

**CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
Statement of Decisions Document	1
Proposed Modifications Document	2
Consultation Period	2
Response Form	2
<b>Statement of Decisions and Reasons</b>	<b>3</b>
The Whole Plan	3
UDP Part 1	3
Chapter 2: Needs and Issues	5
Chapter 3: The Environment	5
Chapter 4: Housing	13
Chapter 5: Economic Regeneration	17
Chapter 6: Sustainable Transport	19
Chapter 7: Recreation	28
Chapter 8: Shopping and Town Centres	29
Chapter 9: Education	31
Chapter 10: Community Services	33
Chapter 11: Visitors to Islington	33
Chapter 12: Design and Conservation	34
Chapter 13: Implementation	36
Chapter 14: Clerkenwell/Smithfield Special Policy Area	37
Chapter 15: Proposals Map and Schedules	38



## INTRODUCTION

Islington Council is in the process of making changes to its 1994 Unitary Development Plan (UDP). Changes were placed on 'deposit' in June 1999 and June 2000, when comments and objections were received. A Public Local Inquiry was then held between September and November 2000 by an independent Inspector to consider the outstanding objections to changes that had not been resolved between the Council and the objectors. The UDP Inquiry Inspector has now reported recommendations to the Council for each of the outstanding objections.

As the next stage in the process of reviewing the UDP, the Council has considered the UDP Inquiry Inspector's recommendations and has made further changes to the UDP. This document, the 'Statement of Decisions', sets out the Council's response to each of the Inspector's recommendations. A companion document called the 'Proposed Modifications' sets out the further changes made to the UDP. The production of these two documents is a legal requirement, and by law six weeks are allowed for objections. The Council will then consider any objections received and decide whether or not to formally adopt the new UDP. A further public local inquiry is a possibility, but this would only occur if new issues are raised that were not considered at the original inquiry. The government has produced a 'Guide to Procedures' that provides further information. Copies are available free of charge from the Planning Service.

### Statement of Decisions

This document sets out the Council's response to each of the Inspector's recommendations. The resulting further changes can be cross referenced with the modification reference number in the companion 'Proposed Modifications' document. Where the Inspector's recommendation is not accepted the Council's reason and any other changes are included. The document is set out in the same order as the UDP Inquiry Inspector's Report, which generally follows the UDP order. Each entry is laid out under four columns as follows:

<b>1. <i>Inspector's Reference</i></b>	Identifies the relevant paragraph in the Inspector's Report and is shown in <i>italics</i>
<b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	Reproduces the Inspector's recommendation from his Report
<b>2. <i>UDP Reference</i></b>	Identifies the relevant policy or paragraph number of the June 2000 version of the UDP and is shown in <i>italics</i>
<b>Council's Decision</b>	Details the Council's response to the Inspector's recommendation
<b>3. Reason for Decision</b>	Details the reason for the Council's decision

**4. Modification Reference**

Each further change is given a reference number which relates to the further changes set out in the companion 'Proposed Modifications' document

**Proposed Modifications Document**

This companion document sets out the further changes that the Council is proposing to make to the review UDP. These include changes in response to the Inspector's recommendations and changes where the Council has revised the UDP in order to clarify sections or make the Plan more consistent. Only the relevant sections of the UDP that are affected by changes are reproduced.

**Consultation Period**

Any comments or objections to the changes should be submitted to the Council no later than **4.30pm on the 21<sup>st</sup> September 2001**. Representations received after this date will not be considered by the Council as 'duly made'.

**Important**

**During the consultation period comments will only be accepted where they relate to one of the following:**

- 1. where the Council has not accepted one of the Inspector's recommendations, detailed in the 'Statement of Decisions'; or**
- 2. the further changes identified in the 'grey box' extracts of the 'Proposed Modifications' document.**

**Comments on any other part of the UDP, including changes at previous stages, will not be considered by the Council.**

**Response Form**

A response form is attached at the end of this document, which should be used when making comments. The form can be photocopied if required.

**The Whole Plan**

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
1.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>The Whole Plan and its Approach</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a

**UDP Part 1**

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
2.1.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 1. Introduction</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 2. National and London-wide Policies</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 4. Pressures for Change</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.4.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 5. Goals and Strategic Policies: Goal 2</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.4.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 5. Goals and Strategic Policies: Goal 3</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.4.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 5. Goals and Strategic Policies: Goal 6</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.4.4 No modification to the UDP in respect of the	<i>Part 1. Section 5. Goals and Strategic Policies: Strategic Policy ST4</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further	n/a

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
objections to this section		change is required	
2.4.5 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 5. Goals and Strategic Policies: Strategic Policy ST6</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.4.6 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 5. Goals and Strategic Policies: Strategic Policy ST7</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.4.7 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 5. Goals and Strategic Policies: Strategic Policy ST8</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.4.8 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 5. Goals and Strategic Policies: Strategic Policy ST10</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.4.9 Strategic Policy 12.5 be reworded as follows:-  'To protect and enhance the character, appearance and setting of buildings on the statutory and local lists of buildings of architectural or historic interest; and to protect the Borough's archaeological heritage'.	<i>Part 1. Section 5. Goals and Strategic Policies: Strategic Policy ST12</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report.	M3
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
2.5.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 6. Spatial Framework: Policy ST17</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
2.6.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Part 1. Section 7. Key Indicators</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a

## PART 2

### CHAPTER 2 - NEEDS AND ISSUES

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> Inspector's Recommendation	<i>UDP Ref</i> Council's Decision	Reason for Decision	Modification Reference
3.1 Paragraph 2.9.18 of the UDP be amended to refer to the correct figure for university students attending establishments in the Borough;	2.9 - <i>Other Services</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M4
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			

## CHAPTER 3 - THE ENVIRONMENT

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> Inspector's Recommendation	<i>UDP Ref</i> Council's Decision	Reason for Decision	Modification Reference
4.1.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Env1A - New Developments</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
4.1.2 Policy Env 2A and supporting paragraph 3.1.6A be deleted from the Plan;  The first sentence of paragraph 3.1.6 be replaced by 'The law requires the Council to seek formal statements for development proposals falling within Schedules 1 or 2 of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations 1999.'	<i>Env2A - Environmental Impact</i> Recommendation accepted, but that an additional paragraph of supporting text be inserted in the Plan as follows:  <i>'The Council already assesses schemes in terms of their environmental effects by virtue of the requirement that they be tested against the relevant policies contained in the UDP. This applies to all schemes irrespective of whether or not a formal EIA is required. Planning applications should include adequate supporting information on</i>	Deletion of Env 2A was recommended by the UDP Inquiry Inspector. However the Council wishes to retain a reference to sustainability assessments despite deletion of the formal policy. However it should be noted that sustainability assessments are not a requirement of the plan.	M7

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>the reasons for the development, issues relating to the location and choice of site; and the extent to which sustainability has been considered in the formulation of the scheme. The Council will also encourage all applicants to consider sustainability issues at an early stage and where appropriate to prepare their own sustainability assessments</i>		
<p>4.1.3 Policy Env5 be deleted:</p> <p>Paragraph 3.2.11A(i) be modified as follows:-</p> <p>‘the Council will look for retention of trees and shrubs, appropriate to the level of development likely to be permitted, and will...’</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Env5 - Trees</i></p> <p>Recommendation to delete Env5 is not accepted</p> <p>With respect to 3.2.11A, the following redraft is proposed: ‘The Council will ensure the retention of trees and shrubs where appropriate and will...’</p>	<p>The Council's Tree Officer is of the view that the Inspector has misinterpreted Env 5 by stating that its intent is limited to protecting tall and prominent trees that are being retained on development sites. This is not the case The last sentence of Env 5 "<i>In implementing this policy tall and prominent landscape trees will be preferred so that Islington's tree resource is enhanced</i>" clearly refers to both retention of existing trees and crucially to "appropriate supplementary planting".</p> <p>The Inspector seems to be saying that any clarification of the Council's intent in this area is best dealt with in its tree strategy. This is considered to be incorrect as the UDP is the primary policy making document and the Council's own Tree Strategy is supplementary guidance that deals with the same issues in greater detail. In any future appeal it is likely that the Inspector will rely firstly on the policy stated explicitly in the UDP and then seek support and reinforcement in the Tree Strategy.</p> <p>Sole reliance on the Council's powers to make Tree Preservation Orders is not adequate in protecting trees on development sites as a Tree Preservation Order by itself does not supersede a planning permission. Nor does a Tree Preservation Order guarantee the quality and quantity of planting that</p>	M8

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> Inspector's Recommendation	<i>UDP Ref</i> Council's Decision	Reason for Decision	Modification Reference
		<p>may be required on any given site. By deleting this policy Islington's underlying desire to protect trees of importance and perhaps more importantly its ability to influence the type and species of future planting will be severely compromised and it will give comfort to developers who wish to remove inconvenient trees and prevent future planting that may hinder their plans.</p> <p>Increasingly planting trees on development sites is being curtailed by the foundation requirements of the development, something that is only usually dealt with <b>after</b> planning permission has been granted. In Islington, which has a predominantly clay sub soil this has major implications. It is considered that decisions on appropriate planting should take place at the earliest stages of a design so that adequate foundations are factored into the economics of construction. This will avoid expensive and time-consuming problems at a later date.</p> <p>Past experience suggests that developers will almost always seek to plant small ornamental trees on their developments as this has lower cost implications for them in terms of constructing adequate foundations than allowing for the presence of planting trees that actually make a substantial and beneficial impact on the broader landscape. Only by pursuing a robust policy as stated will Islington be able to retain and enhance the treed landscape it wishes to see in the future.</p> <p>In deciding an appropriate course of action it should be noted that no objector proposed the deletion of this policy as a whole, whilst the principal argument put forward against the policy (ie that it placed onerous cost burdens on developers) was not accepted by the Inspector.</p>	

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> Inspector's Recommendation	<i>UDP Ref</i> Council's Decision	Reason for Decision	Modification Reference
<p>4.1.4 Policy Env6 be deleted.</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Env6 - Trees</i> Recommendation to delete Env6 is not accepted</p>	<p>The proposed change to 3.211A is considered to meet the intentions of the Inspector that a more flexible approach was required.</p> <p>In the view of the Council's Tree Officer by recommending the deletion of Env 6 the Inspector has failed to appreciate that Env 4 and Env 5 pertain to trees on private land or land that is not directly under the Council's control. Env 6 covers land that is within the Council's control and as such is a statement of intent as to how it will manage and maintain the trees on that land. The Inspector also recommends that such matters should be dealt within the Council's Tree Strategy and not in the UDP. However it is considered that the UDP is the primary document and that it must contain some reference to the management and planting of trees. Not to do so would set Islington's UDP apart from almost every other UDP in the country and certainly in London.</p> <p>As to whether or not the policy should differentiate between planting on main roads and other places the answer in our view is an emphatic "Yes". It is necessary to be location selective with any tree planting and this is in keeping with Government policy that main arterial routes should have substantial tall landscape trees planted on them as the main gateways to London as a worldclass city.</p> <p><i>These policies are reinforced by the publication of the London Tree Survey (1993) conducted by Cobham Resource Consultants on behalf of the then Countryside Commission. It showed that London was not planting enough tall landscape trees and if this was not rectified (to replace those large trees coming to the end of their lives) the future for London's long term landscape was bleak.</i></p>	n/a

Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation	UDP Ref Council's Decision	Reason for Decision	Modification Reference
4.1.5 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	Env7 - Vacant Sites and Buildings Recommendation accepted	Again, In deciding an appropriate course of action it should be noted that no objector proposed the deletion of this policy as a whole.  Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
4.1.6 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	Env8A - Street furniture, paving and the street scene Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
4.1.7 Policy Env9 be replaced by the following:-  'Consent will be given for an advertisement or sign, provided that:-  (i) it respects the visual amenity of the surrounding area with regard to scenic, historic, architectural and cultural features.  (ii) It respects the interests of public safety.  The supporting text (paragraph 3.2.23) be modified to reflect the above policy;  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	Env9 - Advertisements It is proposed that the policy is redrafted as follows:  'Advertisements and signs will only be permitted in suitable locations where no significant harm is caused to safety or visual amenity. In particular they should respect the character and scale of the surrounding area and, where appropriate, the building or structure to which they are attached'.  In addition a reference to the relevant regulations has been included in paragraph 3.2.23	The Council is aware of the Regulations, but has taken the view that the policy is a guide to applicants as to the Council's interpretation of 'visual amenity'. For example the statement that advertisements should 'respect the scale and character of their surroundings' is not an additional criterion but an explanation of what 'visual amenity' means. As a very similar policy exists in the current UDP a fall back position would be to withdraw the change and revert back to the old policy. A further alternative would be to retain the basic policy, but add references to safety and visual amenity. This is the Council's preferred option.	M9
4.2.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	Env10 - Community Safety Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
4.3.1 Policy Env13A(i) be modified as follows:-  ' i) seek to prevent the pollution of the	Env13A - Protecting Amenity Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M10

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
water resources of the Borough, including all surface and underground sources.'			
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
4.3.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Env14A</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
4.3.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Env15 - Incompatible Uses</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
4.4.1 (a) The sub-heading 'Other Sites' before Policy Env22 be deleted;	<i>Env20-22 - Nature Conservation</i> Recommendations accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M13
(b) the words 'will take nature conservation considerations into account and' be deleted from Policy Env22;			
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
4.4.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Env20 - Nature Conservation Principles</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
4.4.3 The first sentence of Policy Env21 be deleted and replaced by 'The Council has designated various sites as being of nature conservation importance. These are shown on the Proposals Map. A high priority will be given to protecting and enhancing the nature conservation qualities of these sites'	<i>Env21 - Sites of Nature Conservation Importance</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M12
The second sentence of Policy Env21 be deleted and replaced by 'In particular,			

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<i>UDP Ref</i> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>planning permission will not be given for any scheme that would adversely affect habitats or features of nature conservation interest on sites designated as of Metropolitan Importance or of Borough Importance Grade 1'.</p> <p>'Circular 27/28' in paragraph 3.5.5 be deleted and replaced with 'PPG9'.</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>			
<p>4.4.4</p> <p>(i) Policy Env22A be deleted from the Review Plan;</p> <p>(ii) The above text relating to mitigation measures, be included within paragraph 3.5.7;</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Env22A - Biodiversity</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M14</p>
<p>4.5.1</p> <p>No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Env29 - Energy</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>4.5.2</p> <p>No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Env29A - Energy</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>4.5.3</p> <p>The following changes be made to the supporting text to the Policy Env 30:-</p> <p>Paragraph 3.6.3- Add at start – 'Measures which result in less use of non-renewable energy supplies are an important aspect of sustainable development,</p>	<p><i>Env30 - Energy</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M16</p>

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
and will therefore be encouraged by the Council.  Change the existing second sentence to read – 'Appropriate building methods, including the use of passive solar design principles, can result in substantial efficiencies at very little cost, and these will be encouraged. They must be sensitively located in order not to be visually intrusive'  Add in last line after 'included' – '--- on energy efficient design---';  Paragraph 3.6.3A Add the following new sentence at the end – 'This will be informed by a renewable energy assessment for London as a whole, which is being carried out by the Greater London Authority'.  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
4.5.4 Policy Env 30A be modified as follows:-  '... to encourage the development of all alternatively low energy forms of transport, including water based transport, and will look sympathetically'.  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Env30A - Low energy vehicles</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M17
4.6.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Strategic Policy 3.7 - Sustainable Resource Management</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
4.6.2	<i>Section 3.7 - Sustainable Resource Management</i>		

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
4.6.3 Policy Env 33A be deleted from the Review Plan;	<i>Env 33A - Kerbside Recycling</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M18
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
4.6.4 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Env 36 - Water Resources</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a

## CHAPTER 4 - HOUSING

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
5.1.1 See inspector's recommendations at reference 2.2 above and 5.4.1 below	<i>Housing Introduction</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	n/a
5.2.1 See Inspector's recommendation at reference 2.2 above	<i>Strategic Policy 4.1 - Housing Provision:</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	n/a
5.2.2 The first sentence of Policy H1 be reworded as follows:-  'The Council has adopted an interim target to increase the number of dwellings permitted in Islington by at least 9000 units between 1997 and 2011',	<i>H1 - Housing Target</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M1 & M19

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>the following changes be made to Policy ST2 and supporting text:-</p> <p>(a) 'at least' be inserted after '—housing target of—' in Policy ST2;</p> <p>(b) the word 'permitted' be inserted and the word 'additional' be removed after and before 'dwellings' respectively in Policy ST2;</p> <p>(c) 'building rates' be deleted from line 6 of the second paragraph of the supporting text to Policy ST2 and replaced by 'rates of planning permissions'</p> <p>(d) delete 'building' in line 8 of the second paragraph of the supporting text to Policy ST2;</p> <p>the following text be added to paragraph 4.1.3B after '—existing and new residents':- '—and maintaining an appropriate balance and mix of uses within the Borough';</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>			
<p>5.2.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>H2 - Changes of use from Residential</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>5.2.4 The following additional constraint be added to Policy H3:-</p> <p>'vi) there is no conflict with Policies Env21 and Env22.'</p>	<p><i>H3 &amp; H6 - New Housing and Changes of Use to Residential</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M20</p>

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<i>UDP Ref</i> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
5.2.5 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>H5 - Conversions</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
5.2.6 Policy H7 be amended by:-  Adding the word 'and' between each of the stated criterion;  The addition of 'significant' before 'loss' in (i) ;  Change 'remains' to 'will remain' in (ii);  Change 'is satisfactory' to 'will be satisfactory' in (iv);  The addition of 'undue' before 'danger' in (iv);  Policy H3 include two additional criteria relating to the amenities of nearby residents and transportation (See Policy H7, criteria (iii) and (iv));	<i>H7 - Garden Land</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M20 & M21
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
5.3.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>H8 - Housing Standards</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
5.3.2 Criterion (iii) be deleted from Policy H9;	<i>H9 - Conversions</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's	M22

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section		recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	
5.3.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>H10 - Conversions</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
5.3.4 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>H11 - Conversions</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
5.3.5 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>H12 - New Development</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
5.3.6 The final paragraph of Policy H14 be removed from the policy and retained in the Plan as part of the supporting text;  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>H14 - Housing Density</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M23
5.3.7 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>H15 - Non Self-Contained Housing</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
5.4.1 Policy H17(ii) be reworded as follows:-  'Where a development involves 15 or more dwellings, and taking into account the provisions of paragraph 10 of Circular 6/98 relating to the suitability of the site, the economics of provision, and the need to achieve a successful housing development, the Council will ensure that 25%	<i>H17 - Affordable Housing</i> The Inspector's modifications are accepted, subject to the deletion of ' <i>relating to the suitability of the site, the economics of provision, and the need to achieve a successful housing development</i> ' from the proposed policy H17. These factors will be included in the supporting text, stating that the Council will take these factors into account.  The policy will also refer to 'provision' rather than 'units'	The Council agrees in principle with the Inspector's recommendation. However it is considered that alternative wording would be simpler, clearer and would give better guidance to applicants.  The proposed reorganisation of the section improves clarity.	M24

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
of the proposed dwellings are affordable units',  Policy H17(ii), modified in accordance with recommendation above, be made the subject of a separate policy;  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	In addition, the following reorganisation of this section is proposed:  - first sentence of H17 to be incorporated into H16; - final sentence of H17 to become new separate policy		
5.4.2 The second sentence of Policy H21 be deleted;  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>H21 &amp; H22 - Lifetime Homes</i> That the second sentence of H21 is deleted starting from 'and in particular...'	The Inspector's views on requiring lift access are accepted, given the likely difficulties of enforcing this at appeal. However the Council does not accept the Inspector's reasoning in relation to the Council's wish to 'encourage' the more widespread adoption of lifetime homes (this is because his view is based on a static idea of need, rather than the concept of people being able to stay in their home as they get older).	M25
5.4.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>H23 - Wheelchair Housing</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a

## CHAPTER 5 - ECONOMIC REGENERATION

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
6.1.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Strategic Policy 5.1 - Accommodation for Employment Activities</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
6.1.2 Policy E1 be modified as follows:-  Add the following criterion:-	<i>E1 - Business Use</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M26

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>'(i) a location that is not well served by public transport',</p> <p>delete the existing criterion (iv) and replace with:-</p> <p>'(iv) a conflict with Policies Env21 and Env22.';</p> <p>renumber the existing criteria as appropriate;</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>			
<p>6.1.3</p> <p>Policy E3 be modified by the deletion of the words in parentheses;</p> <p>The supporting text to Policy E3 should make specific reference to the Proposals Map as providing a definition of the area referred to as Central London;</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>E3 - Mixed Use</i></p> <p>Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M28</p>
<p>6.1.4</p> <p>Criteria (iii), (iv) and (v) be deleted from Policy E4;</p> <p>Criterion (vi) be replaced with – 'the property is vacant and a suitable marketing campaign (the nature and time period of which has been agreed by the Council) for the property has been undertaken by the applicant and has proved unsuccessful in finding suitable B1 occupants';</p>	<p><i>E4 - Loss of Business Use</i></p> <p>Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M29</p>

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
6.1.5 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>E8 and E9 - Industrial and Warehousing Uses</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
6.2.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>E12A - Regeneration</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
6.2.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>E14 - Live/Work Units</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
6.3.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>E16 - Local Recruitment and Training</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a

## CHAPTER 6 - SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
7.1.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Policy TR2 - Traffic Reduction</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.1.2 Policy TR3 be deleted and appropriate modifications as outlined above be made to paragraph 6.0.11 of the supporting text following Policy TR2;	<i>Policy TR3 - Road Pricing and Taxation of Private Non-Residential Parking</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M34
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
7.1.3	<i>TR5 - Green Travel Plans</i>		

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<i>UDP Ref</i> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>Policy TR5 be reworded as follows:-</p> <p>'During negotiations on development schemes that would involve significant increases in employment the Council will seek a commitment to the preparation and implementation of Green Travel Plans',</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M35
<p>7.2.1</p> <p>No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<i>Strategic Policy 6.1 - Road Networks</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<p>7.2.2</p> <p>Policy T1 be amended by deleting 'strategic routes, London distributor roads and local roads' and inserting 'the Greater London Road Network and the Borough Road Network'</p> <p>Paragraph 6.1.3 be redrafted as follows:-</p> <p>'6.1.3 be redrafted as follows:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>the Greater London Road Network.</b> This comprises Motorways, Primary Routes and Priority (Red) Routes. These roads are considered to be of national or regional importance and serve to ensure that London has effective connections to the national transport network.</li> <li>▪ <b>Borough Road Network.</b> This comprises all other roads in the Borough, and detailed policies and objectives for these roads are primarily</li> </ul>	<i>T1 - Road Hierarchy</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M36

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>for the Borough to determine. For the purposes of this plan, Borough Roads have been categorised as follows:</p> <p><u>Borough Distributors</u>:- These serve to provide movement within and between Boroughs, so liaison with adjoining Boroughs and a consistency of approach are required.</p> <p><u>Local Roads</u>: These have been further divided into local distributors and local access roads. These roads comprise about 85% of the total road network.'</p> <p>Other policies, supporting text and maps in the Review Plan that refer to the categories in the hierarchy, such as the sub-heading before Policy T2, Policies T2 and T6, be amended to accord with the above;</p> <p>The key to Map 19 and the Proposals Map be amended to comply with the above hierarchy;</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>			
<p>7.2.3 Policy T2 be subdivided to form two policies, worded as follows:-</p> <p>'T2A In seeking to meet its targets for traffic reduction the Council will promote measures to increase the proportion of roadspace allocated to public transport, cyclists and pedestrians on the Greater London Roads</p>	<p><i>T2 - Road Network</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M38</p>

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> Inspector's Recommendation	<i>UDP Ref</i> Council's Decision	Reason for Decision	Modification Reference
<p>and Borough Distributor Roads.</p> <p>T2B Other than those schemes proposed in Policy T8, the Council will not support major new schemes in the Borough and will oppose 'safeguarding' of land for future road improvements except in relation to specific schemes to improve public transport, or to improve facilities for pedestrians and cyclists'</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>			
<p>7.2.4 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>T5 - Accident Remedial Schemes</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>7.2.5 No modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Policy T7 - Local Roads</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>7.2.6 The Holloway Access Road remain as a proposal in the Review UDP within Policy T8 and that the relevant part of paragraph 6.1.30 be reworded as follows:-</p> <p><b>'Holloway Access Road:</b> This new road is required to provide access to the proposed redevelopment sites between Holloway Road and Caledonian Road (see Area of Opportunity 4 – A0 4). In order to minimise the impact commercial and other traffic generated by future uses on the A0 4 site would have on</p>	<p><i>T8 - Holloway Access Road</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M41</p>

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
surrounding roads it will be necessary for this access road to link through between Holloway Road and Caledonian Road. Signalled junctions at either end will be used to control the capacity of the new road and traffic management measures may be introduced on nearby roads. In this way the opportunity will be taken to encourage traffic to use the new road and thus to improve the environment and safety of nearby roads.'			
If appropriate, the reference on the Proposals Map to the Holloway Access Road be changed from T7 to T8.			
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
<b>7.3.1</b> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T12 &amp; T13 - Lorries</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<b>7.4.1</b> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Strategic Policy 6.3 - Parking Restraint</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<b>7.4.2</b> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T14A &amp; T14B - Parking</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<b>7.4.3</b> Policy T15 and related supporting text be deleted from the Review UDP;	<i>T15 - Private Non-Residential parking control</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M42
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
7.4.4 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T16 - Off-Street Parking and Servicing for New Non-Residential Development</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.4.5 The following textual changes be made to paragraph 6.3.16:-  The penultimate sentence be reworded as 'Each wheelchair housing unit shall be accompanied by an off-street wheelchair-accessible parking space, clearly allocated to that unit. Where parking spaces are allocated to individual dwellings, these should be to lifetime homes standard. In other cases, an adequate proportion of the parking spaces should meet the Council's lifetime homes' standard of being capable of being enlarged to a width of 3300mm.'  The word 'parking' be inserted between 'Council's' and 'standard' in the first sentence;  The following additional circumstances be added to Policy T17:-  (v) conversions of existing buildings with limited/no on site parking potential.'  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T17 - Residential parking standards</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M43
7.4.6 The following definition of car free areas and car free housing be added at the end of paragraph 6.3.16:-	<i>T17A - Car Free Housing</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M44

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>'Car free areas are places where people take precedence over cars. Cars may be allowed to access such areas in exceptional circumstances, such as for disabled access, but they must do so in a way that does not conflict with or dominate pedestrian movement and access. Car free housing can be defined as residential development with no car parking facilities for residents or visitors other than as needed to meet the needs of the disabled',</p> <p>Policy T17A be reworded as follows:-</p> <p>The Council will encourage an increase in the number of car free areas in the Borough – including car free housing schemes in locations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) which are accessible by public transport</li> <li>ii) where there is a range of local amenities</li> <li>iii) within parking policy areas A or B and/or within a CPZ</li> </ul> <p>the final sentence of paragraph 6.3.16 be deleted;</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>			
<p>7.4.7 'It will ensure' be deleted from the beginning of the second sentence in Policy T18A and replaced by 'Where it has powers to do so it will ensure';</p>	<p>T18A - Off Street Car Parks Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M45</p>

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<i>UDP Ref</i> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
<i>7.4.8</i> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T19 - Public Off Street Car Parks outside Town Centres</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<i>7.4.9</i> The proposed introduction of a floorspace threshold of 1500 sqm be re-considered in the light of the above points and an appropriate response included in the Plan;  The following words be added to Policy T22 after '---public parking provisions':-  '---within the maximum limits in Table 6.1---';  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T22 - Shoppers Parking</i> Recommendation accepted - threshold deleted and parking standard revised.	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M46
<i>7.4.10</i> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T23 - On Street Parking</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<i>7.4.11</i> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T23A - On Street Parking: Co-ordination between Boroughs</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<i>7.4.12</i> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T24 - Loading Restrictions</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<i>7.4.13</i> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T26A - Cycle Provision</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<i>7.5.1</i>	<i>T27 - Public Transport</i>		

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.5.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T29 - Public Transport</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.5.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T30 - Buses</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.5.4 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T31 - Railways and the Underground</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.5.5 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T32 - Channel Tunnel Rail Link</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.5.6 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T33 - Public Transport Interchanges: Safety</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.5.7 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T33A - Public Transport Interchanges</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.6.1 The word 'amenity' be included in Strategic Policy 6.5 between 'safety' and 'security',  The word 'problems' in Policy T38E be replaced by 'impairments',  The following bullet point be added to paragraph 6.5.12:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ 'the provision of green spaces and trees';</li> </ul>	<i>Strategic Policy 6.5 and Policies T38A - T38E - Pedestrians</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M3 & M47

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
7.7.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T39 - Facilities for Cyclists</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.7.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>T39D - Cycle Audits and Monitoring</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
7.8.1 The second sentence of paragraph 6.7.6 be deleted;	<i>T41 - Transport and New Development</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M49
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			

## CHAPTER 7 - RECREATION

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
8.1.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>R1 - Recreation Facilities</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
8.2.1 Policy R8 be deleted;	<i>R7 &amp; R8 - Open Space Provision</i> That no change is made to the Second Deposit draft UDP	The deletion of policy R8 would give the impression that the Council is no longer interested in children's play. Given that there were no objections to R8, its deletion would serve no purpose.	n/a
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
8.2.2 The last sentence of Policy R9 be reworded as follows:-	<i>R9 - Loss of Open Space</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M51
'This should be of at least the same			

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
quality as that replaced and suitably situated to serve the users of the original space';  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
8.3.1 Policy R20 and supporting text be deleted from the Plan;  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>R20 - Libraries</i> It is proposed to revise policy R20 to read as follows: <i>'The Plan will support proposals to develop and improve the libraries service, in order to ensure that high quality facilities are provided which are accessible to all'.</i>	No objectors proposed the deletion of this policy. However the Council recognises that the policy covers non land use planning issues, and has proposed amendments accordingly.	M52

## CHAPTER 8 - SHOPPING AND TOWN CENTRES

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
9.1.1 'health facilities' be added to the first sentence of Policy S1 after 'leisure';  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>S1 - The Nag's Head and Angel Town Centres</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M53
9.1.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>S1 &amp; S2 - The Nag's Head and Angel Town Centres</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
9.1.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>S8 - The Nags Head and Angel Town Centres: Improvement Strategy</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
9.2.1 The following wording be added to the end of paragraph 8.2.3 of the Review Plan:-	<i>S16 - Major Shopping Development</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M54

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>'In those instances where an out-of-centre development is being proposed, the Council will only positively consider proposals on sites with good public transport accessibility. In addition, and in accordance with the sequential test explained above, the developer will be required to demonstrate there are no suitable town centre sites';</p> <p>The two sentences in paragraph 8.2.3A commencing 'This was judged---' and finishing '---and environmental impacts.' be deleted.</p> <p>The words 'to be used is a needs assessment shows there is a quantitative or qualitative case for additional retail floorspace' be added after 'sequential test' at the end of the third sentence in paragraph 8.2.3'</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>			
<p>9.3.1 The word 'Additionally' at the beginning of paragraph 8.3.3B be deleted;</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p>S17A - Archway District Shopping Centre Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M55</p>
<p>9.3.2 The words 'of up to 1500 sq metres gross floor area' and 'of up to 1000 sq metres gross floor area' be deleted from Policy S26A;</p> <p>The final two sentences in paragraph</p>	<p>S26A - Supermarkets It is recommended that the modification proposed by the Inspector' is agreed in principle, and that the policy is revised as follows:  'The Council will support new local convenience stores with minimal parking provision in the</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report. However a slightly simpler form of wording is proposed.</p>	<p>M40</p>

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>8.3.25B be deleted;</p> <p>Policy S26A and supporting paragraph 8.3.25B be located immediately after Strategic Policy 8.3 and before the sub-heading 'Protected Local Shopping Centres' and any associated text changes, such as to replace 'below' at the end of paragraph 8.3.3A with 'above', be implemented;</p> <p>The words 'supermarket developments' in the first sentence of Policy S26A be deleted and replaced with 'retail developments serving local needs with an emphasis on convenience goods and';</p> <p>The words 'supermarket developments' in the second sentence of Policy S26A be deleted and replaced with 'local needs retail developments';</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Archway District Centre, and at Finsbury Park, King's Cross and in other areas with good public transport accessibility, or where the scheme would contribute to local regeneration policies.'</i></p> <p>A caveat should also be added to the supporting text stating that stores should be designated to meet local needs and should not be of a scale that would adversely effect the vitality of the Borough's town centres</p>		
<p>9.4.1</p> <p>No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>S30 - A2 and A3 Uses</i></p> <p>Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required</p>	<p>n/a</p>

## CHAPTER 9 - EDUCATION

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>10.1.1</p> <p>The word 'or' be inserted between each of the qualifying criteria in Policy Ed1a;</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in</p>	<p><i>Ed1A - Sites and Buildings</i></p> <p>Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M57</p>

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
respect of the objections to this section			
<i>10.1.2</i> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Ed2 - Sites and Buildings</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<i>10.1.3</i> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Ed2A - Further and Higher Education</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<i>10.1.4</i> Policy Ed5 be reworded as follows:-  'Within sites intended to remain in education use, proposals for development that would mean the loss of useable play space will be resisted';  Policy Ed5A and associated supporting text in paragraph 9.1.14 be deleted;  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Ed5 &amp; Ed 5A - Loss of Play Space</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M58
<i>10.1.5</i> No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Ed7 - Student Accommodation</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<i>10.2.1</i> Policy Ed8A be modified as follows:-  '...seek to provide safe and convenient routes, taking into account the needs of those walking, cycling and the mobility impaired, between schools..';  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Ed8A - Distribution of Education Services</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M59

**CHAPTER 10 - COMMUNITY SERVICES**

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>11.1.1 Policy C10 be deleted from the Plan, together with any associated supporting text;</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>C10 - Hospitals</i> The Council proposes to retain the policy, subject to a further modification to clarify further that it only relates to land use and other planning matters, ie</p> <p><i>'The Council will work with the Health Authority, NHS Trusts and Primary Care Groups to ensure that proposals to use land for hospital purposes are consistent with the land use, development and transport policies set out in this plan'</i></p>	To clarify that the policy only relates to land use and other planning matters	M60

**CHAPTER 11 - VISITORS TO ISLINGTON**

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>12.1.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Strategic Policy 11.0 - Encouraging Sustainable Tourism in Islington</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<p>12.2.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>V1 - Hotels and Other Accommodation</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
<p>12.2.2 Policy V3 be reworded as follows:-</p> <p>'Permission for change of use to provide small scale accommodation for</p>	<p><i>V3 - Bed and Breakfast and Other Similar Accommodation</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M63

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> Inspector's Recommendation	<i>UDP Ref</i> Council's Decision	Reason for Decision	Modification Reference
visitors in a private dwelling will be granted provided the proposal does not:-  (i) involve unacceptable disturbance to neighbours over and above that associated with a private dwelling;  (ii) lead to an unacceptable increase in parking congestion"			
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			

## CHAPTER 12 - DESIGN AND CONSERVATION

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> Inspector's Recommendation	<i>UDP Ref</i> Council's Decision	Reason for Decision	Modification Reference
13.1.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>D1 &amp; D2 - Design of New Development</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
13.1.2 Criteria (iii) of Policy D2A be modified as follows:-  Add '---and/or waterside setting as appropriate---' after 'street' in the first line;  Delete 'street' in the second line;  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>D2A &amp; D2B - Design of New Development</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M64
13.2.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of	<i>Strategic Policy 12.2 - Alterations and Extensions</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further	n/a

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
the objections to this section		change is required	
13.2.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>D9 - Alterations and Extensions</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
13.2.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>D11 - Shopfronts</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
13.3.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Strategic Policy 12.4 - Conservation Areas</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
13.3.2 Policy D20 be modified as follows:-  'Any total or substantial demolition of a structure in a Conservation Area is required to have regard to the preservation or enhancement of the character and appearance of that area. A condition may be imposed to ensure acceptable redevelopment of the site before consent is given for the demolition. There will be a presumption---';	<i>D20 – Control over demolition</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M65
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
13.3.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>D24 - Roof Extensions</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
13.4.1 Policy D42A be modified as follows:-  '...will ensure the preservation of locally and nationally important	<i>D42-D44 - Important Archaeology Remains</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M69

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
archaeological remains...';			
No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section			
13.4.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>D43A - Preservation in situ of Archaeological Remains</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a

### CHAPTER 13 - IMPLEMENTATION

<b>Inspector's Ref</b> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
14.1.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Imp 4A - Mixed use</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
14.2.1 The expression 'will designate' be deleted from the Plan and replaced with 'has designated';  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Imp11 - Areas of Opportunity</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M70
14.3.1 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Strategic Policy 13.6 - Special Policy Areas</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
14.3.2 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Imp13A - Central London</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
14.3.3 The second bullet point within the second set of bullet points in Paragraph 13.6.7(iii) be modified as follows:-	<i>Imp14-Imp16B: Special Policy Areas</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M71

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>'... and create a 'walkable neighbourhood that is safe, easy and pleasant to use...';</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>			
<p>14.3.4 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Imp16 - Special Policy Areas: Kings Cross</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required</p>	<p>n/a</p>
<p>14.3.5 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Imp16B - Special Policy Areas: The Archway</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required</p>	<p>n/a</p>

## CHAPTER 14 - CLERKENWELL/SMITHFIELD SPA

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>15.1.1 Policy CS2 be modified as follows:-</p> <p>'... of the conservation areas. In addition to the identified buildings, there may be instances where the Council will insist other buildings, currently not included in Schedule 14.1, are retained, due to the positive contribution they make to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area';</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>CS2 - Control over Demolition</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M72</p>
<p>15.1.2</p>	<p><i>CS2A - Control over Demolition</i></p>		

<b>Inspector's Ref Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<b>UDP Ref Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
15.1.3 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	CS3 & CS3A - <i>New Development</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
15.1.4 Policy CS5A be modified as follows:-  'Development involving the filling in or covering of front basement areas, or the widening of front entrance steps will not be permitted unless it can be shown that the development would preserve or enhance the character of appearance of the Conservation Area';  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	CS5A - <i>Front Basements</i> It is proposed that CS5A is revised to read  ' <i>The Council will not normally permit the filling in or covering over of front basement areas, or the widening of front entrance steps, or the construction of dustbin or meter enclosures.</i> '  Additional supporting text will be added to say:  ' <i>These developments will only be permitted where it can be shown that the development would preserve or enhance the character of the Conservation Area. In addition, the needs of disabled people will be considered exceptional to this policy and essential adaptations will be allowed.</i> '  Corresponding changes will be made in Chapter 12 and in the Conservation Area Design Guidelines	Considered to be a more appropriate form of wording, that nevertheless meets the Inspector's requirements.  Corresponding changes are proposed for policy D28 in Chapter 12 in order to ensure that the Plan is consistent (see M66).	M66 & M73
15.1.5 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	CS7 - <i>The Streetscene</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a
15.1.6 No modification to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	CS7A & CS7B - <i>Advertisements and Signs</i> Recommendation accepted	Agree with Inspector's conclusions that no further change is required	n/a

## CHAPTER 15 - THE PROPOSALS MAP AND SCHEDULES

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> Inspector's Recommendation	<i>UDP Ref</i> Council's Decision	Reason for Decision	Modification Reference
<p>16.1.1 The site description for Claremont Square be amended to read:-</p> <p>'R13. Claremont Square. Public Open Space. However, only limited public access may be possible.' and;</p> <p>the following additional paragraph be inserted after paragraph 7.2.1:-</p> <p>'7.2.1A Whilst access to and use of open spaces in the hierarchy, the Council recognises there may be some public open spaces where full public access may not be provided. In such cases, the Council will seek to provide the maximum possible accessibility, consistent with safety and other constraints, because these areas can provide important amenity, a sense of open space, or operate as 'green lungs' in otherwise congested areas';</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Schedule 4 - Recreation and Leisure</i> Recommendation accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M50 &amp; M81</p>
<p>16.2.1 Schedule 5A of the Review Plan be revised as follows:-</p> <p>S6 – reinstate protected status to 96 Dresden Road and 48-50 Cressida Road;</p> <p>S10 – reinstate protected status to 90 Holland Walk;</p> <p>S40 – reinstate protected status to 265</p>	<p><i>Schedule 5 - Shopping</i> Recommendation accepted, subject to the deletion of 12 Westbourne Road from protected shopping centre S32</p>	<p>As recommended by UDP Inquiry Inspector. Deletion of 12 Westbourne Road was omitted from previous versions in error</p>	<p>M90</p>

<i>Inspector's Ref</i> <b>Inspector's Recommendation</b>	<i>UDP Ref</i> <b>Council's Decision</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<p>New North Road;</p> <p>SP3 – reinstate protected status to 55 and 57 Marlborough Road;</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>			
<p><i>16.3.1</i></p> <p>The proposal to upgrade the Claremont Square site to Borough Importance Grade 1 be removed from the Plan;</p> <p>The proposal to extend the North London Line site NC16 be removed from the Plan;</p> <p>The last three sentences of paragraph 3.5.2 of the Review Plan be amended to refer to the more recent ecological survey work carried out by, or on behalf of, the Council;</p> <p>A new paragraph 3.5.17A be added to the Plan as follows:-</p> <p>‘Many designated nature conservation sites are owned by Railtrack. The Council wishes to work closely with Railtrack to ensure that nature conservation considerations are taken into account, whilst at the same time ensuring that Railtrack’s operational requirements are met’.</p> <p>No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section</p>	<p><i>Schedule 11A - Sites of Nature Conservation Importance</i></p> <p>Recommendations accepted</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report</p>	<p>M11, M15 &amp; M91</p>
<p><i>16.4.1</i></p> <p>If the status of one or more of the rail-based schemes referred to in Issue (ii) is</p>	<p><i>Schedule 13 - Areas of Opportunity</i></p> <p>Recommendation accepted - all agreed railsafeguarding areas will be shown on the UDP</p>	<p>The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his</p>	<p>n/a</p>

<b>Inspector's Ref</b>	<b>UDP Ref</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>	<b>Modification Reference</b>
<b>Inspector's Recommendation</b> such that in the view of the Council completion within the Plan period is likely, then that fact be acknowledged in the Plan;  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<b>Council's Decision</b> Proposals Map	report	
<b>16.4.2</b> The Mount Pleasant Area of Opportunity (A020) be deleted from the Proposals Map and from Schedule 13A of the second Deposit version of the Review Plan;  No other modifications to the UDP in respect of the objections to this section	<i>Schedule 13 (Mount Pleasant)</i> Recommendation accepted	The Council concurs with the Inspector's recommendation for the reasons set out in his report	M92