| | Access and Engagement Service | | | 257,273 | 491,707 | | 257,273 |
| | School Improvement Service | | 99,816 | 231,213 | | | 99,816 |
| | Finance Services | | 55,268 | | | 61,248 | 116,516 |
| | Servicing of Schools Forums | | 33,208 | 50,000 | | 01,240 | 50,000 |
| | Capital and Asset Management | | | 109,514 | | | 109,514 |
| | Schools HR & Payroll | | 75,172 | 109,514 | | | 75,172 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Support to Governors and Governor Servic ICT Services | es | 25,825 | - | | 100,864 | 25,825 217,816 |
| | Directors and Assistant Directors | | 116,952 | - | | 100,804 | 71,439 |
| | Early Years SEN Additional Needs | | | 71,439 | 211.585 | 470 5.04 | |
| | Early Years SEN Additional Needs | | | | 725,091 | 478,584 | 690,169 725,091 |
| | Early Years PEL Places | | | | /23,091 | 910 CEE | 810,655 |
| | Early Years SENCOs | | | | 225.044 | 810,655 | |
| | | | | | 235,844 97,998 | | 235,844 |
| | Early Years Priority Referral Team | | | | 97,998 | 00.545 | 97,998 |
| | Family Information Service EYFS Team, Strategy and Management | | 00.005 | | | 98,515 | 98,515 |
| | Speech and Language Therapy (Early Years | 1 | 89,296 | | 228,118 | 567,443 | 656,739 |
| | | 27 | | | | - | 228,118 |
| | CAMHS (Early Years) | | | | 86,095 | - | 86,095 |
| | 2 Year Old EYSFF Strengthening Parenting | | | | | 2,234,872 | 2,234,872 |
| | | | | | 400 570 | 70,800 | 70,800 |
| | Islington Families Intensive Team | | | | 126,570 | | 126,570 |
| | OB Maint Sch SEN Exp - pre 16 | | | | 1,492,742 | | 1,492,742 |
| | OB Main Sch SEN Exp - post16 | | | | 242,395 | | 242,395 |
| | Academy SEN Spend - pre16 | | | | 6,494,348 | | 6,494,348 |
| | Academy SEN Spend - post16 | | | | 1,065,251 | | 1,065,251 |
| 44 | Independent SEN prov - post16 | | | | 1,431,762 | | 1,431,762 |

| | | Sch Block | Sch Blk De-del | CSSB | High Needs Blk | Early Years Blk | Total |
|-----|--|-------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 45 | Independent School Fees -pre16 | | | | 2,070,188 | - | 2,070,188 |
| 46 | Independent School Fees-post16 | | | | 612,313 | | 612,313 |
| 47 | JAP Placements - Pre16 | | | | 514,278 | | 514,278 |
| 48 | JAP Placements - post16 | | | | 580,725 | | 580,725 |
| | SEN Contingency - pre16 | | | | 1,141,434 | | 1,141,434 |
| | Estimated SEND Placement Costs Growth | (22/23) | | | 1,564,544 | | 1,564,544 |
| | SEND Additional needs | | | | 600,000 | | 600,000 |
| 50D | SEND Enhanced individual support | | | | 60,000 | | 60,000 |
| 50E | SEND Additional SEND Support provision | | | | 100,000 | | 100,000 |
| 50F | SEND Exceptional Needs | | | | 200,000 | | 200,000 |
| 50H | High Needs Headroom | | | | 848,853 | | 848,853 |
| 51 | Refugees | | | | 75,000 | | 75,000 |
| 52 | CAMHS (Over 5s) | | | | 350,000 | | 350,000 |
| 53 | Outreach | | | | 730,016 | | 730,016 |
| 54 | Radio Aids Maintenance | | | | 26,200 | | 26,200 |
| 55 | PRU Family Support | | | | 150,000 | | 150,000 |
| 56 | SENCO Network Co-ordinator | | | | 14,000 | | 14,000 |
| 58 | Travel Special | | | | 414,600 | | 414,600 |
| 59 | Alternative Provision | | | | 131,308 | | 131,308 |
| 60 | Families First | | | | 70,000 | | 70,000 |
| 61 | Safeguarding Post | | | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| 62 | PRU Managed Moves | | | | 200,000 | | 200,000 |
| 63 | Virtual school | | | | 164,000 | | 164,000 |
| 65 | Equalities | | | 30,000 | | | 30,000 |
| 66 | Legal Services SLA | | | 30,000 | | | 30,000 |
| 67 | Sports Co-ordinators | | | 25,000 | | | 25,000 |
| 69 | Licences | | | 122,777 | | | 122,777 |
| 72 | Trade Union Facility Time | | 60,039 | | | | 60,039 |
| 73 | NQTs | | 121,065 | 53,800 | | | 174,865 |
| 74 | UPEG (School Improvement Service) | | 136,872 | | | | 136,872 |
| 75 | Priority Support | | 219,165 | | | | 219,165 |
| 76 | Schools in Financial Difficulty | | 187,416 | | | | 187,416 |
| 78 | ISCB | | | | 50,000 | | 50,000 |
| 79 | Falling Rolls | 400,000 | | | | | 400,000 |
| 80 | Growth Fund | 300,000 | | | | | 300,000 |
| 81 | MNS Supplementary Funding | | | | | 334,658 | 334,658 |
| 82 | Disabled Access Fund | | | | | 94,400 | 94,400 |
| 83 | Schools Redundancies | | 83,396 | | | | 83,396 |
| 85 | Early Years Pupil Premium | | | | | 229,893 | 229,893 |
| | | 101,326,605 | 1,270,282 | 1,424,969 | 36,756,772 | 18,636,356 | 159,414,984 |
| | DSC allocation often deductions | 101 226 605 | 1 270 202 | 1 477 000 | 26 756 774 | 19 626 257 | 150 467 995 |
| | DSG allocation after deductions | 101,326,605 | 1,270,282 | 1,477,880 | 36,756,771 | 18,636,357 | 159,467,895 |
| | | - | - | 52,911 | - 1 | 1 | 52,911 |

Description of budget lines:

| 1 to 4 | Primary and Nursery / Secondary / Special Schools and PRU General | Individual school budget adjusted for de-delegated funds. Speci school and PRU place funding and top-up. | | | | |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 6 | 3&4 Year Old EYSFF | Early Years Single Funding Formula for all Early Years settings for the statutory entitlement to free childcare for 3 and 4 year olds. | | | | |
| 7 | Early Years Growth Contingency | 2.75% contingency budget for demographic growth for providers in line with the DfE's changes. This will be used to fund increasing numbers of 3 and 4 year olds in the summer term and new provision. | | | | |
| 8 | ITIPS | Contribution to iTIPS to help sustain the programme in future years. This is on top of £163k investment by the Council. iTIPs has built local networks of peer and clinical support to embed and sustain trauma informed, relational practice among a wide range of professionals and volunteers – in our schools, early years settings and voluntary and community organisations. | | | | |

Description of budget lines

| 0000 | ription of budget lines | |
|----------|---|--|
| 9 | Admissions | Statutory points of entry and exit, casual admissions and monitoring |
| 0.4 | Administration of a sheel admission anneals | children missing from education. Management of school exclusions. |
| 9A 10 | Administration of school admission appeals | Cost of hearing admission appeals |
| 10 | SEN Team | SEN Team to support statutory assessment, placement and review. |
| 11 | Independent Advice and Support for Parents | Statutory requirement to provide 'arm's-length' advice. Service commissioned from Family Action. |
| 12 | SEND Mediation | Mediation. Statutory requirement to resolve SEND disputes between parents and LA, parents and schools |
| 13 | SEN Commissioning | Includes £150K for additional SALT, support for Visual Impairment and Multi-sensory |
| 14 | Access and Engagement Service | Start advice and guidance on improving attendance and targeted support to specific schools where needed. Work with schools on legal proceedings. Elective Home Education process. |
| 15 | School Improvement Service | De-delegated funding. Work in Support of Schools, Headteacher briefings and strategic planning meetings. |
| 17 | Finance Services | De-delegation. Support to Projects Groups. Restructuring support for schools in financial difficulty. Data implications on budget allocations. Support for monitoring of P16 data for funding. Agreement on redundancy packages. Headteacher briefings. SBM briefings. Assessing of Free School Meals eligibility. Implementing on-line assessments. Eligibility checks for EYPP and 2 year old entitlement. |
| 18 | Servicing of Schools Forums | Support to Schools Forum. |
| 19 | Capital and Asset Management | Management of the capital programme including preparation and review of asset management plan, and negotiation and management of BSF. General landlord duties. |
| 20 | Schools HR & Payroll | De-delegation. Support for SLT in relation to strategic restructures Sickness management. Agreement on redundancy packages Headteacher briefings. SBM briefings. |
| 21 | Support to Governors and Governor Services | De-delegation. Supports Project Groups and strategic support for the resolution of Governor issues. |
| 22 | ICT Services | De-delegation. SMIFs. Data Analysis. Place Planning. Supports Safeguarding / CME. Links to SEN / Admissions / Attendance. |
| 24 | Directors and Assistant Directors | DCS, support staff and planning for education service as a whole. |
| 25 | Early Years SEN Additional Needs | Funding allocated to individual children with SEND (not allocated a UFAG place) in early years provision for additional staffing and/or equipment to ensure individual children's needs can be met. Funding allocated on a termly basis through a multi-agency panel. |
| 26 | Early Years PEL SEND Places | 36 Priority Early Learning (PEL) SEND places in children's centres fo children with severe and complex needs. Places are allocated through the PEL SEND panel |
| 27 | Early Years PEL Places | Childcare / early education places for children with complex social and or emotional need. These children may also have SEND. Children are referred through the termly PEL panel. |
| 28 | Early Years SENCOs | SEN and inclusion support, development and training for all EYFS providers including children's centres, PVIs, nursery classes and child- minders. Support for children with SEND on transition to school Strategic management of early years inclusion and early intervention. |
| 29 | Early Years Priority Referral Team | Operational management, administration and support for EYPR placements. |
| 31 | Family Information Service | Children's Services elements of the maintenance of Family Directory and Early Years and Childcare web pages including the Local Offe providing information to families about services to support children and young people including childcare and schools; childcare brokerage; information about events for children and young people. |

| | | EYFS school and setting improvement (primary & nursery schools, |
|---------|--|---|
| 32 | Early Years Foundation Stage Team, Strategy and Management | children's centres, PVI providers, childminders) through bespoke in- setting support, projects, central training and guidance on meeting statutory requirements. EYFSP moderation; integrated health and education check at aged 2. Strategic development, management and administration of early childhood services pregnancy to 5 and out-of- school childcare. This includes commissioning and support for setting up systems to meet national requirements and ensuring the Council meets its statutory duty to provide sufficient childcare. |
| 34 | Speech and Language Therapy (Early Years) | Speech and language activities at Children's Centres and support for practitioners to develop understanding of speech and language. |
| 35 | CAMHS (Early Years) | Contribution to CAMHS services at Children's Centres including advice to parents. |
| 36 | 2 Year Old EYSFF | Early Years Single Funding Formula for all early years settings for the statutory entitlement to free childcare for 2 year olds. |
| 37 | Strengthening Parenting | Parenting programmes including Incredible Years courses |
| 39 | Islington Families Intensive Team | Attendance support and Behaviour Support Services. |
| 40 & 41 | OB Maint Sch SEN Exp - pre and post 16 | Mainstream and special schools out borough. About 30% of this goes to Stormont House - secondary special school in Hackney for children with significant needs in one or more of moderate learning difficulties, speech and language communication needs, high functioning Autistic Spectrum Condition (ASC), specific literacy difficulties and social, emotional and mental health. |
| 42 & 43 | Academy SEN Spend– pre and post 16 | In and out borough, mainstream and special academies. About 40% of this goes to ILS (Islington Free School for complex ASC) and The Courtyard (Islington Free School for high functioning ASC - secondary). |
| 44-46 | Independent SEN prov – post16 and Independent School Fees – pre and post 16 | Provision independent that is independent of the local authority but registered with the DfE. Tends to be more specialist provision e.g. deaf and blind. |
| 47 & 48 | JAP Placements – pre and post 16 | Placements for children with severe education, health and social care needs. Commissioned through a joint agency panel. |
| 49 | SEN Contingency – pre16 | This is for EHCPs issued in year plus exceptional needs. |
| 50B | Estimated SEN Placement Costs growth | Estimated increase in demand re. provision in rows 40 to 49 above |
| 50C | SEND Additional Needs | Funding to strengthen our SEND Support offer, particularly for Key Stage 1 where schools are reporting particular need. Proposals are being developed through the High Needs Sub Group |
| 50D | SEND Enhanced individual support | Additional funding that means that we can increase top-up for a small number of children with complex Autistic Spectrum Condition that present challenges beyond the resources normally available to mainstream schools. |
| 50E | SEND Additional SEND Support provision | Fixed term appointments for part-time SEND Support Co-ordinator and Schools Area SENCO roles. A further fixed term post is being recruited to with expertise in the area of mental health to help our response to growing numbers of young people presenting with diagnosed psychiatric disorders, Tier 4 discharge planning and additional duties introduced through statutory NHS Care, Education and Treatment reviews. |
| 50H | Provisional High Needs Headroom | Growth in funding being held against funding risks – this will reduce as top-up rates are agreed for 2022/23. Options are being considered for distributing some of this funding ti mainstream schools to support them meet the needs of pupils with SEND in 2022/23. We need to be cautious over the allocation of funding as the outlook for further growth for high needs is uncertain after 2022/23 and we are facing increasing levels of demand and complexity. The DfE are also reviewing the funding formula for high needs which could see Islington lose funding. |

| | 1 | Additional funding to identified secondary schools (Holloway, H Grove, |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|
| 51 | Refugees | AMSI) for meeting needs of newly arrived in KS4. |
| 52 | CAMHS (Over 5s) | Additional provision commissioned by schools |
| 53 | Outreach | Provided by Islington Special Schools /PRU agreed to support mainstream in developing their SEND offer. |
| 54 | Radio Aids Maintenance | Maintenance of equipment for children with hearing impairments. |
| 55 | PRU Family Support | Additional support for students at NRC. |
| 56 | SENCO Network Co-ordinator | Seconded SENCO (1 day per week) to co-ordinate SENCO network and support new SENCOs. |
| 58 | Travel Special | DSG contribution. Total budget is £2.9m including core Council budget but spend is £3.3m. |
| 59 | Alternative Provision | From 2017/18: Top-up costs for AP placements above £10k. |
| 60 | Families First | Education contribution to Families First that funds the equivalent of 2 Family Support Workers – each primary school has a linked worker |
| 61 | Safeguarding Post | Education contribution to multi agency mandatory safeguarding training and audits for schools on request. |
| 62 | PRU Managed Moves | Funding for the NRC in relation to children moving into provision. |
| 63 | Virtual school | Funding to support pupils in Islington schools who present significant difficulties in school on entry to care and contribution to tuition fees. |
| 65 | Equalities | Ongoing work with all schools (including academies and free schools) to raise progress and achievement for the two lowest achieving groups in schools (black Caribbean and white British) and Equaliteach |
| 66 | Legal Services SLA | Legal SLA @ £500 per school. |
| 67 | Sports Co-ordinators | Sports co-ordinators in schools. |
| 69 | Licences | DfE charge for national copyright licences on behalf of all schools and academies. |
| 72 | Trade Union Facility Time / EAP | De-delegated funding. Charging of Trade Union Facility time. Employee Assistance Programme. |
| 73 | NQTs | De-delegated funding. Release time for NQTs. Central retention. NQT recruitment. |
| 74 | UPEG (School Improvement Service) | De-delegated funding. Work in Support of Schools, Headteacher briefings and strategic planning meetings |
| 75 | Priority Support | De-delegated funding. Support specific projects in schools where schools are in, or at risk at being, in a category. There are 10-15 schools requiring support each year. |
| 76 | Schools in Financial Difficulty | De-delegated funding. Support schools which are required to restructure, provide support schools with falling rolls and to fund items of contingency which schools would not be expected to pay from their own budgets. |
| 78 | ISCB | Education contribution to the statutory multi-agency Islington Safeguarding Children's Board. |
| 79 | Falling Rolls | Fund to support schools with falling rolls in exceptional circumstances. Allocated in-line with agreed criteria. |
| 80 | Growth Fund | Fund to support schools which are required to provide extra places in order to meet basic need including pre-opening and reorganisation costs. Allocated in0-line with agreed criteria. |
| 81 | MNS Supplementary Funding | Supplementary funding provided by the DfE for maintained nursery schools only until the end of the current parliament to help manage the transition to lower funding levels under the new EYSFF. |
| 82 | Disabled Access Fund | The DfE is going to introduce additional targeted Disability Access Funding (DAF) worth £12.5m per annum nationally, which will be paid to all providers for children in receipt of DLA taking a place in their setting. This would be paid annually and is worth £615 per 3 and 4 year old per year. This funding will be a ringfenced grant outside of the EYSFF to enable these children to access the new 30 hour entitlement. |
| 83 | Schools Redundancies | De-delegated funding. If schools follow HR advice, this covers a proportion of redundancy costs in schools. |
| 85 | Early Years Pupil Premium | Additional funding for early years settings to improve the education they provide for disadvantaged 3- and 4-year-olds. Funding is the equivalent to 53p per hour per eligible child. This is a ringfenced grant outside of the EYSFF. |

| 2023-24 Estimated DSG Allocation | ıs | | | | | | | Α | GENDA IT | EM - 9 |
|---|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--|--------------|-------------|---------------|--|-------------|
| Source: Admissions data @ 23/06/22 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Es | 2023-24 timated Fu (30/06/202 | inding | | 2022-23 SG Settler (16/12/202 ed on Oct | ment 21) | | | ge 's Early Years yrs estimates) | % Change |
| | Pupil Nos | Unit value | DSG Funding | Pupil Nos | Unit value | DSG Funding | Pupil Nos | Unit value | | |
| Calcada Dia du | Pupil Nos | value | £ | Pupil Nos | value | £ | Pupir Nos | value | DSG Funding £ | |
| Schools Block: | 40.000 | 5 000 00 | - | 40 707 | 5 000 00 | ~ | 170 | | ~~ | 4 40/ |
| Primary Pupils | 12,608 | 5,880.30 | 74,138,822 | 12,787 | 5,880.30 | 75,191,396 | - 179 18 | - | - 1,052,574 | -1.4% |
| Secondary Pupils | 7,484 | 7,826.28 | 58,571,880 | 7,466 | 7,826.28 | 58,431,006 | 18 | - | 140,873 | 0.2% |
| Growth | | | 823,756 | | | 823,756 | | | - | 0.0% |
| Funding adj | | | U | | | U | | | | 0.00/ |
| Premises | 00,000 | 0.000 | 5,070,988 | 00.050 | 0.000 | 5,070,988 | 404 | | - | 0.0% |
| Schools Block - before recoupment | 20,092 | 6,899 | 138,605,446 | 20,253 | 6,889 | 139,517,147 | -161 | 1 | -911,701 | -0.7% |
| National non-domestic rates within the premises facto | or | | -2, 559, 053 | | | -2, 559, 053 | | | - | 0.0% |
| Academy Recoupment: | | | | | | | | | - | #DIV/0! |
| % of Total DSG | | | 70.2% | | | 70.3% | | | | |
| Total Schools Block - after recoupment | 20,092 | 6,899 | 136,046,393 | 20,253 | 6,889 | 136,958,094 | -161 | 0 | -911,701 | #DIV/0! |
| Central School Services Block: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ongoing Duties | 20,092 | 52.14 | 1,047,598 | 20,253 | 52.14 | 1,055,992 | - 161 | - | - 8,395 | -0.79% |
| Historic Commitments | | | 337,510 | | | 421,888 | | - | - 84,378 | -20.0% |
| % of Total DSG | | | 0.7% | | | 0.8% | | | | |
| Total CSSB | | | 1,385,108 | | | 1,477,880 | | | -92,772 | -6.3% |
| High Needs Block: | | | | | | | | | | |
| National Funding Formula | | | 36,743,328 | | | 36,743,328 | | | - | 0.0% |
| Basic Entitlement Factor (Sp Schs & Acads) | 578.5 | 5644.95 | 3,265,605 | 578.5 | 5644.95 | 3,265,605 | | | - | 0.0% |
| Import / Export adjs | | | - 156,000 | | | - 156,000 | | | - | 0.0% |
| Special Free Schools | | | 292,997 | | | 292,997 | | | - | 0.0% |
| Hospital education**, AP teachers pay/pension and | | | 210,841 | | | 210.841 | | | _ | 0.0% |
| supplementary funding*** factor total (provisional) | | | 210,041 | | | 210,041 | | | | 0.070 |
| Add'l High Needs Funding | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supplementary Grant Funding (NiC) | | | 1,515,506 | | | 1,515,506 | | | - | 0.0% |
| High Needs Block - before recoupment | | | 41,872,277 | | | 41,872,277 | | | 0 | |
| EFA Direct funding of places | | | - 3,600,000 | | | - 3,600,000 | | | - | 0.0% |
| % of Total DSG | | | 19.7% | | | 19.6% | | | | |
| Total High Needs Block - after recoupment | | | 38,272,277 | | | 38,272,277 | | | 0 | |
| Early Years Block: | PTE | £/hour | | PTE | £/hour | | PTE | £/hour | | |
| 3&4 Year Old (Schs + PVI) | 2,646.41 | 7.81 | 11,781,024 | 2,646.41 | 7.81 | 11,781,024 | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| 3&4 Year Extended (Schs + PVI) | 710.20 | 7.81 | 3,161,597 | 710.20 | 7.81 | 3,161,597 | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| 2 Year Old (Schs + PVI) | 653.00 | 6.87 | 2,557,083 | 653.00 | 6.87 | 2,557,083 | - | - | - | 0.0% |
| EYPPG (Schs + PVI) | 556.00 | | 190,152 | 556.00 | | 190,152 | - | | - | 0.0% |
| MNS | 164 | 3.58 | 334,658 | 164 | 3.58 | 334,658 | | | - | 0.0% |
| DAF | | | 94,400 | | | 94,400 | | | - | 0.0% |
| % of Total DSG | | | 9.3% | | | 9.3% | | | | |
| Total Early Years Block | | | 18,118,915 | | | 18,118,915 | | | 0 | 0.0% |
| Total DSG before Recoupment | | | 199,981,746 | | | 200,986,219 | | | -1,004,473 | -0.5% |
| Total DSG after Recoupment | | | 193,822,693 | | | 194,827,166 | | | -1,004,473 | -0.5% |



Islington Schools Forum

Chair: Abi Misselbrook-Lovejoy

C/o Governor Services

The Laycock Centre

London N1 1TH

Date/time: Thursday 14 July 2022, 2pm to 4pm

Agenda item: Item 10 – Completing reforms to the National Funding Formula

Purpose of report: Information

Recommendations:

Schools Forum notes the report

Background

Between 6 July 2021 and 30 September 2021, DfE held a consultation on proposed changes to complete reforms to school funding and move to a "direct" schools national funding formula (NFF). The consultation sought views on the approach to reforming the NFF and how they would most effectively transition away from the use of local formulae to all schools' funding allocations being determined directly by the NFF in the years ahead. Furthermore, the consultation sought views on what a fully delivered direct NFF should look like and for the next steps to be taken to ensure a smooth transition towards this.

The main themes covered in the consultation were:

- The aim should be that all NFF funding factors pupil-led and school-led are included in the formula
- To improve premises factors within the NFF in advance of the introduction of the direct formula
- Reform the approach to growth and falling rolls fund allocations
- From 2023-24, to progressively tighten rules governing LAs' flexibility over schools funding
- reform the approach to funding for central school services delivered by LAs
- The potential of moving from a financial year to academic year for maintained schools

Government response

Following analysis of 359 responses, the Government's <u>response</u> to the consultation confirms their intention to move forward with plans to introduce the direct national funding formula and will no longer be subject to substantial local adjustments; the Government will bring forward the relevant legislation required at the earliest opportunity. The Government will start the process of transition by bringing local authority formulae closer to the NFF in 2023-24 and will consult again on the implementation of these reforms. At the time of the Governments response, there was no given indaication for when the hard NFF would come in to force. The latest released consultation, described later in the report provides further details of DfE's intentions.

The key responses of the consultation confirms that DfE will:

- move to allocate funding for all mainstream schools according to a single, national formula
- bring forward the relevant legislation to achieve this change when parliamentary time allows
- continues to operate different funding cycles for maintained schools and academies
- From 2023-24, DfE will require:
 - LAs to use all, and only, NFF factors in their local formulae. Islington are replicating the NFF including all permissible factors.
 - All local formulae factors to move at least 10% closer to the NFF, except where local formulae are already "mirroring" the NFF. With the exception of the base rate factor for 2022-23 funding formula, Islington are replicating the NFF including values. It is expected that the base rate return to NFF values in 2023-24 funding formula, this will depend on the overall funding envelope awarded to the authority.
 - LAs to use the NFF definition for the English as an Additional Language (EAL) factor (although flexibility over the sparsity factor methodology will remain in 2023-24). Islington use EAL3 in line with NFF.
 - The approach to transition in subsequent years will depend on the impact in the first year.
- Over the coming year, the Department will:
 - o Consult on an approach to the split sites factor and to the PFI factor in the NFF
 - In the second stage consultation, include proposals for the revised growth and falling rolls factor – and some options which would allow a degree of local flexibility

- In the second stage consultation, include proposals for an exceptional circumstances factor
- Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) protections will remain in place for 2023/24, for 2022/23 this was required to be between +0.5% and +2%

Implementing the Direct National Funding Formula

On 7 June 2022 the Government released the second stage consultation relating to a direct NFF for funding all mainstream schools. DfE have previously confirmed their intention to move to a direct funding formula for mainstream schools meaning the DfE determines funding allocations for individual schools, without substantial local adjustment.

The consultation will end 9 September 2022; full details can be viewed <u>here</u>. Further consultations are expected during the year on related funding issues such as the consequent reforms to high needs funding arrangements, following the ongoing consultation on the SEND and alternative provision green paper. DfE also plan to consult on the funding for local authority services through the central school services block (CSSB), as they move to the direct NFF, and in light of the future role for local authorities as set out in the Schools White Paper, Opportunity for all.

The key factors within this latest consultation are set out below:

NFF Implementation date

Whilst DfE are not setting a definitive final "end date" at which the direct NFF will be implemented, they are setting out expectations to have moved to the direct NFF within the next five years; by the 2027-28 funding year at the latest.

The interaction between the direct NFF and funding for high needs

The Government's consultation on the SEND and alternative provision green paper concludes in July 2022. Following consideration of the responses to that consultation, they will consult on further detailed proposals on how high needs funding will operate to deliver the aims of the green paper. In particular, DfE plan to cover the operation of funding bands and tariffs to support the development of a national framework for SEND provision.

In the current consultation, DfE focuses on two elements:

 how continued flexibility to transfer funding to authorities' high needs budgets, by adjusting mainstream schools funding, could work under the direct NFF. Currently Local authorities can transfer up to 0.5% of their schools block with the approval of the schools forum, but transfers above 0.5%, or where the schools forum does not agree, must be decided by the Secretary of State. DfE we propose that local authorities will be provided with a short "menu" of options on how the adjustment to mainstream schools' NFF allocations could be made. proposals on the continuation of notional SEN budgets in the direct NFF. The direct NFF will include a number of factors that act as a proxy for the incidence of SEN in mainstream schools. DfE are keeping under review whether the current factors will remain appropriate in future in particular, the use of prior attainment factor. DfE propose to continue the concept of identifying for each school a budget for the costs of additional support for its pupils with SEND. This would be calculated by the Department under the direct NFF, rather than by local authorities, and would indicate the amount within the school's overall budget that is allocated to help schools meet the costs of additional provision for children with SEND, up to a defined threshold

Growth and Falling Rolls funding

Growth: The DfE is proposing two options. The first, which it prefers, would see councils retain some flexibility but with restrictions on how the funding can be used e.g a minimum expectation on when growth funding is awarded.

The second option would be a nationally standardised system, with no LA involvement.

Popular growth: currently funding can only be awarded to academy schools, this consultation proposes to extend to maintained schools, following the same processes as academies.

Falling Rolls: Standardising the allowable criteria; the government is also consulting on whether to remove the rule that only 'good' or 'outstanding' schools can receive falling roll funding, and on expanding the use of the funding to support councils to "repurpose and remove" spare classroom space.

These proposals could be implemented in 2024-25.

Both changes could affect Islington schools with one school expected to receive growth funding in 2024-25. In 2022-23 financial year, 5 Islington schools are set to receive falling rolls funding. It is less obvious with the number of schools affected with the proposals around falling rolls but we would want to ensure that no schools are adversely affected by any changes.

Premises funding

PFI: DfE recognise concerns about the complexity of PFI contracts and plan to work closely with the sector to develop an appropriate approach to PFI schools under a direct NFF, to be consulted on at a later date. This element is expected to be implemented at the time of direct NFF (2027-28 at the latest). For Islington, these changes will specially affect a select number of secondary schools.

Split Sites: currently awards funding forextra costs associated with a school operating across a number of separate sites. The DfE is proposing a national formula for split sites funding, with a basic eligibility criteria that attracts a lump-sum payment, plus a distance eligibility. Any losses in funding would be subject to Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) protection. DfE propose to implement changes effective from 2024-25. Two Islington schools currently receive funding through this factor; one primary and one secondary. Funding currently allocated to these

schools are not an outlier comparing to other LAs that may use this factor and until the finer details and proposals of future allocations are known, it would be difficult to determine whether these schools will be adversely affected by the proposals.

Exceptional Circumstances: DfE plan to develop a national approach to exceptional circumstances including standardising what is funded under this factor through discrete categories that can attract additional support. Some premises elements such as BSF are proposed to be incorporated in to a modified PFI factor. Dual or Joint Use Agreements would continue, one school falls into this category in Islington. DfE propose to implement changes to the exceptional circumstances factor at the time of the introduction of the direct NFF (2027-28)

DfE want to significantly reduce the number of schools receiving exceptional circumstances funding so that we target funding only to schools where costs are exceptional and meaningful, and as such, propose that we raise the exceptional circumstances funding threshold to account for at least 2.5% of a school's budget, up from the current 1%. This factor particulary relates to one secondary school in Islington and we await further information and consultations on how this may affect the funding of this school.

Minimum Funding Guarantee

Under the current funding system, LAs set a "minimum funding guarantee" for schools to protect them from large losses in funding year-on-year. The NFF funding then floor mirrors the MFG in the local formulae.

Under plans for a hard funding formula, the two protections will merge into one minimum funding guarantee, which will be based on schools' actual funding from the previous year and is proposed to be fully based on pupil-led funding only.

Next Steps

Islington will be responding to the proposals as set out in the consultation during the summer break and will share with all schools at the start of term. Schools may respond individually or use the LAs as a basis for submission.

Recommendation

That Schools Forum notes both the previous Government's response and acknowledges the latest consultation on moving toward a direct National Funding Formula.

Contact for queries:

Debbie Stevenson - debra.stevenson@islington.gov.uk

Head of Schools & Early Years Finance Services

T 020 7527 5763



Islington Schools Forum

Chair: Abi Misselbrook-Lovejoy

C/o Governor Services

The Laycock Centre

London N1 1TH

Date/time: Thursday 14 July 2022, 2pm to 4pm

Agenda item: Item 11 - Forward Planning

Purpose of report: Information

Recommendations:

Schools Forum notes the updates and provide comments

Forward planning

The purpose of this report is to provide the foundation of a future work plan for Schools Forum meetings for the 2022-23 financial year and will be updated on a rolling 12-month period.

The report presented has been updated to reflect changes that have since emerged from the previous meeting.

The table in appendix A provides the updated work plan and includes an additional notes column to enable recognised changes.

Listed within the work plan are suggested standing items, these will be presented either via a written or oral report, dependant on the need for the meeting.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Schools Forum note the updated work plan and provide comments.

Contact for queries:

Debbie Stevenson - debra.stevenson@islington.gov.uk

Head of Schools & Early Years Finance Services

T 020 7527 5763

APPENDIX A – School Forum: Work plan

Standing Items:

Declaration of Interest

Forum Composition

Forum Forward Planning

Sub Group Updates

| Financial Year | Agenda Item | Information & To Note / Comments & views / Decision | Notes |
|----------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| July 22 | School Balances (FINAL - prior year) | Information & To note | |
| | DSG Outturn (FINAL: prior year) | Information & To note | |
| | DSG Allocations (in year) | Information & To note | |
| | Schools Internal Audit Programme Outcomes (prior year) | Information & To note | |
| | DSG Schools Block estimated funding (new year) | Information & To note | |
| | NFF Funding Consultation | Information & To note | NEW |
| | Updated DSG Budget 2022-23 | Information & To note | NEW |
| | Services to Schools Survey | Information & To note | |
| October 22 | DSG Budget Monitor (in year) | Information & To note | |
| | Scheme for Financing Schools | Decision | Following consultation with schools |
| | School Funding Arrangements, Consultation results (future year | Decision | |
| | School Funding Consultation: DfE | Information & To note | |
| November 22 | DSG Funding Settlement | Information & To note | |
| | DSG projected allocations (future year) | Information & To note | |
| | Growth / Falling Rolls proposals (future year) | Decision & views | |
| | Central School Services Block - Central Retention | Decision | |
| January 23 | DSG Budget Monitor (in year) | Information & To note | |
| | DSG Settlement (future year) | Information & To note | |
| | Growth / Falling Rolls proposals (future year) | Decision | |
| | School Funding Formula (future year) | Decision | |
| | School Central Retention (future year) | Decision | |
| | Early Years Funding Formula (future year) | Decision | |
| | High Needs Places | Decision | |
| May 23 | School Balances (DRAFT - prior year) | Information & To note | |
| | DSG Outturn (DRAFT: prior year) | Information & To note | |
| | Scheme for Financing Schools (DfE) | Comments & Views | |
| July 23 | School Balances (FINAL - prior year) | Information & To note | |
| | DSG Outturn (FINAL: prior year) | Information & To note | |
| | DSG Allocations (in year) | Information & To note | |
| | Scheme for Financing Schools (Local) | Decision | |
| | Schools Internal Audit Programme Outcomes (prior year) | Information & To note | |
| | DSG Schools Block estimated funding (new year) | Information & To note | |
| | Falling Rolls estimated allocations (new year) | Information & To note | |